Page 1

U.S. CHEMICAL SAFETY BOARD

+ + + + +

AL SOLUTIONS DUST FIRE AND EXPLOSION UPDATE ON FREEDOM INDUSTRIES

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,
JULY 16, 2014

+ + + + +

U.S. CHEMICAL SAFETY BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

RAFAEL MOURE-ERASO, Ph.D., Chairperson,
U.S. Chemical Safety Board
MARK A. GRIFFON, Member, U.S. Chemical Safety
Board

STAFF PRESENT:

DANIEL M. HOROWITZ, Ph.D., Managing Director RICHARD C. LOEB, General Counsel JOHNNIE BANKS, CFEI, Supervisory Investigator LUCY TYLER, CSP, Investigator

This transcript produced from audio provided by the U.S. Chemical Safety Board.

${\color{red} \underline{C}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{E}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{R}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{T}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{I}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{F}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{I}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{C}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{A}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{T}} \ {\color{blue} \underline{E}}$

MATTER: AL Solutions Dust Fire and Explosion Update on Freedom Industries Public Meeting

DATE: July 16, 2014

I hereby certify that the attached transcription of pages 1 to 135 inclusive are to the best of my belief and ability a true, accurate, and complete record of the above referenced proceedings as contained on the provided audio recording.

near Nous &

A-G-E-N-D-A Opening Statements Rafael Moure-Eraso, Chairperson. . . . Statement on behalf of Senator Jay Rockefeller Presentation of the CSB's AL Solutions Findings and Recommendations Johnnie Banks, CFEI, Supervisory Mark Wingard, CFEI, Investigator 24 Lucy Tyler, CSP, Investigator. 32 Christina Morgan, Recommendations Board Vote53 Update on Freedom Industries Investigation Johnnie Banks, CFEI, Supervisory Lucy Tyler, CSP, Investigator. 59 Public Comments on81 Adjourn

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(12:00 p.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Good
4	afternoon and welcome to this public meeting
5	of the U.S. Chemical Safety Board, the CSB.
6	I am Rafael Moure-Eraso,
7	Chairperson of the Board and joining me today
8	is Board Member Mark Griffon.
9	Also joining me at this table is
10	the general counsel of the Chemical Safety
11	Board Mr. Richard Loeb and to my right there
12	is the CSB staff that prepared the reports and
13	who's and also more CSB staff that is
14	around here that facilitated this meeting.
15	I am going to ask the team lead of
16	the CSB staff to introduce each and every
17	member of the team when we get to the point of
18	the presentation.
19	The CSB for everybody that has
20	known us during the past has known that we are
21	an independent non-regulatory federal agency
22	that investigates major chemical accidents on

1 fixed facilities.

2.2

We are an investigatory agency.

The investigations examine all aspects of chemical accidents including physical causes related to equipment design as well as inadequacies in regulations that are designed to supposedly prevent these accidents.

We also look at inadequacies on industry standards and inadequacies on safety management systems that rule the operation of process and safety in a chemical operation.

recommendations which are designed to prevent similar accidents in the future. And they are given, the recipients of our recommendations are each one of these groups that I mentioned before, industry, regulators and organizations that prepare and work on voluntary standards.

Today we are following the agenda that you saw at the entrance. The meeting today is divided in two parts. In the first part we are going to present the result of our

1	investigations in the accident in AL
2	Solutions, and we are going to have some
3	comments from the Board, and we are going to
4	ask for some questions from people present
5	here to address the accident of AL Solutions.
6	After those presentations we are
7	going to take a vote to decide on if the Board
8	will finally approve the results of the
9	findings and the recommendations of the
10	investigation.
11	Once we finish that vote we will
12	have an intermission and then we proceed to
13	make a presentation on the Freedom Industries
14	action or Freedom Industries investigation.
15	That is going to be done by the same team that
16	produced the report in AL Solutions.

And after that presentation that is basically a progress report of our investigation we will have some comments and questions of anybody that would like to say something.

I remind you that we have to limit

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	the comments to three minutes per person so
2	that everybody has a chance to have their say.
3	And we beg of you not to take anymore of that
4	time.
5	You are welcome to submit for us
6	some written comments if you would like.
7	You probably saw at the entrance
8	there are copies of our report. It's called
9	"The AL Solutions, Inc., Metal Dust Explosion
10	and Fire."
11	This report, the accident occurred
12	in December 2010. As you will see in the
13	second page it's dedicated to the three men
14	that lost their lives in that incident in
15	December 9, 2010.
16	At this time I will have to ask
17	for a moment of silence to remember these
18	three individuals that were killed as a result
19	of the accident in New Cumberland, West
20	Virginia in AL Solutions.
21	I will now read their names.
22	James Eugene Fish, Jeffrey Scott Fish and

1	Steven Swain.
2	(Moment of Silence)
3	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
4	you. So we continue with the agenda as I
5	described it before.
6	I remind you about the public
7	comment period that we would like to ask you
8	to divide your comments. If you have comments
9	for AL to present it in the first part of the
10	meeting, and if you have comments for Freedom
11	Enterprises to present it in the after the
12	intermission.
13	Before we begin we always point
14	out some basic safety information that we
15	you have to be aware that in addition to the
16	door that you entered there are some emergency
17	doors on the side. We have two in this side
18	and three on the other side. If we need to
19	evacuate the room be aware of these emergency
20	exits.
21	I will also ask to please mute
22	your cell phones so that we don't interrupt

1	the proceedings.
2	The December 9, 2010 accident at
3	the AL Solutions is the object of our
4	investigation.
5	This facility milled and processed
6	two metals, titanium and zirconium. And in
7	the course of a fire and explosion three
8	people were killed and a contractor was
9	injured.
LO	The incident is one of nine
L1	serious combustible dust incidents
L2	investigated by the CSB since 2003.
L3	These explosions and fires across
L 4	the United States has caused 36 deaths and 128
L5	injuries. This is in the past 10 years.
L6	The CSB investigation determined
L7	that AL Solutions experienced a history of
L8	fatal dust fires and explosions.
L9	The CSB learned that the AL
20	Solutions facility had fatal fires and
21	explosions involving metal dust in 1995 and in
22	2006 in addition to the 2010 explosions that

1 we investigated.

2.2

Also, from 1993 until the accident in 2010 there were at least seven fires that required responses from the local fire department.

The CSB is calling on the industry that manufacture and handle metal dust and combustible dust in general to take action to prevent these kind of combustible dust incidents.

In July 2003 the CSB identified its 2006 recommendation to develop a combustible dust standard as the first issue in its most wanted chemical safety improvement outreach program.

It is my view that had OSHA implemented the first CSB recommendation for a combustible dust standard in 2006, and if industry had followed the requirements of such a standard many of the severe dust incidents that followed including AL Solutions may have been prevented.

1	The time is now for OSHA to take
2	action to prevent these tragic accidents.
3	On the issue of this investigation
4	if anyone would like to comment publicly on
5	the investigation there is a sheet that I am
6	asking you to sign so that we can know who is
7	going to be talking.
8	And as I said, I will request that
9	you plan your remarks for three minutes.
10	Now, I would like to recognize my
11	fellow Board member Mark Griffon for some
12	opening statements. Mark?
13	MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman.
15	Today we are here to discuss two
16	incidents that occurred in West Virginia.
17	First of all, I would like to
18	express my condolences to the friends and
19	family of the three workers that were killed
20	in the incident at the AL Solutions facility.
21	This is yet another tragedy
22	resulting from a combustible dust explosion,

1	an issue for which the Board since 2006 has
2	recommended that a federal safety standard is
3	needed.
4	It is very troubling that we are
5	here reporting on yet another combustible dust
6	incident and reissuing a recommendation for a
7	federal safety standard.
8	I agree with the Chairman that the
9	time for action is now.
10	For the Board's part I plan to
11	work with my colleagues on the Board to
12	continue to advocate for the development of
13	such a federal combustible dust standard.
14	The Freedom Industries incident
15	was a very different type of incident. This
16	incident so clearly illustrates how industrial
17	safety intersects with environmental and
18	public health issues.
19	The impact on this community was
20	tremendous and still the community is left
21	with many questions.
22	Our focus at the CSB is to look at

1	the causes that led to the spill of the
2	hazardous chemicals which ultimately got into
3	the drinking water.
4	While we are not conducting
5	studies of the health and environmental
6	impacts of the spill, we will be reviewing the
7	available information regarding health impact
8	and looking at possible gaps in regulations
9	which address the public's exposure to toxic
10	materials and the environmental impact of such
11	materials.
12	I look forward to the hearing
13	today and hearing both on the AL Solutions
14	report and also on our investigative team's
15	update on the Freedom Industries
16	investigation. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
18	you. I would like also to recognize that two
19	members of the families of workers that were
20	killed in the AL Solutions incident are here
21	present with us.
22	And I would like to tell them that

1	we appreciate that they came here to hear us.
2	And I personally give them my condolences.
3	They are here.
4	Also, Senator Rockefeller has been
5	one big supporter of our Chemical Safety Board
6	historically and we have been in constant
7	contact with him at his office informing of
8	our investigations.
9	And we have been requested by Mr.
LO	Wes Holden from the Office of the Senator that
L1	he would like to give a message from Senator
L2	Rockefeller. So Mr. Holden, please.
L3	MR. HOLDEN: Thank you, Mr.
L4	Chairman. On behalf of Senator Rockefeller I
L5	want to thank the Chemical Safety Board for
L6	their final report and recommendations
L7	regarding the investigation into the December
L8	2010 explosion at AL Solutions in New
L9	Cumberland, West Virginia, that took the lives
20	of three people, Jeffrey Fish, James Fish,
21	Steven Swain.
22	Completing that investigation is

1	not a simple task and we are very grateful for
2	their work.
3	As we all know when the 2010
4	explosion occurred the employees at AL
5	Solutions were working with titanium powder,
6	a highly flammable substance.
7	Because this is a highly flammable
8	industrial powder it is more difficult for
9	fire fighters to extinguish the fire and any
10	hot spots before they could reach the
11	employees trapped inside.
12	This tragic incident combined with
13	the Freedom Industries chemical spill further
14	highlights the utmost importance of chemical
15	safety.
16	Over six months have passed since
17	the January spill. And as everyday activities
18	such as bathing, cooking slowly resume we
19	appreciate the people who are still working on
20	the ground day by day to understand what
21	happened.
22	The information they are gathering

1 is vital to understanding the next steps we 2 need to take toward implementing the right 3 safeguards so we can protect our communities from accidents like this in the future. 4 5 As I said, I firmly remain 6 committed to getting answers to many 7 unanswered questions related to the January 8 spill. I'm committed to working to 9 10 strengthen regulations and to properly funding 11 and staffing oversight agencies, two of the 12 utmost components in preventing a disaster 13 like this from happening. 14 Following the January spill at 15 Freedom Industries site I immediately called 16 on the Chemical Safety Board to investigate 17 the spill. 18 I also asked my colleagues on the 19 Senate Appropriations Committee on the Fiscal 20 Year 2014 federal funding package to allocate 21 \$11 million for the Chemical Safety Board's

operations.

2.2

As a result of the misguided federal budget cuts, or sequestration, I knew the funding constraints placed on the Chemical Safety Board like all of our federal agencies and operations would severely restrict the Board's ability to fully respond to my request.

Fortunately, Congress passed the 2014 spending bill with my request for full Chemical Safety Board funding despite an attempt by the House of Representatives to slash nearly \$2 million from the Board's already anemic budget.

In addition to calling for an investigation of the spill I took action legislatively by joining Senators Joe Manchin and Barbara Boxer in introducing the Chemical Safety and Drinking Water Protection Act, legislation that would require a regular inspection of chemical storage tanks.

I also introduced two bills with Senator Brian Schatz that seek to hold

2.2

1	companies like Freedom Industries accountable
2	when spills of non-hazardous substance like
3	MCHM occur and provide state and federal
4	governments with funding to help cover the
5	cost of cleaning up the chemical spill.
6	I want to thank the Chemical
7	Safety Board for swiftly responding to this
8	incident as they have done many times in the
9	past for West Virginia, and for their
10	transparency throughout this process.
11	It is my hope that the Chemical
12	Safety Board's recommendation following the AL
13	Solutions incident and the conclusion of the
14	Freedom Industries investigation we have
15	implemented with this agency.
16	And again, thank you on behalf of
17	Senator Rockefeller for allowing me to make
18	this statement at this time.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
20	you very much, Mr. Holden.
21	I also would like to thank the
22	staff of Senator Manchin and Congressman

1	Capito that are attending the meeting today.
2	We really appreciate you being here. We have
3	worked very closely with your offices in
4	informing you of our activities.
5	At this time I would like to
6	introduce the investigative team. With us
7	here is a person that is becoming very well
8	known in the State of West Virginia. That is
9	Mr. Johnnie Banks which is the supervisory
10	investigator for both the AL Solutions and
11	Freedom Enterprises here.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12	Mr. Banks is based in Washington,
12 13	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB
13	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB
13 14 15	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB after 22 years working for the Chevron Texaco
13 14 15 16	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB after 22 years working for the Chevron Texaco Corporation Refinery in Richmond, California,
13 14	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB after 22 years working for the Chevron Texaco Corporation Refinery in Richmond, California, prior to joining us.
13 14 15 16	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB after 22 years working for the Chevron Texaco Corporation Refinery in Richmond, California, prior to joining us. He is a graduate of the University
13 14 15 16 17	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB after 22 years working for the Chevron Texaco Corporation Refinery in Richmond, California, prior to joining us. He is a graduate of the University of California at Berkeley and he is a
13 14 15 16 17 18	D.C. and he came to the agency, to the CSB after 22 years working for the Chevron Texaco Corporation Refinery in Richmond, California, prior to joining us. He is a graduate of the University of California at Berkeley and he is a certified fire and explosion investigator.

1	support the development of significant
2	recommendations for combustible dust
3	regulations and changes to various industry
4	standards.
5	She holds a bachelor of science
6	degree in industrial health and safety from
7	the Pennsylvania State University.
8	Also with us is Mr. Mark Wingard.
9	He is a graduate of Clemson University with a
10	bachelor of science in chemical engineering.
11	Mr. Wingard has worked with the
12	Johnson & Johnson Company doing research and
13	development for active pharmaceutical
14	ingredient production and worked as a waste
15	management engineer at the Savannah River
16	nuclear facility.
17	Also with us is Ms. Christine
18	Morgan which that is perhaps the better
19	well known in our organization as the soul of
20	recommendations. She is right now in charge
21	of the recommendations department for the time
22	being and she has a lot of input on the type

1	of investigations that we do in our
2	recommendations, and also in the following up
3	of the recommendations to ensure that they are
4	acted upon on the groups that we make
5	recommendations to.
6	I would like now to ask Mr. Banks
7	to start the program of and add whatever he
8	wants to what I have said to the presentation
9	of his team and do the AL Solutions
LO	investigation presentation. Mr. Banks?
L1	MR. BANKS: Mr. Chairman, Board
L2	Member Griffon, Mr. Loeb, ladies and
L3	gentlemen, good afternoon.
L4	The AL Solutions investigation
L5	team has prepared several findings from our
L6	investigation of the combustible dust
L7	explosion which occurred at the AL Solutions
L8	facility in New Cumberland, West Virginia.
L9	This incident occurred on December
20	9, 2010 and resulted in the death of three
21	workers and one worker injury.
22	Following this presentation the

1	Board will vote and we'll also provide a brief
2	update on the Freedom Industries incident
3	investigation.
4	Before I start I'd like to take a
5	moment to just go over our agenda which the
6	Chair has touched on.
7	We'll begin with the team's
8	presentation of the investigation findings
9	from the AL Solutions case.
10	The team will then entertain
11	questions from the Board. The public will be
12	invited to offer comments on the case. And
13	the Board Members will then vote on the team's
14	proposed findings and recommendations.
15	After the Board vote we'll have a
16	short intermission and the team will then
17	present an update on the Freedom Industries
18	incident investigation.
19	And finally, we'll have a closing
20	comment from the Chair.
21	Now, before I start I'd like to
22	again introduce the team that has been

involved with the AL Solutions and a portion 1 2 of the team from the Freedom Industries case. The team includes myself, Johnnie 3 4 Banks. I'm the incident investigation team 5 lead, Mr. Mark Wingard is one of our 6 investigators, and Ms. Lucy Tyler who is also 7 one of our investigators. 8 Rounding out the team today is Ms. 9 Christina Morgan who is our recommendations 10 specialist and who will follow through on the 11 recommendations once the investigative phase 12 has been closed out. Her department will make 13 sure that those recommendations are indeed 14 brought to closure and that they're recorded 15 as such. 16 In looking at the presentation the 17 team will discuss the company background and 18 provide an overview of the facility, and 19 present an incident animation that shows the 20 details of the incident, the investigation

findings and then we'll introduce the proposed

recommendations at the end of the

21

2.2

1	presentation.
2	In looking at AL Solutions, the AL
3	Solutions processes titanium and zirconium
4	scrap metal into pressed compacts that
5	aluminum producers use as alloy additives.
6	AL Solutions obtains scrap
7	titanium and zirconium from manufacturers and
8	end users, adds the pressed compacts to
9	furnaces or molten metal to increase the
LO	strength of aluminum alloys.
L1	At the time of the incident AL
L2	Solutions owned and operated two processing
L3	facilities. The primary office and production
L4	facility was located in New Cumberland, West
L5	Virginia.
L6	AL Solutions also has a facility
L7	for milling in Washington, Missouri.
L8	When the incident occurred in 2010
L9	AL Solutions employed 23 workers at the New
20	Cumberland facility and 2 at the Washington
21	facility. After the incident AL Solutions
22	stopped production at the New Cumberland

1	facility and has a new production facility
2	located in Pennsylvania.
3	Now, as shown here the New
4	Cumberland facility lies on the east bank of
5	the Ohio River in the northern panhandle of
6	West Virginia. It lies approximately 40 miles
7	west of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
8	The New Cumberland site contains a
9	main production facility, a warehouse, outside
10	storage area, laboratory and office area.
11	Now, the next portion of the
12	presentation will be conducted by my colleague
13	Mr. Wingard who will walk you through the
14	process overview and other aspects of
15	operation.
16	MR. WINGARD: Thank you, Mr.
17	Banks.
18	Shown in this slide is a process
19	overview, simplified flow diagram of the AL
20	Solutions process.
21	AL Solutions took raw material,
22	shown here, from drums shipped by suppliers,

1 broke this metal down and removed the oxide 2 layer in a mill, blended the milled metal and 3 then pressed it into compacts for sale. 4 This process required four 5 operators, one supervisor who ran the mill, 6 one operator to operate the blender, and two 7 operators to run the three presses that formed 8 the compacts. At the time of the AL Solutions 9 10 incident employees were processing titanium 11 zirconium scrap metal. 12 Titanium is a widely used metal 13 with unique flammability characteristics. 14 Fine titanium particulates are easily ignited 15 in air and can ignite spontaneously under certain conditions. 16 17 An AL Solutions material safety 18 data sheet for titanium powder recommended 19 having procedures in place to keep the powder 20 away from static charges, sparking equipment 21 and ignition sources. 2.2 Zirconium also carries a

1 significant flammability hazard and it can 2 also auto-ignite in air at room temperature. Under certain conditions dust 3 4 clouds, very small concentrations of zirconium 5 can be explosible. 6 After the incident the CSB 7 commissioned combustible dust testing of 8 materials from the AL Solutions facility to determine whether the metal powder contributed 9 10 to the fire and explosion. 11 This testing determined that 12 zirconium and titanium samples in use at AL 13 Solutions were combustible and could produce 14 a fire or metal dust deflagration. 15 CSB investigators observed and 16 documented the production building after the incident and concluded that the fire damage 17 18 and deformations caused by the explosion over-19 pressure were consistent with a metal dust 20 explosion. 21 Most solid organic materials as 2.2 well as some metals and even some non-metallic

1	inorganic materials will burn or explode if
2	finely divided and dispersed in sufficient
3	concentrations.
4	Even seemingly small quantities of
5	accumulated dust can cause catastrophic
6	damage.
7	Like all fires, a dust fire occurs
8	when fuel, in this case the combustible dust
9	is exposed to heat in the presence of oxygen.
10	Removing any one of these elements
11	of the classic fire triangle which is shown
12	here eliminates the possibility of a fire.
13	A dust explosion requires two more
14	elements, dust dispersion and confinement.
15	Suspended dust burns more rapidly and
16	confinement enables pressure buildup. Removal
17	of either the suspension or the confinement
18	element can prevent an explosion although a
19	dust fire can still occur.
20	We'll now show an animation of the
21	December 2010 incident.
22	(Whereupon, the animation was

erpt
nis
ne
er
nder,
Э
nder
ŧ
to
e
and

1	char on the ceiling above the blender and the
2	presence of burning deposits on the wall
3	behind the blender suggest that zirconium
4	particulates lofted from the blender and
5	ignited other materials in the room.
6	As stated previously, after the
7	incident the CSB collected samples with
8	titanium and zirconium from various areas of
9	the processing unit at the New Cumberland
10	facility.
11	Combustibility testing was carried
12	out in accordance with NFPA 484. This testing
13	concluded that the metal powder was
14	combustible and capable of causing a dust
15	flash fire or explosion.
16	The CSB investigation found that
17	AL Solutions had no dust collection system to
18	collect and control metal dust accumulating
19	from the process.
20	Instead, operators relied on the
21	use of water sprays and wash-downs to manage
22	dust accumulations.

1	However, this practice is not
2	recommended for water-reactive materials such
3	as zirconium and titanium dust due to the
4	hazards associated with the formation of
5	hydrogen gas when metals are heated.
6	Additionally, AL Solutions lacked
7	any mechanical ventilation system to control
8	hydrogen gas accumulations which could
9	potentially lead to explosive atmospheres.
10	Prior to the 2010 incident the New
11	Cumberland facility experienced two fatal
12	incidents involving the ignition of metal
13	dust.
14	From 1993 until the December 2010
15	incident the New Cumberland Volunteer Fire
16	Department responded to at least seven fires
17	at AL Solutions.
18	Through interviews the CSB learned
19	that several other fires occurred at the New
20	Cumberland facility that did not result in a
21	fire department response.
22	In fact, almost all employees

1 reported to CSB investigators that they had 2 witnessed one or more fires in the production 3 building. In August of 1995 one employee was 4 5 killed and another was injured in an explosion 6 and fire at the New Cumberland facility. 7 A leaking propane tank and 8 undetermined ignition source caused this fire. The propane tank ignited, generating a blast 9 wave that lofted titanium dust within the 10 11 production building. This dust ignited and 12 caused a secondary explosion that further ignited dust in the building. 13 14 In July of 2006 a supervisor was 15 fatally injured while cleaning out the inside of the mill tank when residual metal in the 16 17 mill ignited. 18 OSHA conducted an investigation as 19 a result of both of these incidents and levied 20 fines on the facility for serious safety 21 violations. 22 However, citations did not mention

1	industry standards such as NFPA 484 to address
2	the failure to control metal dust hazards.
3	I'll now turn the presentation
4	over to Lucy Tyler.
5	MS. TYLER: AL Solutions is not
6	the only facility that has suffered fatalities
7	and injuries from combustible dust incidents.
8	From 2008 until 2013 the CSB has
9	identified 50 combustible dust incidents that
10	resulted in 29 deaths and 161 injuries.
11	One notable dust incident outside
12	that time frame was the CTA Acoustics dust
13	explosion that resulted in seven deaths in
14	2003.
15	Many of these incidents have been
16	investigated by the CSB and repeated
17	recommendations have been made to OSHA to
18	promulgate a combustible dust standard.
19	However, these recommendations
20	have not been addressed.
21	In the aftermath of three
22	catastrophic dust incidents in 2003 that

1	claimed the lives of 14 workers the CSB
2	launched a combustible dust hazard study and
3	recommended that OSHA develop a general
4	industry standard for combustible dust in
5	2006.
6	Since 2006 the CSB has recommended
7	that OSHA publish a final combustible dust
8	regulation for general industry based on NFPA
9	Standard 654 and 484.
10	Despite these repeated
11	recommendations and fatal dust incidents since
12	the issuance of the recommendation OSHA has
13	not issued a final dust standard.
14	OSHA has recognized the need and
15	importance of the standard, and in the past
16	has made steps towards promulgating the
17	standard, but it has been delayed.
18	And you can see from this timeline
19	here in November 2006 the CSB made the
20	original recommendation to OSHA to develop a
21	combustible dust rule. And in April 2009 OSHA

announced that they would begin rulemaking.

22

1	In September 2009 at the
2	completion of the Imperial Sugar investigation
3	the CSB recommended OSHA proceed expeditiously
4	with the dust rule.
5	In April 2010 OSHA called the dust
6	standard a long-term action but postponed some
7	of the next steps of the rulemaking process.
8	In June 2012 OSHA stated that it
9	could not commit to a date for the proposed
10	rule, but it remains a top priority for the
11	agency. That was two years ago.
12	Since then OSHA has scheduled and
13	rescheduled the next steps of the rulemaking
14	process.
15	The CSB incident screening
16	department has collected and verified
17	combustible dust incidents from 2008. And
18	from 2008 to 2012, over that time frame that
19	the rulemaking has been delayed 50 combustible
20	dust incidents that resulted in fatalities and
21	injuries took place in general industry.
22	Here is a graph that depicts the

1 number of the combustible dust incidents, 2 injuries and fatalities since the re-issuance 3 of the combustible dust National Emphasis 4 Program, or NEP, after the catastrophic sugar 5 dust explosion at Imperial Sugar in 2008. 6 And you can see from the chart 7 here that there is no visible decline in the 8 number of incidents or the severity of incidents over this time frame. 9 And this data 10 is based on dust incidents collected by the 11 CSB's incident screening department. 12 In July 2013 the CSB voted and 13 declared that OSHA's response to the previous 14 combustible dust recommendation was 15 unacceptable because OSHA has yet to develop 16 even a proposed rule on combustible dust 17 hazards more than four years after it 18 committed to start rulemaking. The 2006 recommendation to OSHA 19 20 was classified as open-unacceptable in the 21 July 2013 CSB public meeting. 2.2 The need for an OSHA combustible

1	dust standard became the first item on the CSB
2	most wanted chemical safety important program
3	adopted by the Board at the July meeting.
4	If OSHA had implemented the first
5	CSB recommendation for the standard in 2006
6	many of the severe dust incidents that
7	followed, including AL Solutions, may have
8	been prevented.
9	In the next portion of this
10	presentation I will read the investigation key
11	findings.
12	Key finding number one for AL
13	Solutions. The explosion in the production
14	building was caused by combustible titanium
15	and zirconium dusts that were processed at the
16	facility.
17	Number two. The explosion likely
18	originated in a blender containing milled
19	zirconium particulates and ignited by
20	frictional heating of the zirconium arising
21	from defective blender equipment.
22	Key finding three. The hydrogen

gas produced by the reaction of molten
titanium and zirconium metal and water,
possibly from wash-down operations or the
water deluge system may have also contributed
to the explosion.

Number four. Testing conducted after the incident determined that titanium and zirconium samples collected from the AL Solutions facility were combustible and were capable of causing an explosion when lofted near an ignition source.

Key finding five. AL Solutions did not mitigate the hazards of metal dust explosions through engineering controls such as a dust collection system. Specifically, AL Solutions did not adhere to the practices recommended in NFPA 484 for controlling combustible dust hazards.

Key finding six. The West

Virginia area office of OSHA did not conduct
a combustible dust NEP inspection at the AL

Solutions facility before the 2010 incident,

1 despite the company's history of metal dust 2 incidents. The combustible dust NEP 3 4 inspections are based on a randomized 5 selection of facilities regardless of previous 6 incidents unless initiated by a complaint or 7 a referral. 8 And finally, key finding seven. Combustible dust incidents continue to occur 9 10 throughout susceptible industries, but the 11 next steps of the OSHA rulemaking process for 12 promulgating a general industry combustible 13 dust standard have been delayed. 14 And now our recommendation 15 specialist Christy Morgan will come to the 16 podium to read our draft recommendations. 17 MS. MORGAN: As a result of this 18 investigation the investigative team is 19 proposing that the CSB reiterate one of its 20 previous recommendations to the Occupational 21 Health and Safety Administration and issue 22 four new recommendations, two to AL Solutions,

1 Inc., at the corporate level and two to the 2 new AL Solutions facility now operating in Burgettstown, Pennsylvania. 3 First, I will discuss the 4 5 recommendation that the staff is posing for 6 reiteration. 7 The CSB continues to believe that an OSHA general industry standard for 8 combustible dust is needed to prevent future 9 10 tragedies caused by dust explosions. Therefore, staff are proposing 11 12 that the Board reiterate its 2006 recommendation calling for the development and 13 14 issuance of an OSHA general industry standard 15 for combustible dust. 16 As Ms. Tyler mentioned, the Board voted to designate this recommendation and 17 18 three related recommendations with the status open-unacceptable response in July 2013. 19 The Board also voted at that time 20 21 to designate a general industry standard for 2.2 combustible dust as the agency's first most

1 wanted chemical safety improvement. 2 It should be noted that this will be the first time in the history of the agency 3 that the CSB has reiterated one of its 4 5 previous safety recommendations. 6 The text of this recommendation is 7 as follows. 8 "Issue a standard designed to prevent combustible dust fires and explosions 9 10 in general industry. "Base the standard on current 11 12 National Fire Protection Association, or NFPA, 13 dust explosion standards including NFPA 654 14 and NFPA 484, and include at least hazard 15 assessment, engineering controls, 16 housekeeping, building design, explosion 17 protection, operating procedures and worker 18 training." 19 In addition to proposing that the Board reiterate this 2006 recommendation to 20 21 OSHA, the team is proposing that the Board 2.2 issue four new recommendations.

1	The first recommendation is to AL
2	Solutions, Inc., and it reads as follows.
3	"For all new and existing
4	equipment in operations at AL Solutions
5	facilities that process combustible metal dust
6	or powders apply the following chapters of
7	NFPA 484, 2012 edition, standard for
8	combustible metals: Chapter 12 Titanium,
9	Chapter 13 Zirconium, Chapter 15 Fire
L0	Prevention, Fire Protection and Emergency
L1	Response, and Chapter 16 Combustible Metal
L2	Recycling Facilities."
L3	The second proposed recommendation
L 4	to AL Solutions reads as follows.
L5	"Develop training materials that
L6	address combustible dust hazards and plant-
L7	specific metal dust hazards, and then train
L8	all employees and contractors. Require
L9	periodic, for example, annual, refresher
20	training for all employees and contractors."
21	The first proposed recommendation
22	to AL Solutions' Burgettstown, Pennsylvania

1	facility is as follows.
2	"Prohibit the use of sprinkler
3	systems and water deluge systems in all
4	buildings that process or store combustible
5	metals."
6	And our last recommendation also
7	to the AL Solutions Burgettstown facility
8	reads as follows.
9	"Conduct a process hazard analysis
LO	as defined in NFPA 484 Section 12.2.5 and
L1	submit a copy to the local fire department or
L2	the enforcing authority for the fire code."
L3	Mr. Chairman, this concludes the
L4	staff's presentation on the AL Solutions
L5	incident.
L6	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
L7	you very much, Ms. Morgan. And following our
L8	agenda we will have an opportunity for the
L9	Board to ask questions to the investigative
20	team. So, Mr. Griffon, do you have any
21	questions?
22	MR. GRIFFON: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

1	Chairman. Just a couple of questions.
2	The report makes a few
3	recommendations that we just went through here
4	to AL Solutions Company.
5	I'm just curious if you know what
6	they've done since 2010. Have they put any
7	controls in place? Any training? Is there
8	any update on what they've done since the
9	incident?
LO	MR. WINGARD: They have made
L1	changes in the Burgettstown. Still doesn't
L2	seem to us that it's in line with NFPA 484.
L3	A lot of it was eliminating
L 4	making it more automated. So eliminating the
L5	frequency of time people spend in contact with
L6	the process itself. And so really, instead of
L7	eliminating the combustible dust hazard they
L8	eliminated just people as much as possible.
L9	MR. GRIFFON: And anything on the
20	training front? Or was it mainly moving
21	employees away from the operation that was the
22	focus?

1	MR. WINGARD: I think it's mainly
2	moving people away from the operation. But I
3	can't speak to the training now.
4	MR. GRIFFON: And just one other
5	one on the OSHA recommendation. You know, I
6	totally support reissuing the recommendation
7	for a federal standard. And it's been out
8	there for a long time.
9	I think we have to play a more
10	active role in advocacy for this. It's on our
11	most wanted list. I think the Board has to
12	move on that as well.
13	But I'm just curious what OSHA has
14	done. I know it's mentioned a little in the
15	report, but what OSHA has done short of
16	issuing a standard obviously. They had some
17	activities. I just wondered if you could tell
18	us a little about that.
19	MS. TYLER: Yes, sure. Over the
20	last couple of weeks we've had a couple of
21	discussions with OSHA in discussing the
22	reiterated recommendation.

1	And we've learned through those
2	discussions that in addition to working toward
3	the combustible dust rule OSHA has been
4	involved in several activities to increase
5	awareness of combustible dust among industry,
6	emergency responders such as fire fighters as
7	well as their compliance officers. And all of
8	this guidance to date has been it's non-
9	regulatory.
10	But starting in 2008 after
11	Imperial Sugar they published a fact sheet on
12	some general combustible dust hazards.
13	They mailed alert letters to
14	30,000 employers across the country that
15	handle combustible dust.
16	They published a combustible dust
17	hazard communication guide, a manual for fire-
18	fighting precautions.
19	And they've had some additional
20	training for compliance officers and
21	compliance assistance staff, and that's
22	ongoing as part of the National Emphasis

1 Program that they developed in October 2007.

And additionally, they've created a combustible dust website through osha.gov which has all the resources for combustible dust. So that's some of the activities that they've been involved in.

MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, thank
you. And just one follow-up on that. Did AL
Solutions -- would they have received any of
these communications? The 30,000 that you
mentioned, would AL Solutions have received
this information before the 2010 incident?

MS. TYLER: I'm not 100 percent certain that they did, but know that the 30,000 letters that were sent out are based on the industry code classifications that would likely be handling the I guess most highly hazards combustible dust materials. And we do know that their industry code was on that list I believe under the standard industrial classification list. So it is possible that they may have received it but I'm not 100

1	percent certain.
2	MR. GRIFFON: Yes, I'd be
3	surprised if they didn't. But anyway, thank
4	you for answering those questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
6	you, Mr. Griffon.
7	I just would like to follow up
8	with a question in that vein. The
9	recommendations that have come from the
10	federal government on combustible dust so far
11	has been recommendations that the users or the
12	people handling combustible dust should
13	volunteer to embrace them and use them or not.
14	And it is voluntary for people to do it since
15	there is not a federal regulation that will
16	compel them to do it.
17	So my question to you is how will
18	an OSHA combustible standard that is a
19	regulation will have contribute to prevent an
20	explosion like happened in AL Solutions.
21	MS. MORGAN: In 2006 the CSB's
22	recommendation to OSHA in creating the

combustible dust rule was to incorporate the provisions that exist in NFPA 484 and 654.

They are industry consensus standards that have provisions for preventing dust explosions or fires.

So we feel that if OSHA were to incorporate this into a combustible dust rule companies would have defined requirements to follow and they would be enforceable requirements for the prevention of dust accumulations for engineering controls, for flame-resistant clothing, all the types of company practices that you would expect employers to follow when handling combustible dusts.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
you very much. At this time we would like to
ask if anybody from the public would like to
make some comments on the AL Solutions
investigation.

As we normally do here I'm going to ask our managing director if he could like

1	to direct the discussion and to invite people
2	to make any statement. A three-minute
3	statement, please.
4	I would like to remind everybody
5	that we'll request that you make your comments
6	on AL Solutions now and that you make you
7	have any comments on Freedom Enterprise to
8	please wait until the end of the presentation
9	that will happen after the intermission.
10	So, Dr. Horowitz.
11	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, Mr.
12	Chairman.
13	The first commenter is John
14	Morawetz of the International Chemical Workers
15	Union.
16	MR. MORAWETZ: Thank you. One,
17	just a comment on process. Sitting here I
18	just got the report when I got here. I'm
19	trying to look at the report, hear the
20	presentations and figure how to formulate
21	questions.
22	So on a statement which I think

I've said before on process it would be very helpful for the public, all interested parties, family members to get a copy of the report in advance, maybe embargoed, not for publication, so we can make comments and look at it thoughtfully to have some input on then the final vote and final deliberation.

It's also possible I would hope
that you could also say there's a vote
provisionally perhaps based upon the comments
that all of us may or may not present and you
analyze them and you figure out where you want
to go with that.

I'll also -- I have a number of points in trying to follow this that I would like to make and I'll put them in writing. I know there's a limit of three minutes.

I was a little bit puzzled in looking at all the recommendations that, first of all, fully supporting the OSHA combustible dust standard, long overdue, that all the other recommendations are only for AL

1	Industry.
2	One of the strengths of the
3	Chemical Safety Board historically has been
4	that your reports are for the industry. Your
5	reports are broad and they're far-reaching.
6	I know, for instance, the
7	explosion in Hawaii, the fireworks explosion,
8	that it was a very good recommendation about
9	the contracting out, and how contracting by
LO	the federal government should take into
L1	account a company's history in health and
L2	safety.
L3	So, I would wonder in particular
L 4	whether there's a combustible metal recycling
L5	industry, group, et cetera, organization that
L6	our recommendations could be made to beyond AL
L7	Industry. I don't know whether anybody wants
L8	to respond?
L9	MR. BANKS: I believe we made some
20	recommendations along those lines in a recent
21	report.
22	I think we did make such

1	recommendations for education in our Hoeganaes
2	report. And I'll just ask the team to clarify
3	if that is so.
4	There are certain industry
5	organizations that handle combustible metals
6	or powdered metals.
7	MR. MORAWETZ: So, limited in time
8	I would just hope you look at this and take a
9	look at whether some of those other
LO	recommendations are equally as valid industry-
L1	wide, the findings on combustible dust.
L2	I'd also just in order also say
L3	that you have a finding though from West
L4	Virginia OSHA office but no recommendations.
L5	I wonder whether there should be
L6	recommendations? I don't know.
L7	The Fire Marshal's Office. There
L8	was no finding. State budgets are in a
L9	crisis. It's very difficult. But should
20	there be a finding or a recommendation? I
21	don't know.
22	And lastly, I don't know whether

1	any state plans beyond federal OSHA, whether
2	sometimes state OSHA plans are at the
3	forefront of taking action before federal
4	OSHA.
5	I don't know if any state plans a
6	combustible standard or recommendations, but
7	at a minimum these reports should cover what
8	the current status is in general for the state
9	plans. Thank you.
10	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. Any
11	other commenters on AL Solutions?
12	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Hearing
13	none I think we'll move to the next point in
14	the agenda, that is the vote on the report.
15	I make a motion as a Board Member
16	to approve the report on AL Solutions as
17	presented.
18	The formal way of doing the motion
19	is I move that the Chemical Safety Board
20	approve the Investigation Report No. 201131WB
21	entitled "Metal Dust Explosion and Fire at AL
22	Solutions, Inc., in New Cumberland, West

1	Virginia that Occurred on December 9, 2010,"
2	including all findings, recommendations and
3	associated figures, progress contained or
4	referenced in the July 16, 2014 case as
5	studied.
6	Do I hear a second for this
7	motion?
8	MR. GRIFFON: I second the motion.
9	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Okay, so
10	do we have a discussion on the motion? No
11	discussion. So I call on Mr. Loeb, our
12	general counsel, to proceed with the vote.
13	MR. LOEB: This will be a quick
14	vote, I imagine. Mr. Griffon?
15	MR. GRIFFON: Yes.
16	MR. LOEB: Mr. Chairman?
17	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Yes.
18	MR. LOEB: The motion is approved.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
20	you very much. I would like to thank the
21	people that talked here today around AL
22	Solutions, especially our representatives of

1	our elected officials and also the persons
2	that presented comments on the details of the
3	report.
4	Now, I would like to call for a
5	10-minute break and we'll reconvene to discuss
6	the Freedom Enterprise progress report. So
7	we'll take a 10-minute break. Thank you.
8	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
9	matter went off the record at 1:02 p.m. and
10	resumed at 1:15 p.m.)
11	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: We are
12	going to proceed. I am going to ask Mr.
13	Johnnie Banks to take over the podium and
14	provide us with an update on the CSB Freedom
15	Enterprises investigations.
16	So, Mr. Banks.
17	MR. BANKS: Thank you, Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	I will now provide an update on
20	the Freedom Industries investigation just to
21	give folks a sense of where we are with that
22	investigation.

1	On January 9, 2014, 10,000 gallons
2	of 4-methylcyclohexanemethanol, or MCHM, mixed
3	with 5.6 percent propylene glycol phenol
4	ethers, or POPULATION HEALTH, was released
5	into the Elk River due to the failure of a
6	tank, the secondary containment.
7	The mixture leaked from a 48,000
8	capacity aboveground storage tank, or AST, at
9	the Etowah River terminal facility.
LO	The MCHM and PPH mixture entered
L1	the soil and eventually migrated to the Elk
L2	River by moving through the soil, gravel and
L3	water systems located under the facility until
L4	finally reaching water.
L5	The Freedom facility was located
L6	about 1.5 miles upstream from intake of West
L7	Virginia American Water Company.
L8	The company provides water to over
L9	580,000 citizens in West Virginia including
20	residents and industrial clients.
21	When the leak occurred at Freedom
22	up to 300,000 residents and industrial users

1	in 9 counties were impacted.
2	Now, the West Virginia Department
3	of Environmental Protection received odor
4	complaints early on the morning of January 9,
5	2014, and arrived onsite at 11:15 that day and
6	identified the release at the base of T-396.
7	It wasn't until 5:45 p.m., or over
8	five hours later that the West Virginia
9	American Water Company issued a do not use
LO	order. And at 9:30 that night the Governor
L1	issued a state of emergency order to West
L2	Virginia.
L3	The following morning, January 10,
L 4	President Obama declared a federal disaster
L5	area for the nine counties impacted by the
L6	leak.
L7	In the days following the release
L8	there were several announcements communicated
L9	to the public regarding water usage.
20	On January 13, the West Virginia
21	American Water company advised the flushing of
22	water systems.

1	On January 15, the CDC issued a
2	water advisory for pregnant women to drink
3	bottled water.
4	On January 21, Freedom revealed
5	that PPH was in the mixture released into the
6	water supply in addition to the MCHM.
7	On February 5, a spokesman from
8	the CDC, or Centers for Disease Control,
9	announced that the water was appropriate for
10	use. However, odor complaints continued and
11	many area schools reported closures over the
12	following days and weeks.
13	On February 21, the CDC issued a
14	statement that described the water as safe.
15	However, the CSB found the message to be
16	obscure and it was not widely communicated.
17	In late February, the Governor of
18	West Virginia lifted the state of emergency
19	order. And in March the CDC announced that no
20	adverse health effects in MCHM in
21	concentrations below 10 parts per billion.
22	So the Governor's office has

1	lifted the water advisories and the CDC has
2	declared the water safe for drinking.
3	Residents continue to distrust the information
4	that the water is safe.
5	A lingering odor remained in the
6	water after CDC declared the water was
7	appropriate for use.
8	The long-term health impacts of
9	MCHM and PPH at low concentration remains
10	unknown.
11	Now, I'll turn the proceedings
12	over to Ms. Tyler for this part.
13	MS. TYLER: Thank you. From
14	January 9 to January 23, 2014, the West
15	Virginia Bureau of Public Health reviewed 369
16	records of patients who had symptoms and
17	reported exposure to the water.
18	Thirteen of the three hundred
19	sixty-nine patients were hospitalized. The
20	rest were treated and released with
21	intravenous fluids and medications to reduce
22	the effects of exposure such as nausea and

1	skin irritations.
2	People were most commonly exposed
3	to the chemicals in the water from bathing and
4	showering. Other routes of exposure were
5	ingestion and inhalation, and some patients
6	reported exposure to more than one possible
7	type for their symptoms.
8	In preliminary health impact data
9	provided by the Kanawha County Health
10	Department common complaints included nausea
11	38 percent, skin rash 28.5 percent and
12	vomiting 28 percent.
13	The Kanawha County Health
14	Department reported that these symptoms are
15	consistent with known health effects of MCHM.
16	And those are based on very limited animal
17	studies.
18	One of the preliminary findings
19	related to the public health impact is that
20	there is limited toxicological information on
21	MCHM, PPH and their chemical constituents.
22	The CSB reviewed toxicological

1	data from MCHM manufacturer and found that
2	there is little data available on exposures at
3	low concentrations. Almost all the studies
4	for MCHM include short-term exposures to high
5	doses of MCHM.
6	Also, the material safety data
7	sheets, or MSDSs, did not provide information
8	on the potential health hazards to assist in
9	a timely notification of water usage
10	restrictions.
11	And now Mr. Banks will return to
12	the podium to discuss the tank inspections at
13	Freedom Industries.
14	MR. BANKS: Thank you. The CSB
15	commissioned an inspection of the tank from
16	which the tank leak occurred and similar tanks
17	at the Freedom Industries facility.
18	Testing included a scanning of the
19	tank, the interior, the surrounding topography
20	of the facility and the riverbank, and
21	portions of the tank were cut out and set for

metallurgical examinations which are yet to be

22

1	conducted.
2	We've got a short video that shows
3	some of the work that went on I'd like to
4	share with you.
5	(Whereupon, the video was played)
6	MR. BANKS: This is some activity
7	just prior to openings being cut into the tank
8	for access for removal of portions of the
9	metal.
LO	This is the tank where the leak
L1	was most prominent.
L2	This is the technique that was
L3	used to cut the samples. It's a high-pressure
L4	water-cutting technique which minimized the
L5	risk to the folks that were doing the work.
L6	In the presence of possible flammable
L7	atmospheres they use a high-pressure water
L8	system and were able to conduct all the
L9	cutting that we wanted on the tanks.
20	The tanks themselves, this is the
21	area of the main section of the tank where the
22	holes were noted.

1 Here we have the two holes. One 2 is about three quarters of an inch in diameter, the other a little bit less than a 3 4 half an inch. And as you'll see in later slides there is a series of other 5 6 characteristics that indicate potential 7 failure sites as well. 8 This is the technique that was 9 used to cut the samples that are called 10 They are round sections of the tank coupons. 11 that we gathered. There were other 12 representatives from plaintiffs and the 13 company there also to observe the removal of 14 these pieces of equipment. 15 And post cutting we did a pretty 16 thorough inspection of the site to make sure that all the samples that we needed for steps 17 18 moving forward were gathered. 19 Now, preliminarily one of the early findings in this case, the inspections 20

were conducted in accordance with the best

practices today which are the test methods as

21

22

1 found in API 653.

2.2

The API 653 inspection clearly indicated that the holes in T-396 were a mechanism for the large spill that occurred on January 9, 2014. And these are those two holes that I just pointed out, the two-quarter inch hole and the little bit more than half an inch.

But then you'll also see that there is some pitting observed here which indicates areas that would have potentially failed as well.

In this slide there, there was a deformation in the tank wall. And we've cut this section of the tank out and we'll subject this to analysis as well to determine if there might have been any leaking that occurred there prior to January 9.

The final determination on the failure mechanism will be made through forensic examination of the metals that we have extracted from the tanks at a lab that

1 we've selected for the tests to be conducted. 2 We'll examine the corrosion modeling by an AST, aboveground storage tank 3 expert. And preliminarily there is growing 4 evidence that the holes did not originate from 5 6 outside the tank but from within due to the 7 characteristics that we noted earlier where --8 as you will note here this hole is bored This hole is a little bit smaller 9 through. 10 and these are ones that appear to be forming. 11 So as we proceed with this 12 investigation we're going to subject this portion of the tank to a really close analysis 13 to determine if a failure did occur of the 14 15 corrosion from the inside. 16 To date we have not found any 17 records of inspections that would indicate a 18 rigorous, programmatic, regular inspection 19 frequency. 20 And the lack of engineering 21 inspections that would prescribe the frequency 2.2 and the rigor is something that is noteworthy

1 for our findings so far.

2.2

contained MCHM and PPH mixture had a hole in it. And this to us indicates that there was the possibility of a leak from that tank as well. That's an area of interest for us moving forward that we will pursue to determine if this hole was leaking material, and if so, the amounts that might have been leaked from the tank prior to or after or up to January 9 of this year.

Due to the extensive corrosion the CSB suspects that the leaks existed prior to January 9.

One of the key indicators from these findings is that the multiple pitting and metallurgical damage to 396 and other tanks in the MCHM service.

This is the hole that was observed in T-397 and an area that we will continue to pursue. This is the deformation that I noted earlier on the tank wall.

1 In this slide we've got the 2 depiction of the API 653 diagram of the corrosion that was observed on T-396 which is 3 4 located here. 5 But if you'll note, on T-395 there 6 were areas of concern as well and 397 where 7 the hole which was located right in this area. 8 And it's important to note that 9 these API 653 tests were conducted by multiple 10 API 653-proficient experts. 11 The CSB commissioned our own 12 expert but there were several other API 653 13 inspectors onsite that day. And they used 14 their own independent devices, but they have 15 a marking system to denote where the corrosion 16 was. 17 And because the -- for good or 18 bad, the conditions were kind of wet, so as 19 one API 653 inspector would conclude his work, his work would be obscured because the surface 20 21 of the tank was wet and the chalk markings

would be obliterated.

But over the course of the two
days that these tests were conducted it looked
like a child's hopscotch area in terms of the
number of areas that the corrosion were noted
by the independent inspections that were
ongoing.

So, these are key indicators to us that there was a serious corrosion problem growing in all the tanks and just manifested itself in 396 the worst. But 397 is showing signs of that type of failure, the multiple pitting, the pattern that we noted in 396.

And then the fact that there were possibly holes in the roof that led to water entering into the tank and pooling in areas. There was an odd shape that's associated with the holes in 396. We think that the water may have laid there and kind of facilitated the corrosion that we noted.

Moving forward, as we continue with the investigation we'll subject the metal the we've extracted from these tanks to a

1 metallurgical examination.

2.2

We will hope to bring about a computer modeling of the release. And that would take into consideration the topography of the site itself, the lay of the land so to speak, and help to determine why the material would flow the way that it did, how it would find access to the Elk River.

We'll examine any information that speaks to the public health impact that's of import to this agency, but one that we would consign to agencies and organizations that are proficient to speak to those issues. But we have a keen interest in following those developments.

We would also look at the regulation of aboveground storage tanks to see the applicability of existing rules and if there is a need to strengthen or implement new rules.

We would look at the tank siting of a chemical plant with this close to a water

1	intake system. Obviously that's something of
2	keen interest to us.
3	We'll also look at the evolution
4	of the water treatment intake systems, the
5	nature of that process and any changes that
6	might be necessary moving forward.
7	And then also the emergency
8	planning and notification process that took
9	place when this incident occurred.
10	These are some of the key areas
11	that we will be pursuing as we move forward.
12	That concludes the update on the
13	Freedom Industries investigation but we're
14	happy to entertain any questions that the
15	Board might have.
16	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
17	you, Mr. Banks.
18	First of all, I on the things
19	that you observed and presented I think it's
20	important to note that the underlying root
21	cause of many of our investigations,
<u>.</u> T	

west Virginia is the lack of thorough inspections and hazard reviews. And the for instructive regulations in areas whe find that volunteering, that self-police not preventing the accidents. The Board looks forward to team's final report that will examine regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we ee to find out with the metallurgical test	st two in
for instructive regulations in areas wh find that volunteering, that self-police not preventing the accidents. The Board looks forward to team's final report that will examine regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	L
find that volunteering, that self-police not preventing the accidents. The Board looks forward to team's final report that will examine regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	the need
The Board looks forward to team's final report that will examine regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	where we
The Board looks forward to team's final report that will examine regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	icing is
team's final report that will examine regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	
regulatory oversight of aboveground sto tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	o the
tanks in West Virginia and the U.S. Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	1
Thank you for your presenta Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	torage
Mr. Banks. And now we will see if ther some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	
some questions from the Board to you. Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if you could expand a little more on what we expand the state of the st	tation,
Mr. Griffon, do you have an questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we expand the little more on	ere is
questions to the team? MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we expand to the state of the sta	
MR. GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Just a couple of questions. One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	any
17 Chairman. Just a couple of questions. 18 One is on the metallurgical 19 testing. And I was just wondering if y 20 could expand a little more on what we e	
One is on the metallurgical testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	Mr.
testing. And I was just wondering if y could expand a little more on what we e	I •
could expand a little more on what we e	al
	you
21 to find out with the metallurgical test	expect
	sting.
I think it's primarily to c	confirm

1 or test our hypothesis that the corrosion came 2 from within the tank, not the other way But are there other learnings that we 3 around. 4 might gain from the testing? MR. BANKS: I think in addition to 5 6 the obvious we want to determine where the 7 failure most likely originated from. 8 But the fact that you have a tank of vintage that extends beyond earlier than 9 10 World War II we're looking at the metals that 11 were used there and considering what type of 12 metallurgy might be appropriate for this type 13 of service now. 14 We're hoping to consider the 15 changing of the material from a petroleum-16 based process to one that's seemingly not of 17 that type but still had a detrimental effect 18 on the waterways and the intakes to the West 19 Virginia Water Treatment Association. 20 MR. GRIFFON: Thank you. And 21 these other questions are more on some of the 2.2 information on the toxicity issues and things

1	like that.
2	I'm trying to square there's a
3	couple of slides we have in here. I'm just
4	trying to square this in my mind.
5	On the water advisory time line we
6	say that there's no adverse or I'll restate
7	that. I think CDC determined that there were
8	no adverse health effects below 10 parts per
9	billion.
LO	And then later in our finding, the
L1	first finding slide, we say there's limited
L2	information on long-term health impact at low
L3	concentrations.
L 4	I'm just trying to square those
L5	two statements in my mind. Can you help me
L6	with that?
L7	MS. TYLER: The statement that we
L8	made about the fact that there is little
L9	information available is based on our review
20	of the manufacturer's toxicology reports.
21	And from that information we
22	learned that they had all animal studies for

acute or short-term exposures to MCHM at high concentrations.

in our investigation that there is very limited information available that we have reviewed thus far for low concentrations, the type of exposures that would have been in the water over several days or several weeks.

MR. GRIFFON: Okay. And I think this sort of -- my next question builds on the last one.

The Bureau of Public Health and CDC apparently are continuing work on this matter. Is there any update? I mean I know we're not doing the study of those issues, but we're following it as Johnnie indicated.

Can you give us an update on what actions the West Virginia Bureau of Public Health, or CDC, or I think there's another group, West Virginia Testing Assessment Project. Can you give us a brief update on where they're going with their investigations?

1 MR. BANKS: Sure. We've been 2 working closely with the West Virginia 3 Department of Public Health from previous But this may vary. 4 incidents. 5 But it's, as I said, a keen 6 interest for us. There was the release of 7 information I think last week of the effects 8 of MCHM not being or being more severe than was originally reported. 9 10 So those areas that we're looking 11 to try to wrap our arms around and see and 12 engage the significance of those findings. Wе 13 rely on the expertise that those bodies have 14 within to provide the type of information that 15 the public is requesting and in need of to make decisions on whether -- how they feel 16 17 about the state of affairs regarding the 18 water. 19 The Bureau of Public Health, 20 Department of Public Health, the TAP project, 21 those are all ongoing processes. So we'll

continue to partner with them to gather

1	information and through those collective
2	activities I think we'll provide some
3	information that the public can find useful.
4	MR. GRIFFON: Thank you. And just
5	the last question, and I think this may have
6	been said in the presentation but I think it
7	might bear repeating.
8	The actions that you list at the
9	end of your presentation. One was the
LO	consideration of public health impact.
L1	And perhaps you mentioned this
L2	already, but can you maybe restate for me as
L3	well as the audience what the CSB's role in
L4	that.
L5	In other words, I don't think
L6	we're we're certainly not in a position to
L7	be doing a health study. But I think what
L8	are we going to do in that regard regarding
L9	health impacts, public health impacts?
20	MR. BANKS: We are not, you know,
21	public health experts. But I think we will
22	examine the reports that come from these

1	bodies that have the expertise. Those facts
2	will be I think we are in a position to
3	disseminate that information certainly.
4	But we rely on them to have
5	resources and the wherewithal to give the
6	public the information that they need to make
7	the decisions on usage moving forward.
8	MR. GRIFFON: And I'm hopeful also
9	that in doing, in reviewing this information
LO	that will give us some insights as to possible
L1	gaps in how public health and environmental
L2	impact are regulated. So I think that's
L3	probably part of the equation.
L 4	We'll consider this data that's in
L5	front of us but then we'll also look and see
L6	how the overall system should be changed.
L7	Thank you very much.
L8	MR. BANKS: Thank you.
L9	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
20	you, Mr. Griffon.
21	I have a question for the panel
22	too. It seems that the issue of storage of

chemicals near waterways is not a West

Virginia problem but is a nationwide problem.

And one of the issues that you are looking at and that you have talked about in this preliminary investigation is how an inspection of the integrity of these tanks will be necessary.

And my question is are there specific regulations that give instructions to operators on how to inspect the tank and how to find out if the corrosion might or might not be a problem? Or are these voluntary regulations? Or what do we know so far about in this issue?

MR. BANKS: Well, using API 653 guidance there's a prescribed methodology for examining tanks that are in service that if followed and adhered to these type of failures can be detected early, the corrections made and there's no impact on the environment or the community when something like this happens.

1	I think one of the observations
2	that I came away from in observing the testing
3	with the various API 653 inspectors was that
4	they were finding areas of concern. And had
5	one of the tanks been emptied and an API 653
6	inspection conducted in all likelihood the
7	potential failures might have been found and
8	we're not having this conversation.
9	So, it speaks to being proactive
10	in considering the potential impact of a
11	failure of a tank such as these in proximity
12	to a water intake for such a large population.
13	It's a tributary to the Kanawha River, the
14	intake to the West Virginia American Water
15	Company. There's a number of different issues
16	there that loom as large and significant for
17	our agency in terms of impact of an event like
18	this on the community.
19	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
20	you, Mr. Banks.
21	And another question that I have
22	is I understand that OSHA responded to this

1	situation and they issued some citations.
2	Could you refresh my memory about how did OSHA
3	participate in this?
4	MS. TYLER: Yes. OSHA just
5	recently issued three citations to Freedom
6	Industries. There were two Serious and one
7	Other Than Serious citations totaling \$11,000
8	as of now.
9	The citations include, one, they
10	had a violation for not having railings on an
11	elevated platform at the facility.
12	Another one was the walls of the
13	dyked area or the secondary containment were
14	not liquid-tight.
15	And the third one was there was
16	improper labeling of the storage tank. In
17	particular, it was containing MCHM but it was
18	labeled as containing something else. Those
19	were the citations.
20	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
21	you very much.
22	At this time I would like to open

1	the floor for public comment. I would like to
2	ask Dr. Horowitz to lead the discussion.
3	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, Mr.
4	Chairman.
5	The first commenter is it looks
6	like Janet J.T. Thompson. And Ms. Thompson,
7	if you could please spell your name for the
8	transcriber and state your affiliation if you
9	would be so kind.
10	MS. THOMPSON: J-A-N-E-T T-H-O-M-
11	P-S-O-N. I'm just representing community
12	citizens of counties involved in the state.
13	Initially I thought I would be
14	coming here to not having ever came to a
15	findings and recommendation before. I kind of
16	thought it would be like the county where we
17	make our comments. So I thought we'd be
18	discussing the criminal aspect of Freedom
19	hiding this spill because it's obvious from
20	the information that it's been going on longer
21	which is verified with the tanks.
22	And I thought, well, there will be

1 conversation on the bankruptcy fraud, there's 2 conspiracy on that because the information is out about that. 3 But now that I understand it's 4 5 about your investigation my concern is this. 6 Freedom -- the Etowah terminal facility, the 7 DEP was supposedly monitoring it since about 8 2005 regarding remediation with the Ouaker Pennzoil, the diesel and everything. 9 10 not quite sure how this went on for so long. 11 And also, I don't know if you have 12 anything to do with this but it was 400,000 gallons of seven chemicals located there. 13 So 14 I basically get my information from the 15 papers. 16 So I'm trying to figure out are 17 the chemicals removed? And if so, why is 18 there still a smell not of the licorice by 19 Washington Manor next to the water plant and in front of Freedom even now? Not the 20 21 licorice smell, but a strong chemical smell.

I don't know if you have anything

22

1 to do with that but that's our concerns. 2 And I'm mostly concerned about the children because of the families who didn't 3 4 know about the spill and don't understand the 5 chemical issues regarding it. You say there's 6 no tests and -- our tests as regarding to what 7 the effect is. 8 I'm just concerned that this is still an ongoing thing. And why would the 9 10 water company change filters before the plant 11 was tore down? 12 So I don't know if you all have 13 anything to do with that but those are my 14 concerns and comments. 15 Regarding the nature MR. BANKS: 16 of our investigation as we make known in any 17 venue that we give oversight of what we do, 18 we're an independent agency. And by that we 19 are independent of other entities that are 20 investigating this case. 21 There are other agencies and 2.2 organizations that have an enforcement or a

1 penalty component to their findings. Ours is 2 by design independent and non-blaming, non-3 punishing so that we can get to the facts. And we have conducted our 4 5 investigation in that vein from the very 6 outset. 7 I think the note that you make of 8 the odors, that is to be expected. That's not 9 making excuses for anything. 10 But they have started the process 11 of removing these tanks from the site. They 12 have cut holes in the tank and there is material that -- residue. 13 14 And so I don't know that that's 15 what you're smelling but it's very likely what 16 you're smelling. 17 There's a very high likelihood 18 that when the MCHM tanks come up that there will be a reintroduction of the smell of 19 20 licorice into the atmosphere. It would be 21 prudent for folks to -- to give folks a heads 2.2 up that that's in the offing, that the tanks

1	are coming up and they may smell MCHM.
2	All of the concerns that you
3	raised, the concerns of the children, we're
4	concerned for everybody. We have a concern
5	for providing information that is as accurate
6	as we can possibly make it so that folks can
7	make informed decisions on what they need to
8	do.
9	And that's our commitment and will
10	continue to be.
11	DR. HOROWITZ: Next is Maya Nye,
12	People Concerned About Chemical Safety.
13	MS. NYE: Hello, Mr. Chairman.
14	Thank you for once again coming to town, Board
15	Members and trustee staff, investigators.
16	It's always reassuring to see you here because
17	we know that there will be a thorough
18	investigation of what happens at yet another
19	chemical disaster.
20	I want to reiterate Brother John's
21	point from the Chemical Workers Union from the
22	previous presentation and just say that

1	hopefully the information will be available to
2	the public prior to the meeting to be able to
3	give thoughtful comment that you can then
4	utilize when making your decisions whether or
5	not to pass the report.
6	I also, I heard you mention that
7	lack of inspections and review are a major
8	issue. That's something that we have
9	reiterated time and time again. So it's good
LO	to hear that that is something on your radar.
L1	And that volunteer programs, it
L2	seems it's very apparent that those do not
L3	work.
L 4	And speaking to Mr. Griffon, to
L5	your point about what is the role of the
L6	Chemical Safety Board in addressing the public
L7	health concern.
L8	Well, the issue is that we need to
L9	have accurate scientific information in order
20	to determine what the hazards are.
21	And in this case it's becoming
22	clearer and clearer that we do not have that

1 information. As highlighted by the reports 2 recently from Dr. Welton and the information that he previously put out. 3 4 So it's very concerning to hear that potentially this chemical could be 2 to 5 6 6 times more hazardous than reported, than 7 voluntarily or self-reported from industry. So that's very important. 8 I wonder if you will take into 9 10 consideration any sort of additional financial 11 penalties, in making recommendations on 12 increasing the financial penalties that are 13 given to industry. Because time and time 14 again slaps on the wrist show that it doesn't 15 prevent future chemical disasters. 16 And going back to the point on the 17 lack of information about this chemical, the 18 information that was utilized to make public health decisions was based on the information 19 20 that was provided by Eastman Chemical. That information is also what is 21

reported on the material safety data sheets

1	which workers use to determine exposure
2	limits, all kinds of issues. So it's very,
3	very important that we have accurate data and
4	it just proves that we need checks and
5	balances.
6	I obviously have a whole lot to
7	say but maybe I'll present some written
8	comments. Thank you for being here once
9	again.
10	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. Next is
11	Vernon Haltom, Coal River Mountain Watch. And
12	could you spell your name for the transcriber,
13	please?
14	MR. HALTOM: Vernon, V-E-R-N-O-N,
15	Haltom, H-A-L-T-O-M as in Mary. And I am with
16	Coal River Mountain Watch and the Appalachian
17	Community Health Emergency Campaign.
18	On January 9 I watched the news
19	that evening and was appalled when the news
20	report stated that this chemical was non-
21	toxic.
22	And I thought of all the people,

1 our friends and members who were in the 2 affected area who might take that seriously and think that, well, if it's non-toxic it 3 4 should be okay. 5 And that information was put out 6 by the Department of Environmental Protection. 7 And I think that was somewhat irresponsible in making that statement so early in the crisis. 8 As we found this chemical is far 9 10 from non-toxic and we're still just learning 11 about it. 12 I think it's also important to 13 note that this was not merely the result of 14 the corrosion of one tank or two tanks, but a 15 culture of resistance to regulation and 16 enforcement of regulations statewide. 17 The previous Governor Joe Manchin 18 once told a coal industry gathering that he 19 didn't want his inspectors going out with a 20 ball bat, cease and desist order and fines. 21 That guidance has not been lifted 2.2 to my knowledge and that culture is still what

1 has permeated the regulatory agencies in West 2 Virginia so that they do not take their job seriously. 3 4 They do not conduct inspections in 5 a timely manner or in an effective manner, and 6 they do not issue appropriate fines and cease 7 and desist orders when those things are 8 There is no deterrence to this appropriate. sort of bad behavior. 9 10 And we've seen the slaps on the 11 wrist that Freedom Industries has received and 12 that's par for the course in West Virginia. 13 The coal industry has many, many violations. This chemical and others leak 14 15 into streams and is pumped into well water 16 supplies throughout the coal fields where 17 mountaintop removal occurs and in many places. 18 And it doesn't make the news because it is so 19 routine. 20 So I do hope that the 21 recommendations include, one, that the state 2.2 regulators insist and instill a culture of

1	making people's health and safety the top
2	priority, not an afterthought, not after the
3	disaster has occurred, and place that health
4	and safety ahead of industry profits and
5	convenience.
6	Now, we need to institute the
7	precautionary principle in West Virginia. Not
8	wait until people are sick and dead and their
9	heirs possibly sue a polluting industry and
10	settle for pennies on the dollar years down
11	the road.
12	And I appreciate your time and
13	thank you for coming and making your results
14	known. Thank you.
15	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. Next is
16	John Morawetz from the National Chemical
17	Workers Union.
18	MR. MORAWETZ: And for the record
19	it's M-O-R-A-W-E-T-Z.
20	One, thanks for the staff and the
21	Board for some information. In terms of
22	process it's very interesting.

1 It's the first public meeting I've 2 been to that's an update, not a final report. 3 It gives us some insight as to where the staff 4 are going with the report. It's helpful 5 information and helpful also for you to hear 6 from the public. 7 So as an idea of where the process 8

may go I think you may want to consider -- I know it's expensive for everybody to be here, but a few investigators coming to a public meeting, releasing what's going on could be helpful in the future.

Two is I work in Cincinnati, Ohio.

We are painfully aware of problems that happen
upstream of the Ohio River, Kanawha River.

In this instance we -- the gates for the water intake are upstream of Cincinnati on the Ohio River and about -- we're used to this. A week after the spill they closed the gates. They get the water from inland in Ohio and that's what feeds our system. And then they're closed again. I

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

also personally drank a lot of bottled water. 1 2 Our particular involvement is that the Chemical Workers works very closely with 3 American Federation of Teachers. 4 And AFT 5 approached us with their West Virginia 6 affiliate for information because on January 7 10th or 11th, maybe 12th, somewhere around 8 there, the custodians who are represented by the West Virginia Teachers Association were 9 10 asked to purge the lines in the schools. 11 So we came up with a fact sheet 12 which I've given to Johnnie Banks and to the 13 Board Members, Chairman Moure-Eraso and Member 14 Griffon. 15 And also the Bureau of Public 16 Health in West Virginia basically copied it 17 within a day and put the same thing out. And 18 we're very pleased to be able to help get 19 information out. 20 I'm looking at it now sitting here 21 and realizing at that point in time the 2.2 recommendation was 1 part per million. The

recommendation now is 10 parts per billion.

The numbers are very strange. Ten parts per billion is -- with the recommendation originally it was 1,000 parts per billion. So basically it was dropped by 2 orders of magnitude divided by 100.

And I remember controversy among toxicologists. And what underlies this all?

We don't have enough information. It was pure MCHM. You hear all these discussions. We don't know and regretfully all too often there's a rush to "it's safe" without a sort of reasoned discussion, what evidence do we have, and what can we do to have a safe, precautionary principle for people, whether it's workers or the community that could be exposed.

Because every community situation, you scratch the surface, there's a worker situation. Every worker situation, sooner or later it's going to be an environmental exposure. So we should look at all of those.

I would say also in terms of the root cause analysis I was very pleased in I think Johnnie's presentation or Lucy's -- I think it was Johnnie Banks' -- that there was a question of siting of the facilities.

to hear that a mile upstream of a water intake for any community let alone a city can have this possibility. It seems to me we need to grandfather in some zoning situation, a question that the CSB has dealt with before, NDK Crystal. There was an explosion that was -- this facility was close, within a couple hundred feet of Interstate 90. A trucker was killed.

There should be some question of zoning, not just West Virginia, but where do we want to have facilities that have thousands of gallons of toxic chemicals that are this close to our water intake.

I also hope that in your report you'll talk about the odor threshold.

Clearly, is it adequate. What are the warning
properties there? And thank you very much for
your time.
DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, Mr.
Morawetz. And next is David Christianson. Go
ahead, sir.
MR. CHRISTENSEN: My name's Dave
Christensen. I'm a retired chemical engineer,
registered.
DR. HOROWITZ: Sir, would you mind
spelling it for the transcriber?
MR. CHRISTENSEN: Certainly. C-H-
R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E-N.
I'm a retired chemical engineer,
registered professional engineer, 37 years in
the chemical business. Half of that in
process risk assessment. That's my
background.
And my point is that, to use a
West Virginia expression, let's not get bore-
sighted. Consider what we're looking at here.
MCHM and PPH are not unique

1	chemicals in the lack of knowledge of them.
2	In fact, I think the majority of them out
3	there in the chemical business do not have
4	complete toxicology data.
5	Animal studies are not and most
6	of the time that's the limit. So it's not
7	unusual to be confronted with this. That's my
8	point on bore-sighted. Let's just not focus
9	on these two chemicals.
LO	The other point is that we heard -
L1	- we talk about concentrations, 10 parts per
L2	billion, 1 part people million. How many
L3	chemicals do we have in our water supply at
L 4	the 10 part per billion level? It would be a
L5	list as long as this room.
L6	How many of those do we have
L7	toxicology information on? How many of them
L8	are in the same state as the two chemicals
L9	that we're talking about?
20	So that's really my message. It's
21	a broader issue than just these two chemicals.
22	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. Thank

1	you for the comment. Next is John Robinson,
2	KCHD.
3	MR. ROBINSON: Actually I thought
4	that was an attendance sheet so I signed in on
5	the wrong page.
6	(Laughter)
7	MR. ROBINSON: For the record.
8	But thank you.
9	DR. HOROWITZ: Okay. Did you have
10	a comment?
11	MR. ROBINSON: Actually I'm here
12	to ask if there will ever be any studies done
13	on the species that live in the river and how
14	that might affect the environment as well.
15	DR. HOROWITZ: Do you want to
16	speak to that? Environmental impacts?
17	MR. BANKS: I think early on there
18	was an assessment of fish. And there were no
19	fish-kill recorded. And that was early, early
20	on in the investigation. I've not heard of
21	anything subsequent to that, but again, those
22	are areas of expertise that are beyond our

1	scope of our investigation. But those are
2	things that can help to develop really a solid
3	base of information from which folks can learn
4	and grow from.
5	DR. HOROWITZ: There's one that
6	I'm just having trouble with the handwriting
7	so if you don't notice your name called please
8	come up after the other three. And it's just
9	a little hard to decipher here.
10	And then next I think is Vivian
11	Stockman, OVEC.
12	MS. STOCKMAN: Yes, that's
13	Stockman, S-T-O-C-K-M-A-N. OVEC is Ohio
14	Valley Environmental Coalition.
15	Thank you for your investigation
16	here. We definitely need the scrutiny.
17	As the Chairman of the Board noted
18	your investigation so far shows that the self-
19	policing does not work. And it shows that the
20	state government, the DEP is failing to do its
21	job of inspections and enforcement of
22	regulations.

I'm struck especially by the statement in the press release from the Chairman which says the accident was a disaster of the highest magnitude, was preventible and must be averted in any other community to prevent disasters in other communities.

I think in one case or several cases we're a little too late. I'm thinking of the communities of Rawl, Lick Creek,

Merrimac and Sprigg in Mingo County and

Prenter in Boone County where waste from coal plants has poisoned the well water. So that's what this MCHM chemical was.

And we're finding there's probably dozens if not close to a hundred of different type of chemicals used in the coal prep plants.

So I'm just wondering if there is any possibility of a recommendation of another study looking into these plants and what chemicals are used at the plants.

1	And no more proprietary formula
2	secrets. We need to know where the chemicals
3	are stored, how those tanks are investigated,
4	how they're inspected rather at the prep
5	plants.
6	We need monitoring of the effluent
7	coming out of these plants. And I'm just
8	fearful that the MCHM type chemicals have
9	already leached into the waterways and
10	communities in the areas that I've mentioned
11	and elsewhere where there's coal slurry
12	impoundments, underground coal slurry
13	injections and these prep plants.
14	I'm afraid this same type of
15	disaster is unfolding in these areas. So I
16	appreciate it if there's any possibility to
17	extend some sort of investigation to all the
18	chemicals used at those plants.
19	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. Next is
20	Chris Hale, Friends of Water.
21	MR. C. HALE: Hello. Chris Hale.
22	And just want to thank you for being here. We

1	need you.
2	To echo a couple of earlier
3	comments with regard to the fees that they're
4	paying their attorneys per month are about
5	roughly \$2,500 more than the OSHA fine to put
6	that number in perspective. And we find that
7	troubling.
8	We do want to thank you here and
9	this comment is more directed toward our
10	regional and state leaders to finally
11	implement the recommendations.
12	The Chemical Safety Board was here
13	in 2008, 2011 and in 2014. And the cycle of
14	carelessness and industrial negligence needs
15	to stop now.
16	And I will too say that I have
17	family members down river in Louisville,
18	Kentucky. So this didn't only affect
19	Cincinnati. They also had to shut off the
20	mains in Louisville. And this is a great
21	concern. Thank you.

DR. HOROWITZ:

22

Thank you, Mr.

1	Hale. And the next is Kenneth Hale, NAACP.
2	MR. K. HALE: No relation to Chris
3	that I know of.
4	Historically, Charleston has been
5	known as the chemical capital of the world.
6	And for us in the NAACP it's a concern that
7	environmental justice is not happening in the
8	way that protects its citizens.
9	You've given some figures from
LO	your documents and your findings of 10,000
L1	gallons being spilled, and the tanks have the
L2	capacity of 48,000. And folks can speculate
L3	because of the lack of inspections and the
L 4	lack of reports from Freedom Industries that
L5	the amount of spill could have been greater.
L6	That's not my concern. That's not
L7	what I'm a resident expert on.
L8	My concern as far as the NAACP and
L9	the citizens of West Virginia, we thank the
20	City of Charleston for using the fire
21	departments as distribution points for those
22	to pick up water.

But it seemed to have a lack of
concern for those who could least afford to
purchase water. And the areas that were
mentioned by J.T., Washington Manor, Orchard
Manor, Littlepage, South Park, all are located
near community centers which could have been
used and made available by the city to
distribute water to the poor and indigent
folks of the City of Charleston.

And when you consider the fact that it was a week later or even possibly further that folks were able to get to the water or even -- and even have notice.

Because not everybody has a TV or a radio in their home. The indigent folks I'm talking about. So they may not have even known that the water was not even potable.

And the concern is we need a plan.

And I say "we" West Virginia, City of

Charleston needs a plan that takes these

things into consideration of how water will be dispersed.

1	And not only the water and what
2	happened with the chemical plant. We have a
3	lot of chemical tanks going up and down our
4	tracks which could easily spill into our water
5	system.
6	So when you're looking at above
7	storage tanks I think you also need to look at
8	another industry and that would be the
9	railroad industry, and how they store their
10	tanks, and what type of things. Because this
11	could happen again and especially in this
12	community.
13	And again, we've got a lot of
14	chemical plants, Monsanto, DuPont, all around
15	here. And again, when you're looking at
16	Freedom Industries I think you need to take a
17	closer look at all the industries around the
18	valley. Thank you.
19	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. And is
20	there anyone else in the audience who'd like
21	to comment who hasn't signed up? Yes, sir.
22	MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm Phil Price

1	with Neoteric Associates.
2	My question was relating to the
3	very first slide that mentions two chemical
4	components in the spill. And yet public
5	domain data from Tennessee Eastman and Dow
6	Chemical easily indicates 10 compounds in the
7	spill.
8	My question is has this group
9	actually done LCMS and GCMS analyses to
10	produce accurate qualitative and quantitative
11	analyses of a retained sample of what was
12	actually spilled. Or are we merely taking
13	Freedom's word for it as to what was in the
14	tank?
15	DR. HOROWITZ: Fair question.
16	MR. BANKS: We obtained samples of
17	the MCHM-PPH mixture early on. That
18	information has not been processed in the lab
19	as yet. We are in the process of winding up
20	our information- and data-gathering portion of
21	the investigation.
22	Now we have to make sense of it

1	all. I mean, we go out there and we have a
2	relatively small team of folks that have been
3	assigned to this investigation since January.
4	And the bulk of that has been
5	conducting interviews, photo documenting,
6	getting the metal and the samples.
7	Okay, so now comes the really
8	heart and soul of what we do is making sense
9	of it all. So that's the next step in the
LO	process.
L1	So we do have samples that we have
L2	gathered with our partners at OSHA and the
L3	plan is to get the results from that.
L 4	DR. HOROWITZ: Any others? Yes,
L5	ma'am. Or either one.
L6	MS. LEWIS: My name is Conni
L7	Gratop Lewis. For the transcriber, C-O-N-N-I
L8	Gratop G-R-A-T-O-P Lewis L-E-W-I-S.
L9	Like J.T. and Kenneth I'm here on
20	behalf of the citizens of Charleston. And
21	this, what I have to offer is some guidance
22	for the staff as they move forward.

1	It is not sufficient in studying
2	the health impacts to rely on the Bureau of
3	Public Health or the West Virginia State
4	Health Department. You must talk to the
5	Kanawha Charleston Health Department.
6	There were approximately, the best
7	studies indicate 100,000 of the 300,000 people
8	most impacted who reported who had physical
9	symptoms.
10	They may have gone to their
11	individual private doctor. They may have
12	decided they weren't sick enough to report to
13	a hospital emergency room or to a clinic like
14	MedExpress.
15	When the state health department
16	and the CDC commented on the health impacts
17	they were extremely dismissive. In fact, they
18	were insulting to the thousands of citizens
19	who were impacted although perhaps not
20	seriously.
21	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. And I
22	believe we are in contact with that health

1	department.
2	MR. BANKS: I was remiss in not
3	mentioning the fact that we have been in close
4	contact with Kanawha County Health Department
5	as well.
6	So it's the whole suite of folks
7	that are looking at this incident. We have
8	had some dialogue with most if not all of
9	them. And we value their input. And so it's
LO	multiple sources to get information on this
L 1	incident.
L 2	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you for the
L3	comment and clarification. And yes, ma'am, I
L 4	think you had a comment too.
L 5	MS. SODARO: My name is Linda
L6	Sodaro, L-I-N-D-A S-O-D-A-R-O. I'm a
L 7	community member who went through this like
L8	everybody else.
L9	And I noticed that you talked
20	about water coming into the tank and causing
21	corrosion at the bottom of the tank.
22	Did you consider water coming

1 under the tank as Freedom has tried to point 2 the fingers at the airport? MR. BANKS: Yes. We've not ruled 3 anything out. What we do is we gather as much 4 5 physical evidence as we possibly can and draw 6 conclusions based on that evidence. 7 The evidence based on our 8 observations and input from our tank expert is that the corrosion occurred from within the 9 10 tank based on the slide that we showed there 11 of the development of two holes and then the 12 gradual lessening of pits that were issuing forth from those first two holes. Which does 13 14 indicate that there may have been some 15 deformation in the tank floor that allowed 16 water to pool there, which would tend to 17 increase the corrosion. 18 But those are things that we want 19 to make an absolute determination of through our forensic examination of this metal at the 20 21 lab.

So those are things that we hope

2.2

1	to really make a really firm declaration on.
2	MS. SODARO: I have another
3	question.
4	DR. HOROWITZ: Go ahead.
5	MS. SODARO: Are you going to be
6	able to determine exactly how long the spill
7	was going on? Because I know for a fact I
8	tasted it in the water in the middle of
9	December. And I thought it was my filter
10	pitcher that needed the filter changed. So I
11	know it was in there before, well before
12	January 9.
13	MR. BANKS: We're in the process
14	of coming back to the site to grab soil
15	samples which will help us to determine the
16	permeability of the soil which kind of
17	indicates the retention characteristics. How
18	readily would material that is leached into
19	that soil migrate out towards the Elk River.
20	So those are things that will help
21	us to determine leak rates and possibly step
22	back and take a look at how long the leak

1	might have occurred.
2	I'm not absolute surety at this
3	stage of the game, but if we can determine the
4	amount of retention characteristic in the soil
5	we may be able to make those things available.
6	MS. SODARO: I thank you for being
7	here because living in fear of your water is
8	no way to live. Thank you.
9	DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you. Any
LO	others?
L1	MS. THOMPSON: Could I just ask
L2	Mr. Banks one more question?
L3	DR. HOROWITZ: Sure. Can you come
L 4	up to the microphone?
L5	MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Banks, I
L6	appreciate you all too, but as I stated
L7	earlier, Freedom site indicates they held
L8	seven different chemicals, 400,000 gallons.
L9	So my question is will you check
20	all the tanks for holes? And be sure that
21	none of the other seven, because you had three
22	you checked, aren't leaking.

1	And if so, will you do that and
2	then we have even more on our mind that
3	there's other chemicals that actually came out
4	of those? Because all the tanks were leaking.
5	Will you do that? I mean, I don't know. I
6	hope you do.
7	MR. BANKS: We have not done that
8	and there are no plans to do that.
9	What we do is we're looking for a
LO	root cause for this event which was the
L1	release of a significant amount of MCHM and
L2	PPH into the Elk River that impacted 300,000
L3	people.
L 4	We if there were indications
L5	that there were other chemicals in the water
L6	that were detected obviously we would look at
L7	those other tanks.
L8	But we have limited resources and
L9	so what we've done is to wrap our arms around
20	what we see is clearly the source of the
21	problem.
22	And we don't rule out the

1	possibility of other things but we're not
2	seeing any other constituents that were
3	involved.
4	Now, if we were to see that there
5	are some tanks there that are marked glycerin,
6	for instance. If we were to see that there
7	was a pronounced quantity or concentration of
8	glycerin in the water then we would look at
9	those tanks as well.
10	DR. HOROWITZ: Any others? Yes,
11	sir. Come back.
12	MR. K. HALE: I know this is
13	turning into a discussion now because one of
14	our concerns was not just the chemical spill
15	but what type of impact to the intake system
16	to West Virginia water would these chemicals
17	have on its filtering system, you know, things
18	of that nature.
19	So what I'm concerned is our
20	drinking water, our potable water. So I mean,
21	it was two or three months later before this
22	oh we need to change our filters at West

1	Virginia American Water.
2	Maybe the spill had been stopped
3	but the water intake process and what was
4	being distributed to the community could still
5	be unsafe if these chemicals, MCHM and PPH
6	could affect or get into the water system
7	itself.
8	So there's still some questions
9	about West Virginia American Water, whether
10	they're taking all due diligence in relieving
11	us. Because again, they won't in
12	Charleston still feel unsafe to drink the
13	water.
14	And then creating a second intake
15	system from another water source. You know,
16	those questions have been asked.
17	So whatever information you all
18	come out I think needs to go to the Public
19	Service Commission in your report to indicate
20	whether West Virginia American Water has done
21	its due diligence.
22	DR. HOROWITZ: Any others? I

1	think that's it, Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
3	you, Dr. Horowitz.
4	I would like to thank all of you
5	here in the room for your attendance at this
6	public meeting. And I also would like to
7	thank the CSB team that is sitting here in the
8	front for their dedication to both of these
9	two projects.
10	I also want to mention my fellow
11	Board Member Mark Griffon for his comments
12	here today.
13	And all of us share a strong
14	interest in preventing these tragic explosions
15	and chemical releases in the future.
16	I also would like to reiterate my
17	recognition and thanks to Senator Rockefeller
18	for his consistent support for to obtain
19	for the agency the necessary resources to
20	conduct our mission.
21	Moving forward with our Freedom
22	Industries investigation we will all be

1	working together with the staff to see that
2	the important recommendations are developed to
3	prevent future accidents that affect the
4	health and safety of local residents and
5	businesses and their implications for the
6	nation.
7	I would again like to thank all of
8	you today and to the audience and the staff.
9	With that this meeting is
LO	adjourned.
L1	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
L2	matter went off the record at 2:34 p.m.)
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

	16:14 40:19 45:2	agenda 4:19 7:4	27:20,22	arms 75:11 113:19
Α Α	58:6 72:5	21:5 42:18 53:14	announced 33:22	arrived 57:5
\$11 15:21	additional 45:19	ago 34:11	58:9,19	asked 15:18 93:10
\$11,000 80:7	87:10	agree 11:8	announcements	115:16
\$2 16:12	additionally 30:6	ahead 91:4 96:6	57:18	asking 10:6
\$2,500 102:5	46:2	111:4	annual 41:19	aspect 81:18
A-G-E-N-D-A 2:1	additives 23:5	air 25:15 26:2	answering 47:4	aspects 4:3 24:14
ability 16:6	address 5:5 12:9	airport 110:2	answers 15:6	assessment 40:15
able 62:18 86:2	32:1 41:16	AL 1:3 2:6,12 5:1,5	anybody 5:20	74:20 96:17 98:18
93:18 104:12	addressed 32:20	5:16 6:9,20 7:9	48:18 51:17	assigned 107:3
111:6 112:5	addressing 86:16	8:3,17,19 9:21	anymore 6:3	assist 61:8
above-entitled 55:8	adds 23:8	10:20 12:13,20	anymore 0.3 anyway 47:3	assistance 45:21
117:11	adequate 96:1	13:18 14:4 17:12	API 64:1,2 67:2,9	associated 30:4
aboveground 56:8	adhere 37:16	18:10 20:9,14,17	67:10,12,19 78:15	54:3 68:16
65:3 69:17 71:9	adhered 78:18	21:9 22:1 23:2,2,6	79:3,5	Associates 106:1
absolute 110:19	Adjourn 2:22	23:11,16,19,21	Appalachian 88:16	Association 40:12
112:2	adjourned 117:10	24:19,21 25:9,17	appalled 88:19	72:19 93:9
access 62:8 69:8	Administration	26:8,12 28:6,14	appaned 88:19 apparent 86:12	AST 56:8 65:3
accident 5:1,5 6:11	38:21	29:17 30:6,17	11	
6:19 8:2 9:2		32:5 36:7,12 37:8	apparently 74:13 appear 65:10	atmosphere 84:20
100:3	adopted 36:3 advance 50:4	37:12,15,21 38:22	applicability 69:18	atmospheres 30:9 62:17
accidents 3:22 4:4		, , ,	•	V = V = V
4:7,14 10:2 15:4	adverse 58:20 73:6 73:8	39:2 41:1,4,14,22	apply 41:6	attempt 16:11 attendance 98:4
71:6 117:3		42:7,14 43:4 46:8	appreciate 13:1	
account 51:11	advised 57:21	46:11 47:20 48:19	14:19 18:2 91:12	116:5
accountable 17:1	advisories 59:1	49:6 50:22 51:16	101:16 112:16	attending 18:1
accumulated 27:5	advisory 58:2 73:5	53:11,16,21 54:21	approached 93:5	attorneys 102:4
accumulating	advocacy 44:10	alert 45:13	appropriate 58:9	audience 76:13
29:18	advocate 11:12	allocate 15:20	59:7 72:12 90:6,8	105:20 117:8
accumulations	affairs 75:17	allowed 110:15	Appropriations 15:19	audio 1:21
29:22 30:8 48:11	affect 98:14 102:18	allowing 17:17		August 31:4
accurate 85:5	115:6 117:3	alloy 23:5	approve 5:8 53:16	authority 42:12
86:19 88:3 106:10	affiliate 93:6 affiliation 81:8	alloys 23:10	53:20	auto-ignite 26:2
Acoustics 32:12		aluminum 23:5,10	approved 54:18	automated 43:14
Act 16:18	afford 104:2	American 56:17	approximately 24:6 108:6	available 12:7 28:4
acted 20:4	afraid 101:14	57:9,21 79:14		61:2 73:19 74:5 86:1 104:7 112:5
action 5:14 9:8	AFT 93:4	93:4 115:1,9,20 amount 103:15	April 33:21 34:5	
10:2 11:9 16:15	aftermath 32:21 afternoon 3:4		area 24:10,10	averted 100:5
34:6 53:3		112:4 113:11	37:20 57:15 58:11	avoid 28:16
actions 74:18 76:8	20:13	amounts 66:9	62:21 66:6,20	aware 7:15,19
active 19:13 44:10	afterthought 91:2	analyses 106:9,11	67:7 68:3 80:13 89:2	92:14
activities 14:17	agencies 15:11 16:4	analysis 42:9 64:16		awareness 45:5
18:4 44:17 45:4	69:12 83:21 90:1	65:13 95:2	areas 29:8 64:11	B
46:5 76:2	agency 3:21 4:2	analyze 50:12	67:6 68:4,15	bachelor 19:5,10
activity 62:6	17:15 18:13 34:11	anemic 16:13	70:10 71:4 75:10	back 87:16 111:14
acute 74:1	40:3 69:11 79:17	animal 60:16 73:22	79:4 98:22 101:10	111:22 114:11
add 20:7	83:18 116:19	97:5	101:15 104:3	background 22:17
addition 7:15 8:22	agency's 39:22	animation 22:19	arising 36:20	96:18
				70.10

had 67:19 00:0	billion 58:21 73:9	huilding 26:16 21:2	caused 8:14 26:18	ahantara 11.6
bad 67:18 90:9 balances 88:5		building 26:16 31:3		chapters 41:6 char 29:1
	94:1,3,4 97:12,14	31:11,13 36:14	31:8,12 36:14	
ball 89:20	bills 16:21	40:16	39:10	characteristic
bank 24:4	bit 50:18 63:3 64:7	buildings 42:4	causes 4:4 12:1	112:4
bankruptcy 82:1	65:9	builds 74:10	causing 28:11	characteristics
Banks 1:17 2:7,15	blast 31:9	buildup 27:16	29:14 37:10	25:13 63:6 65:7
18:9,12 20:6,10	blended 25:2	bulk 107:4	109:20	111:17
20:11 22:4 24:17	blender 25:6 28:7,9	Bureau 59:15	CDC 58:1,8,13,19	charge 19:20
51:19 55:13,16,17	28:10,13,15,20	74:12,18 75:19	59:1,6 73:7 74:13	charges 25:20
61:11,14 62:6	29:1,3,4 36:18,21	93:15 108:2	74:19 108:16	Charleston 103:4
70:17 71:12 72:5	Board 1:1,11,12,13	Burgettstown 39:3	cease 89:20 90:6	103:20 104:9,20
75:1 76:20 77:18	1:22 2:3,11,13,17	41:22 42:7 43:11	ceiling 29:1	107:20 108:5
78:15 79:20 83:15	3:5,7,8,11 5:3,7	burn 27:1	cell 7:22	115:12
93:12 95:4 98:17	10:11 11:1,11	burned 28:22	centers 58:8 104:6	chart 35:6
106:16 109:2	13:5,15 15:16	burning 29:2	certain 25:16 26:3	check 112:19
110:3 111:13	16:4,10 17:7	burns 27:15	46:14 47:1 52:4	checked 112:22
112:12,15 113:7	20:11 21:1,11,13	business 96:16 97:3	certainly 76:16	checks 88:4
Barbara 16:17	21:15 36:3 39:12	businesses 117:5	77:3 96:12	chemical 1:1,11,12
base 40:11 57:6	39:16,20 40:20,21	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	certified 18:19,21	1:13,22 3:5,10,22
99:3	42:19 44:11 51:3	C 1:16 3:1 101:21	cetera 51:15	4:4,11 9:14 13:5
based 18:12 33:8	53:15,19 70:15	C-H 96:12	CFEI 1:17 2:7,8,15	13:15 14:13,14
35:10 38:4 46:15	71:7,13 85:14	C-N-N-I 107:17	Chair 21:6,20	15:16,21 16:3,10
50:10 60:16 72:16	86:16 91:21 93:13	California 18:15	116:1	16:17,20 17:5,6
73:19 87:19 110:6	99:17 102:12	18:18	Chairman 10:14	17:11 19:10 36:2
110:7,10	116:11		11:8 12:16 13:14	40:1 49:14 51:3
basic 7:14	Board's 11:10	call 54:11 55:4	20:11 42:13 43:1	53:19 60:21 69:22
basically 5:18	15:21 16:6,12	called 6:8 15:15	49:12 54:16 55:18	82:21 83:5 85:12
82:14 93:16 94:5	17:12	34:5 63:9 99:7	71:17 81:4 85:13	85:19,21 86:16
bat 89:20	bodies 75:13 77:1	calling 9:6 16:14	93:13 99:17 100:3	87:5,15,17,20
bathing 14:18 60:3	Boone 100:12	39:13	Chairperson 1:12	88:20 89:9 90:14
bear 76:7	bore 96:20	Campaign 88:17	2:2 3:3,7 7:3	91:16 93:3 96:8
becoming 18:7	bore-sighted 97:8	capable 29:14	12:17 17:19 42:16	96:14,16 97:3
86:21	bored 65:8	37:10	47:5 48:16 53:12	100:14 102:12
beg 6:3	bottled 58:3 93:1	capacity 56:8	54:9,17,19 55:11	103:5 105:2,3,14
beginning 95:6	bottom 109:21	103:12	70:16 77:19 79:19	106:3,6 114:14
behalf 2:4 13:14	Boxer 16:17	capital 103:5	80:20 116:2	116:15
17:16 107:20	break 55:5,7	Capito 18:1	chalk 67:21	chemicals 12:2
behavior 90:9	Brian 16:22	carelessness 102:14	chance 6:2	60:3 78:1 82:13
believe 39:7 46:20	brief 21:1 74:21	carried 29:11	change 83:10	82:17 95:19 97:1
51:19 108:22	bring 69:2	carries 25:22	114:22	97:9,13,18,21
Berkeley 18:18	broad 51:5	case 21:9,12 22:2	changed 77:16	100:17,22 101:2,8
best 63:21 108:6	broader 97:21	27:8 54:4 63:20	111:10	101:18 112:18
better 19:18	broke 25:1	83:20 86:21 100:8	changes 19:3 43:11	113:3,15 114:16
beyond 51:16 53:1	Brother 85:20	cases 100:9	70:5	115:5
72:9 98:22	brought 22:14	catastrophic 27:5	changing 72:15	Chevron 18:14
big 13:5	budget 16:2,13	32:22 35:4	Chapter 41:8,9,9	child's 68:3
bill 16:9	budgets 52:18	cause 27:5 70:21	41:11	children 83:3 85:3
		95:2 113:10		
L				

			 	l
Chris 101:20,21	90:13,16 100:12	102:9 105:21	compel 47:16	107:5
103:2	100:17 101:11,12	109:13,14	complaint 38:6	confinement 27:14
Christensen 96:7,8	Coalition 99:14	commented 108:16	complaints 57:4	27:16,17
96:12	code 42:12 46:16	commenter 49:13	58:10 60:10	confirm 71:22
Christianson 96:5	46:19	81:5	complete 97:4	confronted 97:7
Christina 2:9 22:9	colleague 24:12	commenters 53:11	Completing 13:22	Congress 16:8
Christine 19:17	colleagues 11:11	comments 2:12,19	completion 34:2	Congressman
Christy 38:15	15:18	5:3,19 6:1,6 7:8,8	compliance 45:7,20	17:22
Cincinnati 92:13	collect 29:18	7:10 21:12 48:19	45:21	Conni 107:16
92:18 102:19	collected 29:7	49:5,7 50:5,10	component 84:1	consensus 48:3
citations 31:22	34:16 35:10 37:8	55:2 81:17 83:14	components 15:12	consider 72:14
80:1,5,7,9,19	collection 29:17	88:8 102:3 116:11	106:4	77:14 92:8 96:21
citizens 56:19	37:15	Commission	compounds 106:6	104:10 109:22
81:12 103:8,19	collective 76:1	115:19	computer 69:3	consideration 69:4
107:20 108:18	combined 14:12	commissioned 26:7	concentration 59:9	76:10 87:10
city 95:8 103:20	Combustibility	61:15 67:11	114:7	104:21
104:7,9,19	29:11	commit 34:9	concentrations	considering 72:11
claimed 33:1	combustible 8:11	commitment 85:9	26:4 27:3 58:21	79:10
clarification	9:8,9,13,18 10:22	committed 15:6,9	61:3 73:13 74:2,6	consign 69:12
109:13	11:5,13 19:2	35:18	97:11	consistent 26:19
clarify 52:2	20:16 26:7,13	Committee 15:19	concern 67:6 79:4	60:15 116:18
classic 27:11	27:8 28:16 29:14	common 60:10	82:5 85:4 86:17	conspiracy 82:2
classification 46:21	32:7,9,18 33:2,4,7	commonly 60:2	102:21 103:6,16	constant 13:6
classifications	33:21 34:17,19	communicated	103:18 104:2,18	constituents 60:21
46:16	35:1,3,14,16,22	57:18 58:16	concerned 83:2,8	114:2
classified 35:20	36:14 37:9,18,21	communication	85:4,12 114:19	constraints 16:3
cleaning 17:5 31:15	38:3,9,12 39:9,15	45:17	concerning 87:4	contact 13:7 43:15
clearer 86:22,22	39:22 40:9 41:5,8	communications	concerns 83:1,14	108:22 109:4
clearly 11:16 64:2	41:11,16 42:4	46:10	85:2,3 114:14	contained 28:20
96:1 113:20	43:17 45:3,5,12	communities 15:3	conclude 67:19	54:3 66:3
Clemson 19:9	45:15,16 46:3,4	100:7,10 101:10	concluded 26:17	containing 36:18
clients 56:20	46:18 47:10,12,18	community 11:19	29:13 74:3	80:17,18
clinic 108:13	48:1,7,14 50:20	11:20 78:21 79:18	concludes 42:13	containment 56:6
close 65:13 69:22	51:14 52:5,11	81:11 88:17 94:16	70:12	80:13
95:13,20 100:16	53:6	94:18 95:8 100:6	conclusion 17:13	contains 24:8
109:3	come 38:15 47:9	104:6 105:12	conclusions 110:6	continue 7:4 11:12
closed 22:12 92:20	76:22 84:18 99:8	109:17 115:4	conditions 25:16	38:9 59:3 66:20
92:22	112:13 114:11	compacts 23:4,8	26:3 67:18	68:20 75:22 85:10
closely 18:3 75:2	115:18	25:3,8	condolences 10:18	continued 58:10
93:3	comes 107:7	companies 17:1	13:2	continues 39:7
closer 105:17	coming 81:14 85:1	48:8	conduct 37:20 42:9	continuing 74:13
closing 21:19	85:14 91:13 92:10	company 19:12	62:18 90:4 116:20	contracting 51:9,9
closure 22:14	101:7 109:20,22	22:17 43:4 48:13	conducted 24:12	contractor 8:8
closures 58:11	111:14	56:17,18 57:9,21	31:18 37:6 62:1	contractors 41:18
clothing 48:12	comment 7:7 10:4	63:13 79:15 83:10	63:21 65:1 67:9	41:20
clouds 26:4	21:20 49:17 81:1	company's 38:1	68:2 79:6 84:4	contribute 47:19
coal 88:11,16 89:18	86:3 98:1,10	51:11	conducting 12:4	contributed 26:9

27.4	0.6 11 17 11.22	Jana 20.0 57.17	doniation (7.2	d:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
37:4	9:6,11,17 11:22	days 28:8 57:17	depiction 67:2	diligence 115:10,21
control 29:18 30:7	18:13,22 26:6,15	58:12 68:2 74:8	depicts 34:22	direct 49:1
32:2 58:8	28:4 29:7,16	dead 91:8	deposits 29:2	directed 102:9
controlling 37:17	30:18 31:1 32:8	dealt 95:11	described 7:5	director 1:16 48:22
controls 37:14	32:16 33:1,6,19	death 20:20	58:14	disaster 15:12
40:15 43:7 48:11	34:3,15 35:12,21	deaths 8:14 32:10	design 4:5 40:16	57:14 85:19 91:3
controversy 94:7	36:1,5 38:19 39:7	32:13	84:2	100:4 101:15
convenience 91:5	40:4 55:14 58:15	December 6:12,15	designate 39:17,21	disasters 87:15
conversation 79:8	60:22 61:14 66:13	8:2 13:17 20:19	designed 4:6,13	100:6
82:1	67:11 95:11 116:7	27:21 30:14 54:1	40:8	discuss 10:15 22:17
cooking 14:18	CSB's 2:6 35:11	111:9	desist 89:20 90:7	39:4 55:5 61:12
copied 93:16	47:21 76:13	decide 5:7	despite 16:10 28:13	discussing 44:21
copies 6:8	CSP 1:17 2:9,16	decided 108:12	33:10 38:1	81:18
copy 42:11 50:3	CTA 32:12	decipher 99:9	details 22:20 55:2	discussion 49:1
corporate 39:1	culture 89:15,22	decisions 75:16	detected 78:19	54:10,11 81:2
Corporation 18:15	90:22	77:7 85:7 86:4	113:16	94:13 114:13
corrections 78:19	Cumberland 6:19	87:19	determination	discussions 44:21
corrosion 65:2,15	13:19 20:18 23:14	declaration 111:1	64:19 110:19	45:2 94:10
66:12 67:3,15	23:20,22 24:4,8	declared 35:13	determine 26:9	Disease 58:8
68:4,8,19 72:1	29:9 30:11,15,20	57:14 59:2,6	64:16 65:14 66:8	dismissive 108:17
78:11 89:14	31:6 53:22	decline 35:7	69:6 72:6 86:20	dispersed 27:2
109:21 110:9,17	curious 43:5 44:13	dedicated 6:13	88:1 111:6,15,21	104:22
cost 17:5	current 40:11 53:8	dedication 116:8	112:3	dispersion 27:14
counsel 1:16 3:10	custodians 93:8	defective 36:21	determined 8:16	disseminate 77:3
54:12	cut 61:21 62:7,13	defined 42:10 48:8	26:11 37:7 73:7	distribute 104:8
counties 57:1,15	63:9 64:14 84:12	definitely 99:16	deterrence 90:8	distributed 115:4
81:12	cuts 16:2	deflagration 26:14	detrimental 72:17	distribution 103:21
country 45:14	cutting 62:19 63:15	deformation 64:14	develop 9:12 33:3	distrust 59:3
county 60:9,13	cycle 102:13	66:21 110:15	33:20 35:15 41:15	divide 7:8
81:16 100:11,12	D	deformations	99:2	divided 4:21 27:2
109:4		26:18	developed 46:1	94:6
couple 43:1 44:20	D 3:1	degree 19:6	117:2	doctor 108:11
44:20 71:17 73:3	D.C 18:13	delayed 33:17	development 11:12	documented 26:16
95:13 102:2	damage 26:17 27:6	34:19 38:13	19:1,13 39:13	documenting 107:5
coupons 63:10	66:17 DANIEL 1:16	deliberation 50:7	110:11	documents 103:10
course 8:7 68:1		deluge 37:4 42:3	developments	doing 19:12 53:18
90:12	data 25:18 35:9	denote 67:15	69:15	62:15 74:15 76:17
cover 17:4 53:7	60:8 61:1,2,6	DEP 82:7 99:20	devices 67:14	77:9
created 46:2	77:14 87:22 88:3	department 9:5	diagram 24:19	dollar 91:10
creating 47:22	97:4 106:5	19:21 22:12 30:16	67:2	domain 106:5
115:14	data-gathering	30:21 34:16 35:11	dialogue 109:8	door 7:16
Creek 100:10	106:20	42:11 57:2 60:10	diameter 63:3	doors 7:17
criminal 81:18	date 34:9 45:8	60:14 75:3,20	diesel 82:9	doses 61:5
crisis 52:19 89:8	65:16 Para 06:7	89:6 108:4,5,15	different 11:15	Dow 106:5
Crystal 95:12	Dave 96:7	109:1,4	79:15 100:16	dozens 100:16
CSB 3:5,12,13,16	David 96:5	departments	112:18	Dr 49:10,11 53:10
3:19 8:12,16,19	day 14:20,20 28:19	103:21	difficult 14:8 52:19	81:2,3 85:11 87:2
	57:5 67:13 93:17			

	1	l	l	1
88:10 91:15 96:4	E 3:1,1	enables 27:16	49:4 85:4 92:9	explosions 8:13,18
96:10 97:22 98:9	earlier 65:7 66:22	enforceable 48:9	104:14 109:18	8:21,22 37:14
98:15 99:5 101:19	72:9 102:2 112:17	enforcement 83:22	everyday 14:17	39:10 40:9 48:4
102:22 105:19	early 57:4 63:20	89:16 99:21	evidence 65:5	116:14
106:15 107:14	78:19 89:8 98:17	enforcing 42:12	94:13 110:5,6,7	explosive 30:9
108:21 109:12	98:19,19 106:17	engage 75:12	evident 28:11	exposed 27:9 60:2
111:4 112:9,13	easily 25:14 105:4	engineer 19:15	evolution 70:3	94:17
114:10 115:22	106:6	96:8,14,15	exactly 111:6	exposing 28:16
116:3	east 24:4	engineering 19:10	examination 64:21	exposure 12:9
draft 38:16	Eastman 87:20	37:14 40:15 48:11	69:1 110:20	59:17,22 60:4,6
drank 93:1	106:5	65:20	examinations	88:1 94:22
draw 110:5	echo 102:2	ensure 20:3	61:22	exposures 61:2,4
drink 58:2 115:12	edition 41:7	entered 7:16 56:10	examine 4:3 65:2	74:1,7
drinking 12:3	education 52:1	entering 68:15	69:9 71:8 76:22	express 10:18
16:18 59:2 114:20	effect 72:17 83:7	Enterprise 49:7	examining 78:17	expression 96:20
dropped 94:5	effective 90:5	55:6	example 41:19	extend 101:17
drums 24:22	effectively 28:15	Enterprises 7:11	excerpt 28:2	extends 72:9
due 30:3 56:5 65:6	effects 58:20 59:22	18:11 55:15	excuses 84:9	extensive 66:12
66:12 115:10,21	60:15 73:8 75:7	entertain 21:10	exist 48:2	extinguish 14:9
DuPont 105:14	effluent 101:6	70:14	existed 66:13	extracted 64:22
dust 1:3 6:9 8:11	either 27:17 107:15	entities 83:19	existing 41:3 69:18	68:22
8:18,21 9:7,8,9,13	elected 55:1	entitled 53:21	exits 7:20	extremely 108:17
9:18,20 10:22	element 27:18	entrance 4:20 6:7	expand 71:20	
11:5,13 19:2	elements 27:10,14	environment 78:20	expect 48:13 71:20	F
20:16 26:3,7,14	elevated 80:11	98:14	expected 84:8	facilitated 3:14
26:19 27:5,7,8,13	eliminated 43:18	environmental	expeditiously 34:3	68:18
27:14,15,19 28:21	eliminates 27:12	11:17 12:5,10	expensive 92:9	facilities 4:1 23:13
29:14,17,18,22	eliminating 43:13	57:3 77:11 89:6	experienced 8:17	38:5 41:5,12 95:5
30:3,13 31:10,11	43:14,17	94:21 98:16 99:14	30:11	95:18
31:13 32:2,7,9,11	Elk 56:5,11 69:8	103:7	expert 65:4 67:12	facility 8:5,20
32:12,18,22 33:2	111:19 113:12	equally 52:10	103:17 110:8	10:20 19:16 20:18
33:4,7,11,13,21	embargoed 50:4	equation 77:13	expertise 75:13	22:18 23:14,16,20
34:4,5,17,20 35:1	embrace 47:13	equipment 4:5	77:1 98:22	23:21 24:1,1,4,9
35:3,5,10,14,16	emergency 7:16,19	25:20 36:21 41:4	experts 67:10	26:8 29:10 30:11
36:1,6 37:13,15	41:10 45:6 57:11	63:14	76:21	30:20 31:6,20
37:18,21 38:1,3,9	58:18 70:7 88:17	especially 54:22	explode 27:1	32:6 36:16 37:9
38:13 39:9,10,15	108:13	70:22 100:1	explosible 26:5	37:22 39:2 42:1,7
39:22 40:9,13	Emphasis 35:3	105:11	explosion 1:3 6:9	56:9,13,15 61:17
41:5,16,17 43:17	45:22	et 51:15	8:7 10:22 13:18	61:20 80:11 82:6
45:3,5,12,15,16	employed 23:19	ethers 56:4	14:4 18:19 20:17	95:13
46:3,5,18 47:10	employee 31:4	Etowah 56:9 82:6	26:10,18,20 27:13	fact 28:13 30:22
47:12 48:1,4,7,10	employees 14:4,11	Eugene 6:22	27:18 28:8 29:15	45:11 68:13 72:8
50:21 52:11 53:21	25:10 28:6 30:22	evacuate 7:19	31:5,12 32:13	73:18 93:11 97:2
dusts 28:16 36:15	41:18,20 43:21	evening 88:19	35:5 36:13,17	104:10 108:17
48:15	employers 45:14	event 79:17 113:10	37:5,10 40:13,16	109:3 111:7
dyked 80:13	48:14	eventually 56:11	47:20 51:7,7	facts 77:1 84:3
	emptied 79:5	everybody 3:19 6:2	53:21 95:12	failed 64:12
E	_			failing 99:20
	<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>

6 11 22 2 5 6 5	8 107.10.12	40.12	6 25 4 25 15	20.5.0.25.1
failure 32:2 56:5	financial 87:10,12	48:12	four 25:4 35:17	gas 30:5,8 37:1
63:7 64:20 65:14	find 69:8 71:5,21	flammability 25:13	37:6 38:22 40:22	gates 92:16,20
68:11 72:7 79:11	76:3 78:11 102:6	26:1	frame 32:12 34:18	gather 75:22 110:4
failures 78:18 79:7	finding 36:12,22	flammable 14:6,7	35:9	gathered 63:11,18
Fair 106:15	37:12,19 38:8	62:16	fraud 82:1	107:12
families 12:19 83:3	52:13,18,20 73:10	flash 29:15	Freedom 1:3 2:14	gathering 14:22
family 10:19 50:3	73:11 79:4 100:15	floor 81:1 110:15	2:20 5:13,14 7:10	89:18
102:17	findings 2:6 5:9	flow 24:19 69:7	11:14 12:15 14:13	GCMS 106:9
far 47:10 66:1 74:3	20:15 21:8,14	fluids 59:21	15:15 17:1,14	general 1:16 3:10
74:6 78:13 89:9	22:21 36:11 52:11	flushing 57:21	18:11 21:2,17	9:8 33:3,8 34:21
99:18 103:18	54:2 60:18 63:20	focus 11:22 43:22	22:2 49:7 55:6,14	38:12 39:8,14,21
far-reaching 51:5	66:1,16 75:12	97:8	55:20 56:15,21	40:10 45:12 53:8
fatal 8:18,20 30:11	81:15 84:1 103:10	folks 55:21 62:15	58:4 61:13,17	54:12
33:11	fine 25:14 102:5	84:21,21 85:6	70:13 80:5 81:18	generating 31:9
fatalities 32:6	finely 27:2	99:3 103:12 104:9	82:6,20 90:11	gentlemen 20:13
34:20 35:2	fines 31:20 89:20	104:12,15 107:2	103:14 105:16	getting 15:6 107:6
fatally 31:15	90:6	109:6	110:1 112:17	give 13:2,11 55:21
fear 112:7	fingers 110:2	follow 22:10 47:7	116:21	74:17,21 77:5,10
fearful 101:8	finish 5:11	48:9,14 50:15	Freedom's 106:13	78:9 83:17 84:21
February 58:7,13	fire 1:3 6:10 8:7 9:4	follow-up 46:8	frequency 43:15	86:3
58:17	14:9,9 18:19	followed 9:19,21	65:19,21	given 4:15 87:13
federal 3:21 11:2,7	26:10,14,17 27:7	36:7 78:18	frictional 36:20	93:12 103:9
11:13 15:20 16:2	27:11,12,19 29:15	following 4:19	friends 10:18 89:1	gives 92:3
16:4 17:3 44:7	30:15,21 31:6,8	15:14 17:12 20:2	101:20	glycerin 114:5,8
47:10,15 51:10	40:12 41:9,10	20:22 41:6 42:17	front 43:20 77:15	glycol 56:3
53:1,3 57:14	42:11,12 45:6,17	57:13,17 58:12	82:20 116:8	go 21:5 50:13 92:8
Federation 93:4	52:17 53:21	69:14 74:16	fuel 27:8	96:5 107:1 111:4
feeds 92:21	103:20	follows 40:7 41:2	full 16:9 28:3	115:18
feel 48:6 75:16	fires 8:13,18,20 9:3	41:14 42:1,8	fully 16:6 50:20	going 3:15 4:22 5:2
115:12	27:7 30:16,19	forefront 53:3	funding 15:10,20	5:3,7,15 10:7
fees 102:3	31:2 40:9 48:5	forensic 64:21	16:3,10 17:4	48:21 55:12,12
feet 95:14	fireworks 51:7	110:20	furnaces 23:9	65:12 74:22 76:18
fellow 10:11 116:10	firm 111:1	formal 53:18	further 14:13	81:20 87:16 89:19
fields 90:16	firmly 15:5	formation 30:4	31:12 104:12	92:4,11 94:21
fighters 14:9 45:6	first 4:21 7:9 9:13	formed 25:7	future 4:14 15:4	105:3 111:5,7
fighting 45:18	9:17 10:17 36:1,4	forming 65:10	39:9 87:15 92:12	good 3:3 20:13 51:8
figure 49:20 50:12	39:4,22 40:3 41:1	formula 101:1	116:15 117:3	67:17 86:9
82:16	41:21 49:13 50:19	formulate 49:20		government 47:10
figures 54:3 103:9	70:18 73:11 81:5	forth 110:13	G	51:10 99:20
filter 111:9,10	92:1 106:3 110:13	Fortunately 16:8	G 3:1	governments 17:4
filtering 114:17	Fiscal 15:19	forward 12:12	G-R-A-T-O-P	Governor 57:10
filters 83:10 114:22	fish 6:22,22 13:20	63:18 66:7 68:20	107:18	58:17 89:17
final 13:16 33:7,13	13:20 98:18	70:6,11 71:7 77:7	gain 72:4	Governor's 58:22
50:7,7 64:19 71:8	fish-kill 98:19	107:22 116:21	gallons 56:1 82:13	grab 111:14
92:2	five 37:12 57:8	found 29:16 58:15	95:19 103:11	gradual 110:12
finally 5:8 21:19	fixed 4:1	61:1 64:1 65:16	112:18	graduate 18:17
38:8 56:14 102:10	flame-resistant	79:7 89:9	game 112:3	19:9
			gaps 12:8 77:11	
	·	1	•	1

grandfather 95:10 happens 78:22 92:12 hospitalized 59:19 67:8 70:20 87:8 88:3 89:12 117:2 graph 34:22 **hiding** 81:19 hot 14:10 85:18 grateful 14:1 happy 70:14 high 61:4 74:1 **hours** 57:8 impoundments **Gratop** 107:17,18 hard 99:9 84:17 **House** 16:11 101:12 **gravel** 56:12 **Hawaii** 51:7 high-pressure **improper** 80:16 housekeeping great 102:20 hazard 26:1 33:2 62:13,17 40:16 improvement 9:14 greater 103:15 40:14 42:9 43:17 highest 100:4 **hundred** 59:18 40:1 **Griffon** 1:13 2:3 45:17 71:3 highlighted 87:1 95:14 100:16 inadequacies 4:6,8 highlights 14:14 3:8 10:11,13 hazardous 12:2 hydrogen 30:5,8 highly 14:6,7 46:17 20:12 42:20,22 87:6 36:22 inch 63:2,4 64:7,8 historically 13:6 43:19 44:4 46:7 hazards 30:4 32:2 hypothesis 72:1 incident 6:14 8:10 47:2,6 54:8,14,15 35:17 37:13,18 51:3 103:4 10:20 11:6,14,15 I 41:16,17 45:12 **history** 8:17 38:1 11:16 12:20 14:12 71:14,16 72:20 idea 92:7 74:9 76:4 77:8,20 46:18 61:8 86:20 40:3 51:11 17:8,13 20:19 **identified** 9:11 32:9 86:14 93:14 heads 84:21 Hoeganaes 52:1 21:2,18 22:4,19 57:6 116:11 health 11:18 12:5,7 hold 16:22 22:20 23:11,18,21 **ignite** 25:15 **ground** 14:20 19:6 38:21 51:11 **Holden** 2:5 13:10 25:10 26:6,17 ignited 25:14 29:5 group 51:15 74:20 13:12,13 17:20 27:21 28:12,19 56:4 58:20 59:8 31:9,11,13,17 106:8 59:15 60:8,9,13 **holds** 19:5 29:7 30:10,15 36:19 groups 4:16 20:4 hole 64:7 65:8,9 32:11 34:15 35:11 60:15,19 61:8 ignition 25:21 grow 99:4 69:10 73:8,12 66:3,8,19 67:7 37:7,22 42:15 30:12 31:8 37:11 growing 65:4 68:9 74:12,19 75:3,19 holes 62:22 63:1 43:9 46:12 70:9 II 72:10 guess 46:17 75:20 76:10,17,19 64:3,6 65:5 68:14 109:7,11 illustrates 11:16 guidance 45:8 76:19,21 77:11 68:17 84:12 **incidents** 8:11 9:10 **imagine** 54:14 78:16 89:21 86:17 87:19 88:17 110:11,13 112:20 9:20 10:16 30:12 immediately 15:15 107:21 91:1,3 93:16 home 104:15 31:19 32:7,9,15 impact 11:19 12:7 guide 45:17 hope 17:11 50:8 32:22 33:11 34:17 108:2,3,4,5,15,16 12:10 28:18 60:8 108:22 109:4 52:8 69:2 90:20 34:20 35:1,8,9,10 H 60:19 69:10 73:12 117:4 36:6 38:2,6,9 75:4 95:21 110:22 H-A-L-T-O-M 76:10 77:12 78:20 **include** 40:14 61:4 hear 13:1 49:19 113:6 88:15 79:10,17 114:15 54:6 86:10 87:4 hopeful 77:8 80:9 90:21 **impacted** 57:1,15 Hale 101:20,21,21 hopefully 86:1 92:5 94:10 95:7 **included** 60:10 103:1,1,2 114:12 108:8,19 113:12 heard 86:6 97:10 **hoping** 72:14 61:18 half 63:4 64:7 **impacts** 12:6 59:8 98:20 hopscotch 68:3 includes 22:3 96:16 76:19,19 98:16 hearing 12:12,13 Horowitz 1:16 **including** 4:4 9:21 Haltom 88:11,14 108:2,16 53:12 49:10,11 53:10 36:7 40:13 54:2 88:15 **Imperial** 34:2 35:5 heart 107:8 56:19 71:1 81:2,3 85:11 45:11 handle 9:7 45:15 incorporate 48:1,7 heat 27:9 28:17 88:10 91:15 96:4 implement 69:19 52:5 heated 30:5 96:10 97:22 98:9 increase 23:9 45:4 **handling** 46:17 102:11 **heating** 36:20 98:15 99:5 101:19 110:17 47:12 48:14 implemented 9:17 heirs 91:9 102:22 105:19 increasing 87:12 handwriting 99:6 17:15 36:4 held 112:17 106:15 107:14 independent 3:21 **happen** 49:9 92:14 implementing 15:2 Hello 85:13 101:21 108:21 109:12 67:14 68:5 83:18 105:11 implications 117:5 help 17:4 69:6 83:19 84:2 111:4 112:9,13 happened 14:21 **import** 69:11 73:15 93:18 99:2 114:10 115:22 **indicate** 63:6 65:17 importance 14:14 47:20 105:2 111:15,20 116:3 108:7 110:14 happening 15:13 33:15 helpful 50:2 92:4,5 **hospital** 108:13 115:19 103:7 important 36:2

indicated 64:3	109:10 115:17	115:3,14	18:6 22:11 38:18	Jeffrey 6:22 13:20
74:16	informed 85:7	intakes 72:18	42:19	job 90:2 99:21
indicates 64:11	informing 13:7	integrity 78:6	investigator 1:17	Joe 16:16 89:17
66:4 106:6 111:17	18:4	interest 66:6 69:14	1:17 2:8,8,9,15,16	John 49:13 91:16
112:17	ingestion 60:5	70:2 75:6 116:14	18:10,19	98:1
indications 28:22	ingredient 19:14	interested 50:2	investigators 22:6	John's 85:20
113:14	inhalation 60:5	interesting 91:22	22:7 26:15 31:1	Johnnie 1:17 2:7
indicators 66:15	Initially 81:13	interior 61:19	85:15 92:10	2:15 18:9 22:3
68:7	initiated 38:6	intermission 5:12	investigatory 4:2	55:13 74:16 93:12
indigent 104:8,15	injections 101:13	7:12 21:16 49:9	invite 49:1	95:4
individual 108:11	injured 8:9 31:5,15	International	invited 21:12	Johnnie's 95:3
individuals 6:18	injuries 8:15 32:7	49:14	involved 22:1 45:4	Johnson 19:12,12
industrial 11:16	32:10 34:21 35:2	interrupt 7:22	46:6 71:1 81:12	joining 3:7,9 16:16
14:8 19:6 46:20	injury 20:21	intersects 11:17	114:3	18:16
56:20,22 102:14	inland 92:21	Interstate 95:14	involvement 93:2	July 1:8 9:11 31:14
industries 1:3 2:14	inorganic 27:1	interviews 30:18	involving 8:21	35:12,21 36:3
2:20 5:13,14	input 19:22 50:6	107:5	30:12	39:19 54:4
11:14 12:15 14:13	109:9 110:8	intravenous 59:21	irresponsible 89:7	June 34:8
15:15 17:1,14	inside 14:11 31:15	introduce 3:16	irritations 60:1	justice 103:7
21:2,17 22:2	65:15	18:6 21:22 22:21	issuance 33:12	
38:10 55:20 61:13	insight 92:3	introduced 16:21	39:14	K
61:17 70:13 80:6	insights 77:10	introducing 16:17	issue 9:13 10:3 11:1	K 103:2 114:12
90:11 103:14	insist 90:22	investigate 15:16	38:21 40:8,22	Kanawha 60:9,13
105:16,17 116:22	inspect 78:10	investigated 8:12	77:22 78:14 86:8	79:13 92:15 108:5
industry 4:9,17 9:6	inspected 101:4	9:1 32:16 101:3	86:18 90:6 97:21	109:4
9:19 19:3 32:1	inspection 16:20	investigates 3:22	issued 33:13 57:9	KCHD 98:2
33:4,8 34:21	37:21 61:15 63:16	investigating 83:20	57:11 58:1,13	keen 69:14 70:2
38:12 39:8,14,21	64:2 65:18 78:6	investigation 2:14	80:1,5	75:5
40:10 45:5 46:16	79:6	5:10,14,19 8:4,16	issues 11:18 69:13	keep 25:19
46:19 48:3 51:1,4	inspections 38:4	10:3,5 12:16	72:22 74:15 78:3	Kenneth 103:1
51:15,17 52:4,10	61:12 63:20 65:17	13:17,22 16:15	79:15 83:5 88:2	107:19
87:7,13 89:18	65:21 68:5 71:3	17:14 18:22 20:10	issuing 44:16	Kentucky 102:18
90:13 91:4,9	86:7 90:4 99:21	20:14,16 21:3,8	110:12	key 36:10,12,22
105:8,9	103:13	21:18 22:4,20	item 36:1	37:12,19 38:8
information 7:14	inspector 67:19	29:16 31:18 34:2	т	66:15 68:7 70:10
12:7 14:22 46:12	inspectors 67:13	36:10 38:18 48:20	<u>J</u>	killed 6:18 8:8
59:3 60:20 61:7	79:3 89:19	53:20 55:20,22	J-A-N-E-T 81:10	10:19 12:20 31:5
69:9 72:22 73:12	instance 51:6 92:16	65:12 68:21 70:13	J.T 81:6 104:4	95:15
73:19,21 74:5	114:6	74:4 78:5 82:5	107:19	kind 9:9 67:18
75:7,14 76:1,3	instill 90:22	83:16 84:5 85:18	James 6:22 13:20	68:18 81:9,15
77:3,6,9 81:20	institute 91:6	98:20 99:1,15,18	Janet 81:6	111:16
82:2,14 85:5 86:1	instructions 78:9	101:17 106:21	January 14:17 15:7	kinds 88:2
86:19 87:1,2,17	instructive 71:4	107:3 116:22	15:14 56:1 57:4	knew 16:2
87:18,19,21 89:5	insulting 108:18	investigations 4:3	57:13,20 58:1,4	know 10:6 14:3
91:21 92:5 93:6	intake 56:16 70:1,4	5:1 13:8 20:1	59:14,14 64:5,18	43:5 44:5,14
93:19 94:9 97:17	79:12,14 92:17	55:15 70:21 74:22	66:11,14 88:18	46:14,19 50:17
99:3 106:18,20	95:7,20 114:15	investigative 12:14	93:6 107:3 111:12	51:6,17 52:16,21
			Jay 2:4	52:22 53:5 74:14
	•	•	•	•

76:20 78:13 82:11 learn 99:3 located 23:14 24:2 100:4 56:10 58:6,20 82:22 83:4,12 **learned** 8:19 30:18 56:13,15 67:4,7 **mailed** 45:13 59:9 60:15,21 84:14 85:17 92:9 45:1 73:22 82:13 104:5 main 24:9 62:21 61:1,4,5 66:3,18 94:11 101:2 103:3 learning 89:10 Loeb 1:16 3:11 mains 102:20 74:1 75:8 80:17 20:12 54:11,13,16 learnings 72:3 major 3:22 86:7 84:18 85:1 94:10 111:7,11 113:5 led 12:1 68:14 114:12,17 115:15 54:18 majority 97:2 96:22 100:14 knowledge 89:22 **left** 11:20 **lofted** 29:4 31:10 making 43:14 84:9 101:8 113:11 legislation 16:19 37:10 86:4 87:11 89:8 115:5 97:1 known 3:20,20 legislatively 16:16 long 44:8 50:21 91:1,13 107:8 **MCHM-PPH** lessening 110:12 82:10 97:15 111:6 18:8 19:19 60:15 **manage** 29:21 106:17 let's 96:20 97:8 83:16 91:14 103:5 111:22 management 4:10 mean 74:14 107:1 104:16 letters 45:13 46:15 **long-term** 34:6 19:15 113:5 114:20 level 39:1 97:14 59:8 73:12 managing 1:16 mechanical 28:7,17 \mathbf{L} **levied** 31:19 longer 81:20 48:22 30:7 **L-E-W-I-S** 107:18 Lewis 107:16,17,18 look 4:8 11:22 **Manchin** 16:16 mechanism 64:4,20 L-I-N-D-A 109:16 Lick 100:10 12:12 49:19 50:5 17:22 89:17 **MedExpress** lab 64:22 106:18 108:14 licorice 82:18,21 52:8,9 69:16,21 manifested 68:9 110:21 70:3 77:15 94:22 medications 59:21 84:20 manner 90:5,5 **labeled** 80:18 lies 24:4,6 105:7,17 111:22 Manor 82:19 104:4 meeting 1:5 3:4,14 labeling 80:16 lifted 58:18 59:1 113:16 114:8 4:20 7:10 18:1 104:5 laboratory 24:10 89:21 **looked** 68:2 **manual** 45:17 28:5 35:21 36:3 lack 65:20 71:2 likelihood 79:6 looking 12:8 22:16 manufacture 9:7 86:2 92:1,11 86:7 87:17 97:1 84:17 23:2 50:19 72:10 manufacturer 61:1 116:6 117:9 103:13,14 104:1 limit 5:22 50:17 75:10 78:4 93:20 manufacturer's member 1:13 2:3 lacked 30:6 73:20 97:6 96:21 100:21 3:8,17 10:11 **ladies** 20:12 limited 52:7 60:16 105:6.15 109:7 manufacturers 20:12 53:15 93:13 laid 68:18 60:20 73:11 74:5 109:17 116:11 113:9 23:7 land 69:5 113:18 looks 71:7 81:5 March 58:19 members 1:11 large 64:4 79:12,16 **limits** 88:2 loom 79:16 Mark 1:13 2:3,8 12:19 21:13 50:3 **lastly** 52:22 **Linda** 109:15 lost 6:14 3:8 10:11,12 19:8 85:15 89:1 93:13 late 58:17 100:9 line 43:12 73:5 lot 19:22 43:13 22:5 116:11 102:17 Laughter 98:6 **memory** 80:2 lines 51:20 93:10 88:6 93:1 105:3 **marked** 114:5 launched 33:2 lingering 59:5 marking 67:15 men 6:13 105:13 lav 69:5 liquid-tight 80:14 Louisville 102:17 markings 67:21 mention 31:22 86:6 layer 25:2 list 44:11 46:19,21 Marshal's 52:17 102:20 116:10 **LCMS** 106:9 76:8 97:15 low 59:9 61:3 73:12 Mary 88:15 mentioned 4:16 leached 101:9 39:16 44:14 46:11 little 44:14,18 material 24:21 74:6 111:18 50:18 61:2 63:3 **Lucy** 1:17 2:9,16 25:17 61:6 66:8 76:11 101:10 lead 3:15 22:5 30:9 64:7 65:9 71:20 18:20 22:6 32:4 69:6 72:15 84:13 104:4 81:2 73:18 99:9 100:9 Lucy's 95:3 87:22 111:18 mentioning 109:3 **leaders** 102:10 Littlepage 104:5 materials 12:10,11 mentions 106:3 \mathbf{M} leak 56:21 57:16 live 98:13 112:8 merely 89:13 26:8,21 27:1 29:5 61:16 62:10 66:5 **M** 1:16 lives 6:14 13:19 30:2 41:15 46:18 106:12 90:14 111:21,22 M-O-R-A-W-E-... matter 55:9 74:14 **Merrimac** 100:11 33:1 **leaked** 56:7 66:10 91:19 living 112:7 117:12 message 13:11 ma'am 107:15 leaking 31:7 64:17 **Maya** 85:11 local 9:4 42:11 58:15 97:20 66:8 112:22 113:4 109:13 117:4 MCHM 17:3 56:2 metal 6:9 8:21 9:7 leaks 66:13 magnitude 94:6

23:4,9 25:1,2,11	moment 6:17 7:2	40:12 45:22 91:16	non-regulatory	38:9 65:14
25:12 26:9,14,19	21:5	nationwide 78:2	3:21	occurred 6:11
28:16 29:13,18	monitoring 82:7	nature 70:5 83:15	non-toxic 89:3,10	10:16 14:4 20:17
30:12 31:16 32:2	101:6	114:18	normally 48:21	20:19 23:18 30:19
37:2,13 38:1 41:5	Monsanto 105:14	nausea 59:22 60:10	northern 24:5	54:1 56:21 61:16
41:11,17 51:14	month 102:4	NDK 95:12	notable 32:11	64:4,17 70:9 91:3
53:21 62:9 68:21	months 14:16	near 37:11 78:1	note 65:8 67:5,8	110:9 112:1
107:6 110:20	114:21	104:6	70:20 84:7 89:13	occurs 27:7 90:17
metallurgical	Morawetz 49:14,16	nearly 16:12	noted 28:6 40:2	October 46:1
61:22 66:17 69:1	52:7 91:16,18	necessary 70:6	62:22 65:7 66:21	odd 68:16
71:18,21	96:5	78:7 116:19	68:4,12,19 99:17	odor 57:3 58:10
metallurgy 72:12	Morgan 2:9 19:18	need 7:18 15:2	noteworthy 65:22	59:5 95:22
metals 8:6 26:22	22:9 38:15,17	33:14 35:22 69:19	notice 99:7 104:13	odors 84:8
30:5 41:8 42:5	42:17 47:21	71:3 75:15 77:6	noticed 109:19	offer 21:12 107:21
52:5,6 64:21	morning 57:4,13	85:7 86:18 88:4	notification 61:9	office 2:5 13:7,10
72:10	motion 53:15,18	91:6 95:9 99:16	70:8	23:13 24:10 37:20
methodology 78:16	54:7,8,10,18	101:2,6 102:1	November 33:19	52:14,17 58:22
methods 63:22	Mountain 88:11,16	104:18 105:7,16	nuclear 19:16	officers 45:7,20
microphone 112:14	mountaintop 90:17	114:22	number 35:1,8	offices 18:3
middle 111:8	Moure-Eraso 1:12	needed 11:3 39:9	36:12,17 37:6	officials 55:1
migrate 111:19	2:2 3:3,6 7:3	63:17 111:10	50:14 68:4 79:15	offing 84:22
migrated 56:11	12:17 17:19 42:16	needs 102:14	102:6	oh 114:22
mile 95:7	47:5 48:16 53:12	104:20 115:18	numbers 94:2	Ohio 24:5 92:13,15
miles 24:6 56:16	54:9,17,19 55:11	negligence 102:14	Nye 85:11,13	92:18,21 99:13
mill 25:2,5 31:16	70:16 77:19 79:19	Neoteric 106:1		okay 54:9 74:9 89:4
31:17	80:20 93:13 116:2	NEP 35:4 37:21	0	98:9 107:7
milled 8:5 25:2	move 44:12 53:13	38:3	O 3:1	once 5:11 22:11
36:18	53:19 70:11	new 6:19 13:18	Obama 57:14	85:14 88:8 89:18
milling 23:17	107:22	20:18 23:14,19,22	object 8:3	ones 65:10 70:22
million 15:21 16:12	moving 43:20 44:2	24:1,3,8 29:9	obliterated 67:22	ongoing 45:22 68:6
93:22 97:12	56:12 63:18 66:7	30:10,15,19 31:6	obscure 58:16	75:21 83:9
mind 73:4,15 96:10	68:20 70:6 77:7	38:22 39:2 40:22	obscured 67:20	onsite 57:5 67:13
113:2	116:21	41:3 53:22 69:19	observations 79:1	open 80:22
Mingo 100:11	MSDSs 61:7	news 88:18,19	110:8	open-unacceptable
minimized 62:14	multiple 66:16 67:9	90:18	observe 63:13	35:20 39:19
minimum 53:7	68:11 109:10	NFPA 29:12 32:1	observed 26:15	opening 2:2 10:12
minutes 6:1 10:9	mute 7:21	33:8 37:17 40:12	64:10 66:19 67:3	openings 62:7
50:17		40:13,14 41:7	70:19	operate 25:6
misguided 16:1	N	42:10 43:12 48:2	observing 79:2	operated 23:12
mission 116:20	N 3:1	night 57:10	obtain 116:18	operating 39:2
Missouri 23:17	NAACP 103:1,6,18	nine 8:10 57:15	obtained 106:16	40:17
mitigate 37:13	name 81:7 88:12	non 45:8 84:2	obtains 23:6	operation 4:10,11
mixed 56:2	99:7 107:16	88:20	obvious 72:6 81:19	24:15 43:21 44:2
mixture 56:7,10	109:15	non-blaming 84:2	obviously 44:16	operations 15:22
58:5 66:3 106:17	name's 96:7	non-hazardous	70:1 88:6 113:16	16:5 37:3 41:4
modeling 65:3 69:3	names 6:21	17:2	Occupational	operator 25:6
molten 23:9 37:1	nation 117:6	non-metallic 26:22	38:20	operators 25:5,7
	National 35:3		occur 17:3 27:19	
		1	1	•

29:20 78:10	P 3:1	people's 91:1	play 44:9	29:13
opportunity 42:18	P-S-O-N 81:11	percent 46:13 47:1	played 28:1 62:5	powdered 52:6
Orchard 104:4	p.m 3:2 55:9,10	56:3 60:11,11,12	please 7:21 13:12	powders 41:6
order 52:12 57:10	57:7 117:12	period 7:7	49:3,8 81:7 88:13	PPH 56:10 58:5
57:11 58:19 86:19	package 15:20	periodic 41:19	99:7	59:9 60:21 66:3
89:20	paddles 28:10,18	permeability	pleased 93:18 95:2	96:22 113:12
orders 90:7 94:5	page 6:13 98:5	111:16	podium 38:16	115:5
organic 26:21	painfully 92:14	permeated 90:1	55:13 61:12	practice 30:1
organization 19:19	panel 77:21	person 6:1 18:7	point 3:17 7:13	practices 37:16
51:15	panhandle 24:5	personally 13:2	53:13 85:21 86:15	48:13 63:22
organizations 4:17	papers 82:15	93:1	87:16 93:21 96:19	precautionary 91:7
52:5 69:12 83:22	par 90:12	persons 55:1	97:8,10 110:1	94:15
original 33:20	Park 104:5	perspective 102:6	pointed 64:6	precautions 45:18
originally 75:9	part 4:22 7:9 11:10	petroleum 72:15	points 50:15	pregnant 58:2
94:4	45:22 59:12 77:13	Ph.D 1:12,16	103:21	preliminarily
originate 65:5	93:22 97:12,14	pharmaceutical	poisoned 100:13	63:19 65:4
originated 36:18	participate 80:3	19:13	policing 99:19	preliminary 60:8
72:7	participated 18:22	phase 22:11	polluting 91:9	60:18 78:5
OSHA 9:16 10:1	particular 51:13	phenol 56:3	pool 110:16	Prenter 100:12
31:18 32:17 33:3	80:17 93:2	Phil 105:22	pooling 68:15	prep 100:17 101:4
33:7,12,14,20,21	particulates 25:14	phones 7:22	poor 104:8	101:13
34:3,5,8,12 35:15	29:4 36:19	photo 107:5	population 56:4	prepare 4:18
35:19,22 36:4	parties 50:3	physical 4:4 108:8	79:12	prepared 3:12
37:20 38:11 39:8	partner 75:22	110:5	portion 22:1 24:11	20:15
39:14 40:21 44:5	partners 107:12	pick 103:22	36:9 65:13 106:20	prescribe 65:21
44:13,15,21 45:3	parts 4:21 58:21	pieces 63:14	portions 61:21 62:8	prescribed 78:16
47:18,22 48:6	73:8 94:1,2,4	pitcher 111:10	posing 39:5	presence 27:9 29:2
50:20 52:14 53:1	97:11	pits 110:12	position 76:16 77:2	62:16
53:2,4 79:22 80:2	pass 86:5	pitting 64:10 66:16	possibility 27:12	present 1:11,15
80:4 102:5 107:12	passed 14:16 16:8	68:12	66:5 95:9 100:20	4:22 5:4 7:9,11
OSHA's 35:13	patients 59:16,19	Pittsburgh 24:7	101:16 114:1	12:21 21:17 22:19
osha.gov 46:3	60:5	place 25:19 34:21	possible 12:8 43:18	50:11 88:7
outreach 9:15	pattern 68:12	43:7 70:9 91:3	46:21 50:8 60:6	presentation 2:6
outset 84:6	paying 102:4	placed 16:3	62:16 77:10	3:18 5:13,17 20:8
outside 24:9 32:11	penalties 87:11,12	places 90:17	possibly 37:3 68:14	20:10,22 21:8
65:6	penalty 84:1	plaintiffs 63:12	85:6 91:9 104:11	22:16 23:1 24:12
OVEC 99:11,13	pennies 91:10	plan 10:9 11:10	110:5 111:21	32:3 36:10 42:14
overall 77:16	Pennsylvania 19:7	104:18,20 107:13	post 63:15	49:8 71:11 76:6,9
overdue 50:21	24:2,7 39:3 41:22	planning 70:8	postponed 34:6	85:22 95:3
oversight 15:11	Pennzoil 82:9	plans 53:1,2,5,9	potable 104:17	presentations 5:6
71:9 83:17	people 5:4 8:8	113:8	114:20	49:20
overview 22:18	13:20 14:19 43:15	plant 41:16 69:22	potential 61:8 63:6	presented 53:17
24:14,19	43:18 44:2 47:12	82:19 83:10 105:2	79:7,10	55:2 70:19
owned 23:12	47:14 49:1 54:21	plants 100:13,18,21	potentially 30:9	President 57:14
oxide 25:1	60:2 85:12 88:22	100:22 101:5,7,13	64:11 87:5	press 100:2
oxygen 27:9	91:8 94:15 97:12	101:18 105:14	powder 14:5,8	pressed 23:4,8 25:3
P	108:7 113:13	platform 80:11	25:18,19 26:9	presses 25:7
1				

2.10	l
pressure 26:19	
27:16	
pretty 63:15	
prevent 4:7,13 9:9	
10:2 27:18 39:9	
40:9 47:19 87:15	
100:6 117:3	
prevented 9:22	
36:8	
preventible 100:5	
preventing 15:12	
48:4 71:6 116:14	
prevention 41:10	
48:10	
	l
previous 35:13	١
38:5,20 40:5 75:3	l
85:22 89:17	
previously 29:6	١
87:3	
Price 105:22,22	
primarily 71:22	
primary 23:13	
2	
principle 91:7	
94:15	
prior 18:16 28:8	
30:10 62:7 64:18	
66:10,13 86:2	
priority 34:10 91:2	
private 108:11	
proactive 79:9	
_	١
probably 6:7 77:13	l
100:15	١
problem 68:8 78:2	١
78:2,12 113:21	l
problems 28:7	١
92:14	
procedures 25:19	١
40:17	١
proceed 5:12 34:3	l
54:12 55:12 65:11	١
proceedings 8:1	l
59:11	١
process 4:11 17:10	
24:14,18,20 25:4	١
29:19 34:7,14	١
38:11 41:5 42:4,9	
*	١
43:16 49:17 50:1	
	١

70:5,8 72:16 84:10 91:22 92:7 96:17 106:19 107:10 111:13 115:3
processed 8:5 36:15 106:18
processes 23:3
75:21
processing 23:12 25:10 29:9
produce 26:13
106:10 produced 1:21 5:16
28:17 37:1
producers 23:5
production 19:14 23:13,22 24:1,9
26:16 31:2,11
36:13 professional 18:21
96:15
proficient 69:13
profits 91:4 program 9:15 20:7
35:4 36:2 46:1
programmatic
65:18 programs 86:11
progress 5:18 54:3
55:6
Prohibit 42:2 project 74:21 75:20
projects 116:9
prominent 62:11
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12 pronounced 114:7
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12 pronounced 114:7 propane 31:7,9
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12 pronounced 114:7 propane 31:7,9 properly 15:10 properties 96:2
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12 pronounced 114:7 propane 31:7,9 properly 15:10 properties 96:2 proposed 21:14
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12 pronounced 114:7 propane 31:7,9 properly 15:10 properties 96:2 proposed 21:14 22:21 34:9 35:16
prominent 62:11 promulgate 32:18 promulgating 33:16 38:12 pronounced 114:7 propane 31:7,9 properly 15:10 properties 96:2 proposed 21:14

proprietary 101:1
propylene 56:3
protect 15:3
protect 15.5 protection 16:18
40:12,17 41:10
57:3 89:6
protects 103:8
proves 88:4
provide 17:3 21:1
22:18 55:14,19
61:7 75:14 76:2
provided 1:22 60:9
87:20
provides 56:18
providing 85:5
provisionally 50:10
provisions 48:2,4
proximity 79:11
prudent 84:21
public 1:5 2:12,19
3:4 7:6 11:18
21:11 35:21 48:18
50:2 57:19 59:15
60:19 69:10 74:12
74:18 75:3,15,19
75:20 76:3,10,19
76:21 77:6,11
81:1 86:2,16
87:18 92:1,6,10
93:15 106:4 108:3
115:18 116:6
public's 12:9
publication 50:5
publicly 10:4
publish 33:7
published 45:11,16
pumped 90:15
punishing 84:3
purchase 104:3
pure 94:9
purge 93:10
pursue 66:7,21
pursuing 70:11
put 43:6 50:16 87:3
89:5 93:17 102:5
puzzled 50:18

Ouaker 82:8 qualitative 106:10 quantitative 106:10 quantities 27:4 quantity 28:21 114:7 quarters 63:2 **question** 47:8,17 74:10 76:5 77:21 78:8 79:21 95:5 95:11,16 106:2,8 106:15 111:3 112:12,19 **questions** 2:11,17 5:4,20 11:21 15:7 21:11 42:19.21 43:1 47:4 49:21 70:14 71:13,15,17 72:21 115:8,16 quick 54:13 **quite** 82:10 R **R** 3:1

R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E... 96:13 radar 86:10 radio 104:14 **Rafael** 1:12 2:2 3:6 railings 80:10 railroad 105:9 raised 85:3 ran 25:5 randomized 38:4 rapidly 27:15 rash 60:11 rates 111:21 raw 24:21 **Rawl** 100:10 re-issuance 35:2 reach 14:10 reaching 56:14 reaction 37:1 read 6:21 36:10 38:16 **readily** 111:18 reads 41:2,14 42:8

realizing 93:21 really 18:2 43:16 65:13 97:20 99:2 107:7 111:1,1 reasoned 94:13 reassuring 85:16 received 46:9,11,22 57:3 90:11 recipients 4:15 recognition 116:17 recognize 10:10 12:18 recognized 33:14 recommendation 9:12,17 11:6 17:12 33:12,20 35:14,19 36:5 38:14 39:5,13,17 40:6,20 41:1,13 41:21 42:6 44:5,6 44:22 47:22 51:8 52:20 81:15 93:22 94:1,3 100:20 recommendations 2:6,9 4:13,15 5:9 13:16 19:2,20,21 20:2,3,5 21:14 22:9,11,13,22 32:17,19 33:11 38:16,20,22 39:18 40:5,22 43:3 47:9 47:11 50:19,22 51:16,20 52:1,10 52:14,16 53:6 54:2 87:11 90:21 102:11 117:2 recommended 11:2 25:18 30:2 33:3,6 34:3 37:17 reconvene 55:5 record 55:9 91:18 98:7 117:12 recorded 22:14 98:19 records 59:16 65:17

Q

recycling 41:12

51 14	156 4 50 5	16 11 54 22 62 12		112.22
51:14	released 56:4 58:5	16:11 54:22 63:12	retention 111:17	113:22
reduce 59:21	59:20	represented 93:8	112:4	ruled 110:3
referenced 54:4	releases 116:15	representing 81:11	retired 96:8,14	rulemaking 33:22
referral 38:7	releasing 92:11	request 10:8 16:7,9	return 61:11	34:7,13,19 35:18
Refinery 18:15	relied 29:20	49:5	revealed 58:4	38:11
refresh 80:2	relieving 115:10	requested 13:9	review 73:19 86:7	rules 69:18,20
refresher 41:19	rely 75:13 77:4	requesting 75:15	reviewed 59:15	run 25:7
regard 76:18 102:3	108:2	require 16:19	60:22 74:6	rush 94:12
regarding 12:7	remain 15:5	41:18	reviewing 12:6	<u> </u>
13:17 57:19 75:17	remained 59:5	required 9:4 25:4	77:9	
76:18 82:8 83:5,6	remains 34:10 59:9	requirements 9:19	reviews 71:3	S 3:1
83:15	remarks 10:9	48:8,10	Richard 1:16 3:11	S-O-D-A-R-O
regardless 38:5	remediation 82:8	requires 27:13	Richmond 18:15	109:16
regional 102:10	remember 6:17	rescheduled 34:13	right 3:11 15:2	S-T-O-C-K-M-A
registered 96:9,15	94:7	research 19:12	19:20 67:7	99:13
regretfully 94:11	remind 5:22 7:6	resident 103:17	rigor 65:22	safe 58:14 59:2,4
regular 16:19	49:4	residents 56:20,22	rigorous 65:18	94:12,14
65:18	remiss 109:2	59:3 117:4	risk 62:15 96:17	safeguards 15:3
regulated 77:12	removal 27:16 62:8	residual 31:16	river 19:15 24:5	safety 1:1,11,12,13
regulation 33:8	63:13 90:17	residue 28:22	56:5,9,12 69:8	1:22 3:5,10 4:9,11
47:15,19 69:17	removed 25:1	84:13	79:13 88:11,16	4:12 7:14 9:14
89:15	82:17	resistance 89:15	92:15,15,18 98:13	11:2,7,17 13:5,15
regulations 4:6	removing 27:10	resources 46:4 77:5	102:17 111:19	14:15 15:16,21
12:8 15:10 19:3	84:11	113:18 116:19	113:12	16:4,10,18 17:7
71:4 78:9,13	repair 28:15	respond 16:6 51:18	riverbank 61:20	17:12 18:21 19:6
89:16 99:22	repeated 32:16	responded 30:16	road 91:11	25:17 31:20 36:2
regulators 4:17	33:10	79:22	Robinson 98:1,3,7	38:21 40:1,5 51:3
90:22	repeating 76:7	responders 45:6	98:11	51:12 53:19 61:6
regulatory 45:9	replace 28:15	responding 17:7	Rockefeller 2:4	85:12 86:16 87:22
71:9 90:1	report 5:16,18 6:8	response 30:21	13:4,12,14 17:17	91:1,4 102:12
reintroduction	6:11 12:14 13:16	35:13 39:19 41:11	116:17	117:4
84:19	43:2 44:15 49:18	responses 9:4	role 44:10 76:13	sale 25:3
reissuing 11:6 44:6	49:19 50:4 51:21	rest 59:20	86:15	sample 106:11
reiterate 38:19	52:2 53:14,16,20	restate 73:6 76:12	roof 68:14	samples 26:12 29:7
39:12 40:20 85:20	55:3,6 71:8 86:5	restrict 16:5	room 7:19 26:2	37:8 62:13 63:9
116:16	88:20 92:2,4	restrictions 61:10	29:5 97:15 108:13	63:17 106:16
reiterated 40:4	95:21 108:12	result 4:22 6:18	116:5	107:6,11 111:15
44:22 86:9	115:19	16:1 30:20 31:19	root 70:20 95:2	Savannah 19:15
reiteration 39:6	reported 31:1	38:17 89:13	113:10	saw 4:20 6:7
related 4:5 15:7	58:11 59:17 60:6	resulted 20:20	roughly 102:5	says 100:3
39:18 60:19	60:14 75:9 87:6	32:10,13 34:20	round 63:10	scanning 61:18
relating 106:2	87:22 108:8	resulting 10:22	Rounding 22:8	Schatz 16:22
relation 103:2	reporting 11:5	results 5:8 91:13	routes 60:4	scheduled 34:12
relatively 107:2	reports 3:12 51:4,5	107:13	routine 90:19	schools 58:11 93:10
release 57:6,17	53:7 73:20 76:22	resume 14:18	rule 4:10 33:21	science 19:5,10
69:3 75:6 100:2	87:1 103:14	resumed 55:10	34:4,10 35:16	scientific 86:19
113:11	representatives	retained 106:11	45:3 48:1,7	scope 99:1
	_		, ,	scoring 28:11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Scott 6:22	settle 91:10	siting 69:21 95:5	somewhat 89:7	39:11 45:21 85:15
scrap 23:4,6 25:11	seven 9:3 30:16	sitting 49:17 93:20	soon 28:4	91:20 92:3 107:22
scratch 94:19	32:13 38:8 82:13	116:7	sooner 94:20	117:1,8
screening 34:15	112:18,21	situation 80:1	sort 74:10 87:10	staff's 42:14
35:11	severe 9:20 36:6	94:18,20,20 95:10	90:9 94:12 101:17	staffing 15:11
scrutiny 99:16	75:8	six 14:16 37:19	soul 19:19 107:8	stage 112:3
second 6:13 41:13	severely 16:5	sixty-nine 59:19	source 31:8 37:11	standard 9:13,18
54:6,8 115:14	severity 35:8	skin 60:1,11	113:20 115:15	9:20 11:2,7,13
secondary 31:12	shape 68:16	slaps 87:14 90:10	sources 25:21	32:18 33:4,9,13
56:6 80:13	share 62:4 116:13	slash 16:12	109:10	33:15,17 34:6
secrets 101:2	sheet 10:5 25:18	slide 24:18 64:13	South 104:5	36:1,5 38:13 39:8
section 42:10 62:21	45:11 93:11 98:4	67:1 73:11 106:3	sparking 25:20	39:14,21 40:8,11
64:15	sheets 61:7 87:22	110:10	sparks 28:17	41:7 44:7,16
sections 63:10	shipped 24:22	slides 63:5 73:3	speak 44:3 69:6,13	46:20 47:18 50:21
see 6:12 33:18 35:6	shocked 95:6	slowly 14:18	98:16	53:6
63:4 64:9 69:17	short 21:16 44:15	slurry 101:11,12	speaking 86:14	standards 4:9,18
71:12 75:11 77:15	62:2	small 26:4 27:4	speaks 69:10 79:9	19:4 32:1 40:13
85:16 113:20	short-term 61:4	107:2	specialist 2:10	48:3
114:4,6 117:1	74:1	smaller 65:9	22:10 38:15	start 20:7 21:4,21
seeing 114:2	show 27:20 87:14	smell 82:18,21,21	species 98:13	35:18
seek 16:22	showed 110:10	84:19 85:1	specific 41:17 78:9	started 84:10
seemingly 27:4	showering 60:4	smelling 84:15,16	Specifically 37:15	starting 45:10
72:16	showing 68:10	Sodaro 109:15,16	speculate 103:12	state 17:3 18:8 19:7
seen 90:10	shown 24:3,18,22	111:2,5 112:6	spell 81:7 88:12	52:18 53:1,2,5,8
selected 65:1	27:11	soil 56:11,12	spelling 96:11	57:11 58:18 75:17
selection 38:5	shows 22:19 62:2	111:14,16,19	spend 43:15	81:8,12 90:21
self 99:18	99:18,19	112:4	spending 16:9	97:18 99:20
self-policing 71:5	shut 102:19	solid 26:21 99:2	spill 12:1,6 14:13	102:10 108:3,15
self-reported 87:7	sick 91:8 108:12	Solutions 1:3 2:6	14:17 15:8,14,17	stated 28:9 29:6
Senate 15:19	side 7:17,17,18	2:12 5:2,5,16 6:9	16:15 17:5 64:4	34:8 88:20 112:16
Senator 2:4,5 13:4	sighted 96:21	6:20 8:3,17,20	81:19 83:4 92:19	statement 2:4
13:10,11,14 16:22	sign 10:6	9:21 10:20 12:13	103:15 105:4	17:18 49:2,3,22
17:17,22 116:17	signed 98:4 105:21	12:20 13:18 14:5	106:4,7 111:6	58:14 73:17 89:8
Senators 16:16	significance 75:12	17:13 18:10 20:9	114:14 115:2	100:2
sense 55:21 106:22	significant 19:1	20:14,17 21:9	spilled 103:11	statements 2:2
107:8	26:1 79:16 113:11	22:1 23:2,3,6,12	106:12	10:12 73:15
sent 46:15	signs 68:11	23:16,19,21 24:20	spills 17:2	States 8:14
September 34:1	silence 6:17 7:2	24:21 25:9,17	spokesman 58:7	statewide 89:16
sequestration 16:2	similar 4:14 61:16	26:8,13 28:6,14	spontaneously	static 25:20
series 63:5	simple 14:1	29:17 30:6,17	25:15	status 39:18 53:8
serious 8:11 31:20	simplified 24:19	32:5 36:7,13 37:9	spots 14:10	step 107:9 111:21
68:8 80:6,7	sir 96:6,10 105:21	37:12,16,22 38:22	sprays 29:21	steps 15:1 33:16
seriously 89:2 90:3	114:11	39:2 41:2,4,14,22	Sprigg 100:11	34:7,13 38:11
108:20	site 15:15 24:8	42:7,14 43:4 46:9	sprinkler 42:2	63:17
service 66:18 72:13	63:16 69:5 84:11	46:11 47:20 48:19	square 73:2,4,14	Steven 7:1 13:21
78:17 115:19	111:14 112:17	49:6 53:11,16,22	staff 1:15 3:12,13	Stockman 99:11,12
set 61:21	sites 63:7	54:22	3:16 17:22 39:5	99:13
	1	1	1	1

	İ		I	I
stop 102:15	supply 58:6 97:13	talked 54:21 78:4	test 63:22 72:1	100:8 105:7,16
stopped 23:22	support 19:1 44:6	109:19	testing 26:7,11	109:14 115:18
115:2	116:18	talking 10:7 97:19	29:11,12 37:6	116:1
storage 16:20	supporter 13:5	104:15	61:18 71:19,21	thinking 100:9
24:10 56:8 65:3	supporting 50:20	tank 31:7,9,16 56:6	72:4 74:20 79:2	third 80:15
69:17 71:9 77:22	supposedly 4:7	56:8 61:12,15,16	tests 65:1 67:9 68:2	Thirteen 59:18
80:16 105:7	82:7	61:19,21 62:7,10	83:6,6	Thompson 81:6,6
store 42:4 105:9	sure 22:13 44:19	62:21 63:10 64:14	Texaco 18:14	81:10 112:11,15
stored 101:3	63:16 75:1 82:10	64:15 65:3,6,13	text 40:6	thorough 63:16
strange 94:2	112:13,20	66:2,2,5,10,22	thank 7:3 10:13	71:2 85:17
streams 90:15	surety 112:2	67:21 68:15 69:21	12:16,17 13:13,15	thought 81:13,16
strength 23:10	surface 67:20	72:2,8 78:10	17:6,16,19,21	81:17,22 88:22
strengthen 15:10	94:19	79:11 80:16 84:12	24:16 42:16,22	98:3 111:9
69:19	surprised 47:3	89:14 106:14	46:7,7 47:3,5	thoughtful 86:3
strengths 51:2	surrounding 61:19	109:20,21 110:1,8	48:16 49:11,16	thoughtfully 50:6
striking 28:10,14	susceptible 38:10	110:10,15	53:9,10 54:19,20	thousands 95:18
strong 82:21	suspects 66:13	tanks 16:20 61:16	55:7,17 59:13	108:18
116:13	Suspended 27:15	62:19,20 64:22	61:14 70:16 71:11	three 6:1,13,18
struck 100:1	suspension 27:17	66:18 68:9,22	71:16 72:20 76:4	7:18 8:7 10:9,19
studied 54:5	Swain 7:1 13:21	69:17 71:10 78:6	77:17,18,19 79:19	13:20 20:20 25:7
studies 12:5 60:17	swiftly 17:7	78:17 79:5 81:21	80:20 81:3 85:14	32:21 36:22 39:18
61:3 73:22 97:5	symptoms 59:16	84:11,18,22 89:14	88:8,10 91:13,14	50:17 59:18 63:2
98:12 108:7	60:7,14 108:9	101:3 103:11	91:15 96:2,4	80:5 99:8 112:21
study 33:2 74:15	system 29:17 30:7	105:3,7,10 112:20	97:22,22 98:8	114:21
76:17 100:21	37:4,15 62:18	113:4,17 114:5,9	99:15 101:19,22	three-minute 49:2
studying 108:1	67:15 70:1 77:16	TAP 75:20	102:8,21,22	threshold 95:22
subject 64:15 65:12	92:22 105:5	task 14:1	103:19 105:18,19	time 6:4,16 10:1
68:21	114:15,17 115:6	tasted 111:8	108:21 109:12	11:9 17:18 18:5
submit 6:5 42:11	115:15	Teachers 93:4,9	112:6,8,9 116:2,4	19:21 23:11 25:9
subsequent 98:21	systems 4:10 42:3,3	team 3:15,17 5:15	116:7 117:7	32:12 34:18 35:9
substance 14:6	56:13 57:22 70:4	18:6 20:9,15	thanks 91:20	39:20 40:3 43:15
17:2		21:10,16,22 22:2	116:17	44:8 48:17 52:7
substantial 28:20	<u>T</u>	22:3,4,8,17 38:18	thing 83:9 93:17	73:5 80:22 86:9,9
sue 91:9	T-395 67:5	40:21 42:20 52:2	things 70:18 72:22	87:13,13 91:12
suffered 32:6	T-396 57:6 64:3	71:15 107:2 116:7	90:7 99:2 104:21	93:21 96:3 97:6
sufficient 27:2	67:3	team's 12:14 21:7	105:10 110:18,22	timeline 33:18
108:1	T-397 66:20	21:13 71:8	111:20 112:5	timely 61:9 90:5
sugar 34:2 35:4,5	T-H-O-M 81:10	technique 62:12,14	114:1,17	times 17:8 87:6
45:11	table 3:9	63:8	think 44:1,9,11	titanium 8:6 14:5
suggest 29:3	take 5:7 6:3 9:8	tell 12:22 44:17	49:22 51:22 53:13	23:3,7 25:10,12
suite 109:6	10:1 15:2 21:4	temperature 26:2	68:17 70:19 71:22	25:14,18 26:12
supervisor 25:5	51:10 52:8 55:7	Ten 94:2	72:5 73:7 74:9,19	29:8 30:3 31:10
31:14	55:13 69:4 87:9	tend 110:16	75:7 76:2,5,6,15	36:14 37:2,7 41:8
supervisory 1:17	89:2 90:2 105:16	Tennessee 106:5	76:17,21 77:2,12	today 3:7 4:19,21
2:7,15 18:9	111:22	terminal 56:9 82:6	79:1 84:7 89:3,7	10:15 12:13 18:1
suppliers 24:22	takes 104:20	terms 68:3 79:17	89:12 92:8 95:3,4	22:8 54:21 63:22
supplies 90:16	talk 95:22 97:11	91:21 95:1	97:2 98:17 99:10	116:12 117:8
	108:4			

told 89:18 73:2,4,14 82:16 unique 25:13 96:22 violations 31:21 warehouse 24:9 top 34:10 91:1 turn 32:3 59:11 unit 29:9 90:14 warning 96:1 topography 61:19 **turning** 114:13 **United** 8:14 Virginia 6:20 10:16 wash-down 37:3 69:4 **TV** 104:14 **University 18:17** 13:19 17:9 18:8 wash-downs 29:21 tore 83:11 two 4:21 7:17 8:6 19:7,9 20:18 23:15 24:6 Washington 18:12 23:17,20 82:19 totaling 80:7 10:15 12:18 15:11 unknown 59:10 37:20 52:14 54:1 104:4 totally 44:6 16:21 23:12 25:6 unsafe 115:5,12 56:17,19 57:2,8 touched 21:6 27:13 30:11 34:11 unusual 97:7 57:12,20 58:18 wasn't 57:7 town 85:14 36:17 38:22 39:1 **update** 1:3 2:14 59:15 71:2,10 waste 19:14 100:12 toxic 12:9 88:21 63:1 64:5 68:1 12:15 21:2,17 72:19 74:18,20 Watch 88:11,16 95:19 71:1 73:15 80:6 43:8 55:14,19 75:2 78:2 79:14 **watched** 88:18 toxicity 72:22 89:14 92:13 97:9 70:12 74:14,17,21 90:2,12 91:7 93:5 water 12:3 16:18 toxicological 60:20 97:18,21 106:3 92:2 93:9,16 95:17 29:21 37:2,4 42:3 60:22 110:11,13 114:21 **upstream** 56:16 96:20 103:19 56:13,14,17,18 toxicologists 94:8 116:9 92:15,17 95:7 104:19 108:3 57:9,19,21,22 usage 57:19 61:9 114:16 115:1,9,20 toxicology 73:20 two-quarter 64:6 58:2,3,6,9,14 59:1 97:4.17 **Tyler** 1:17 2:9,16 77:7 visible 35:7 59:2,4,6,6,17 60:3 tracks 105:4 18:20 22:6 32:4,5 use 4:12 23:5 26:12 vital 15:1 61:9 62:17 68:14 tragedies 39:10 39:16 44:19 46:13 29:21 42:2 47:13 **Vivian** 99:10 68:17 69:22 70:4 tragedy 10:21 voluntarily 87:7 59:12,13 73:17 57:9 58:10 59:7 72:19 73:5 74:8 tragic 10:2 14:12 80:4 62:17 88:1 96:19 voluntary 4:18 75:18 79:12,14 116:14 type 11:15 19:22 **useful** 76:3 47:14 78:12 82:19 83:10 90:15 train 41:17 60:7 68:11 72:11 users 23:8 47:11 volunteer 30:15 92:17,20 93:1 training 40:18 72:12,17 74:7 56:22 47:13 86:11 95:7,20 97:13 41:15,20 43:7,20 75:14 78:18 **utilize** 86:4 volunteering 71:5 100:13 101:20 **vomiting** 60:12 44:3 45:20 100:17 101:8.14 **utilized** 87:18 103:22 104:3,8,13 transcriber 81:8 105:10 114:15 vote 2:13 5:7,11 104:17,21 105:1,4 utmost 14:14 15:12 88:12 96:11 types 48:12 21:1,13,15 50:7,9 109:20,22 110:16 \mathbf{V} 53:14 54:12,14 107:17 111:8 112:7 U V-E-R-N-O-N transcript 1:21 voted 35:12 39:17 113:15 114:8,16 **U.S** 1:1,11,12,13,22 88:14 transparency 39:20 114:20,20 115:1,3 3:5 71:10 **valid** 52:10 115:6,9,13,15,20 17:10 \mathbf{W} valley 99:14 105:18 ultimately 4:12 trapped 14:11 water-cutting 12:2 value 109:9 wait 49:8 91:8 treated 59:20 62:14 various 19:3 29:8 walk 24:13 unacceptable 35:15 treatment 70:4 water-reactive unanswered 15:7 79:3 wall 28:10,14 29:2 72:19 30:2 underground vary 75:4 64:14 66:22 tremendous 11:20 waterways 72:18 101:12 vein 47:8 84:5 walls 80:12 78:1 101:9 triangle 27:11 underlies 94:8 ventilation 30:7 want 13:15 17:6 tributary 79:13 wave 31:10 underlying 70:20 venue 83:17 50:12 72:6 85:20 **tried** 110:1 way 53:18 69:7 understand 14:20 verified 34:16 89:19 92:8 95:18 trouble 99:6 72:2 103:8 112:8 98:15 101:22 79:22 82:4 83:4 81:21 troubling 11:4 **we'll** 21:1,7,15,19 understanding **Vernon** 88:11,14 102:8 110:18 102:7 22:21 27:20 49:5 15:1 video 28:3,3,9 62:2 116:10 trucker 95:14 53:13 55:5,7 undetermined 31:8 wanted 9:14 36:2 62:5 trustee 85:15 64:15 65:2 68:21 view 9:16 unfolding 101:15 40:1 44:11 62:19 try 75:11 69:9 70:3 75:21 Union 49:15 85:21 vintage 72:9 wants 20:8 51:17 trying 49:19 50:15 76:2 77:14,15 91:17 violation 80:10 **War** 72:10

we're 65:12 70:13	19:11 22:5 24:13	26:4,12 28:21	2007 46:1	48 2:12
72:10,14 74:15,16	24:16 28:2 43:10	29:3,8 30:3 36:15	2008 32:8 34:17,18	48,000 56:7 103:12
75:10 76:16,16	44:1	36:19,20 37:2,8	35:5 45:10 102:13	484 29:12 32:1 33:9
79:8 83:18 85:3	witnessed 31:2	41:9	2009 33:21 34:1	37:17 40:14 41:7
89:10 92:19 93:18	women 58:2	zoning 95:10,17	2010 6:12,15 8:2,22	42:10 43:12 48:2
96:21 97:19 100:9	wonder 51:13		9:3 13:18 14:3	
100:15 111:13	52:15 87:9	0	20:20 23:18 27:21	5
113:9 114:1	wondered 44:17		30:10,14 34:5	5 58:7
we've 44:20 45:1	wondering 71:19	1	37:22 43:6 46:12	5.6 56:3
62:2 64:14 65:1	100:19	1 93:22 97:12	54:1	5:45 57:7
67:1 68:22 75:1	word 106:13	1,000 94:4	2011 102:13	50 32:9 34:19
90:10 105:13	words 76:15	1.5 56:16	201131WB 53:20	53 2:13
110:3 113:19	work 4:18 11:11	1:02 55:9	2012 34:8,18 41:7	55 2:15
website 28:4 46:3	14:2 62:3,15	1:15 55:10	2013 32:8 35:12,21	580,000 56:19
WEDNESDAY 1:7	67:19,20 74:13	10 2:3 8:15 57:13	39:19	59 2:16
week 75:7 92:19	86:13 92:13 99:19	58:21 73:8 94:1	2014 1:8 15:20 16:9	
104:11	worked 18:3 19:11	97:11,14 106:6	54:4 56:1 57:5	6
weeks 44:20 58:12	19:14	10-minute 55:5,7	59:14 64:5 102:13	6 87:6
74:8	worker 20:21	10,000 56:1 103:10	21 58:4,13	653 64:1,2 67:2,9
welcome 3:4 6:5	40:17 94:19,20	100 46:13,22 94:6	22 18:14	67:12,19 78:15
Welton 87:2	workers 10:19	100,000 108:7	23 23:19 59:14	79:3,5
went 43:3 55:9 62:3	12:19 20:21 23:19	10th 93:7	24 2:8	653-proficient
82:10 109:17	33:1 49:14 85:21	11:15 57:5	28 60:12	67:10
117:12	88:1 91:17 93:3	11th 93:7	28.5 60:11	654 33:9 40:13 48:2
weren't 108:12	94:16	12 41:8	29 32:10	7
Wes 2:5 13:10	working 14:5,19	12.2.5 42:10		70 2:17
west 6:19 10:16	15:9 18:14 45:2	12:00 3:2	3	70 2:17
13:19 17:9 18:8	75:2 117:1	128 8:14	3 2:2	8
20:18 23:14 24:6	works 93:3	12th 93:7	30,000 45:14 46:10	81 2:20
24:7 37:19 52:13	world 72:10 103:5	13 2:5 41:9 57:20	46:15	
53:22 56:16,19	worst 68:10	14 33:1	300,000 56:22	9
57:2,8,11,20	wrap 75:11 113:19	15 41:9 58:1	108:7 113:12	
58:18 59:14 71:2	wrist 87:14 90:11	16 1:8 41:11 54:4	32 2:9	
71:10 72:18 74:18	writing 50:16	161 32:10	36 8:14	
74:20 75:2 78:1	written 6:6 88:7	1993 9:2 30:14	369 59:15	
79:14 90:1,12	wrong 98:5	1995 8:21 31:4	37 96:15	
91:7 93:5,9,16		2	38 2:10 60:11	
95:17 96:20	X	2 23:20 87:5 94:5	396 66:17 68:10,12	
103:19 104:19	Y	2:34 117:12	68:17	
108:3 114:16,22	year 15:20 66:11	20 2:8	397 66:2 67:6 68:10	
115:9,20	years 8:15 18:14	2003 8:12 9:11	4	
wet 67:18,21	34:11 35:17 91:10	32:14,22	4-methylcyclohe	
wherewithal 77:5	96:15	2005 82:8	56:2	
wide 52:11	70.13	2006 8:22 9:12,18	40 24:6	
widely 25:12 58:16	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	11:1 31:14 33:5,6	400,000 82:12	
winding 106:19	zirconium 8:6 23:3	33:19 35:19 36:5	112:18	
Wingard 2:8 19:8	23:7 25:11,22	39:12 40:20 47:21	42 2:11	
	- · , —			