Page 1

UNITED STATES OF AMERCIA

+ + + + +

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING In the Matter of TOXIC GAS & FLAMMABLE VAPOR RELEASE ON APRIL 12, 2004 MFG CHEMICAL, INC., CALLAHAN FACILITY, DALTON, GEORGIA

+ + + + +

TUESDAY NOVEMBER 16, 2004

+ + + + +

NW Georgia Trade & Convention Center 2211 Dug Gap Battle Road Dalton, Georgia

+ + + + +

7:02 p.m.

BEFORE:

Chemical Safety Board Members:

CAROLYN W. MERRITT, Chairman GARY VISSCHER, Member JOHN BRESLAND, Member

Assisted by:

CHRIS WARNER, General Counsel

Page 2

## <u>I N D E X</u>

	PAGE
Welcome and Opening Remarks, Carolyn Merritt	3
<u>CSB Presentation</u> :	
Incident Summary, John Vorderbrueggen and	
Mark Kaszniak	8
Preliminary Findings, John Vorderbrueggen	24
Emergency Response and Community Notification Pane	<u>el</u> :
Chief Carl Collins,	
Whitfield County Fire/EMA Director	48
Dr. William Pullen,	
Hamilton Medical Center	56
<u>Public Comment</u> :	
Savannah Hill	65
Sheila Powell	66
Michael Powell	69
Catherine Jackson	71
Linda White	76
America Gruner	79
Norberto Reyes	80
Guillermo Aroche	81
Alishia Martinez	83
Mike Dial	84

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Good evening. 3 Welcome to this public hearing of the U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board, the CSB. I'm Carolyn 4 5 Merritt and I'm Chairman of the Board. Tonight before we begin, I'd like to make a couple 6 7 of safety announcements. If you would notice where the exit signs are. This exit sign, you go out this door and turn to 8 the right and these exit signs lead directly outside, in the 9 10 event of an emergency. 11 Also, if you have a mobile phone, I'd ask that you 12 please turn your mobile phone off or silence it so that these 13 proceedings are not disturbed. Thank you. 14 I'd like to welcome all of you here tonight and let 15 you know that our purpose here tonight is two-fold. One --16 first, we plan to communicate to the public about an incident 17 that occurred at MFG facility here in Dalton on April 12, 2004. 18 19 The Chemical Safety Board has been conducting an 20 investigation of that release and these are our preliminary findings with regard to that investigation. 21 This was a serious accident involving reactive 2.2 23 chemicals that caused a public evacuation and forced 154 24 people to go to the hospital due to chemical exposure. This

accident provides important lessons about handling reactive

25

chemicals, preventing their release and controlling their
 impact on the public.

Secondly, we're interested in learning how Dalton was prepared for this event and what we can learn from their experience and your experience that would help not only Dalton, but other communities to better prepare in the event of a chemical release or a chemical accident.

8 We encourage all of you to participate in this open 9 meeting and we welcome you and are glad that you're here.

We're going to present our preliminary findings and then we'll convene a panel of invited guests to represent local emergency response organizations. We hope to learn from their experiences and their observations and concerns and we want to better understand what went well in this emergency response, but what might have gone better.

16 Our agency's mission is not to find fault, but 17 rather to promote greater safety and preparedness in the 18 future.

After the panel discussion, we'll have a public comment period and we'll be happy to hear from anyone who wishes to speak about this accident. The Chemical Safety Board Investigator Francis Altimerano is available to assist any member of the public who needs help with Spanish translation.

25

Joining me this evening are our Board members John

Bresland, Gary Visscher and next to me is our General
 Counsel, Chris Warner.

We also have our have our lead investigator, John Vorderbrueggen and co-investigator, Mark Kaszniak, with us this evening.

Before we begin, I'd like to quickly tell you a 6 7 little bit about who the Chemical Safety Board is. The Chemical Safety Board is an independent federal agency. 8 We're not part of OSHA or EPA. We investigate industrial 9 10 chemical accidents and issue safety recommendations to 11 companies, to other government agencies and to trade 12 associations and other people to help prevent this kind of 13 accident from happening again. Our goal is to save lives and 14 to help protect the public and the environment by helping to 15 prevent such accidents.

We're not a regulatory agency, we don't issue fines or penalties. Our investigations are conducted by professional, expert staff and our reports are always public. You can find our completed work on our website at www.csb.gov.

21 Our staff tonight is going to report on preliminary 22 findings. This investigation is not completed, so the 23 information that you provide will help us greatly in 24 completing our work.

25

I'd like to also let you know that we have invited

1 a panel of local emergency responders to talk with us this evening and I'll introduce them. We've invited Dalton Fire 2 Chief, Barry Gober; Dalton Chief of Police, Lieutenant Jason 3 Parker; Mr. John Hitchens from the Whitfield Emergency 4 Medical Services; Dr. William Pullen of Hamilton Medical 5 Center; and Whitfield County Fire Chief and EMA Director, 6 7 Carl Collins. We hope that we'll be able to hear from these gentlemen shortly. 8

9 So if there's no other opening statements by any 10 other Board members, I'd like to recognize our lead 11 investigator, John Vorderbrueggen, who will begin his 12 presentation.

John Vorderbrueggen is a lead investigator. 13 He has 14 more than 30 years of experience in process safety, 15 regulatory program management, business management, 16 maintenance process improvement, quality assurance, quality 17 control, program management, human factors, mechanical and 18 structural design and workforce training program development 19 and instruction. He has extensive experience in process 20 safety management and risk management program assessment and program development, and fitness for service engineering 21 2.2 analysis of high energy mechanical systems.

Industries served include chemical, refining,
pharmaceutical, chemical nuclear and fossil power, aerospace
research and launch facilities.

He served as a member of the Mary K. O'Connor
 Process Safety Center Technical Advisory Committee.

Mr. Vorderbrueggen holds a Bachelor of Science in mechanical engineering from California Polytechnic University and is a registered professional engineer.

His co-investigator is Kaszniak, who is a certified
explosion investigator, certified public environmental
auditor.

9 Mr. Kaszniak joined the CSB following a 20-year 10 career in health and safety including recent experience as an 11 independent consultant specializing in accident 12 investigations, process safety and federal regulatory compliance. He was Director of Health and Safety for IMC 13 14 Global, a crop nutrient manufacturer, and has held positions 15 as Corporate Safety Manager for the Vigoro Corporation and as 16 Senior Health and Safety Administrator for Morton 17 International.

18 Mr. Kaszniak also worked for OSHA for eight years
19 as a safety engineer, investigating numerous fires and
20 explosions and chemical and petroleum process facilities.

He holds a Bachelor of Science in chemical engineering from the University of Illinois and is a certified fire and explosion investigator and certified professional environmental auditor.

25

With that, I'd like to turn the program over to

1 John Vorderbrueggen. Thank you, John.

2 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Thank you, Chairman Merritt, 3 Mr. Warner, Mr. Visscher, Mr. Bresland, members of the 4 community and emergency response agencies that are 5 represented here. Thank you very much for taking the time 6 out of your busy evenings and days to listen to what we have 7 to say, and we really want to hear what you have to say as 8 well when we get to that point.

9 In the next series of slides, I'm going to 10 summarize the incident, I'm going to provide our preliminary 11 findings on this incident and I'm going to then give a couple 12 of slides on our action items to reach conclusion on our 13 investigation.

First, to set the stage and identify specifically the configuration of the system, what we have in this view, the tank truck on the right hand side -- technical name for it is an isotainer -- that's a fancy name for a tanker truck. It contained about 31,000 pounds of allyl alcohol, which is a flammable and toxic chemical. That arrived on site the day of April 12, 2004.

21 On the extreme left hand side is a large chiller 22 system that MFG brought on site to provide cooling to the 23 reactor system, which was part of the chemical reaction 24 process that they were planning on performing later that day. 25 The reactor you cannot see in this view, it's

behind this vessel here, but just in general terms, it's 1 about the same size, it's about 15 feet tall, about eight 2 feet in diameter. It had a capacity of about 2000 gallons. 3 The mezzanine floor, which is where the manway is located, 4 5 which is where you open the vessel to put some chemicals in, is located up on this level. The relief valve release point 6 is down at ground level. And then the last item is in that 7 building in the background is where their control room was, 8 which was just south, almost due south from the reactor. 9

10 A little bit of background first. MFG developed 11 tri-allyl cyanurate, which we will call TAC to make our life 12 simple tonight. That recipe was developed in their 13 laboratories at one of their facilities here in town. They 14 also conducted some small batch testing in that same facility 15 prior to the incident on April 12.

16 This in fact was the very first production bath for 17 TAC that they were starting to prepare when the incident 18 occurred.

There was an uncontrolled runaway reaction about 60 minutes or so after the three principal chemicals had been mixed in this reactor. The reactor released flammable and toxic allyl alcohol vapor and possibly toxic hydrogen chloride gas.

There were 154 victims that were decontaminated and treated at the hospital. Some of you may be here tonight. 1 Of those, 137 were private citizens or employees of nearby 2 facilities. There were 13 police officers who were involved 3 in the emergency evacuation that were contaminated and had to 4 be decontaminated and treated and there were four ambulance 5 personnel who were there to help evacuate the community and 6 they were also treated at the hospital.

Additionally, on the environmental side, there was
a nearby creek contaminated by the water runoff. This was
partially from fire water runoff and it was partially from
the rain that was occurring that night.

The next series of slides I'm calling the incident time line. This was taken from 911 call center records, it was taken from interviews that we conducted with more than 60 individuals that included police officers that were on scene, that included fire department personnel that were on scene, it included ambulance personnel, it included MFG personnel and various others.

I'm going to set the basis for our preliminary findings in this time line. We have chosen some very specific elements as we move through the period of time from the beginning of the release that we have established at approximately 9:30 p.m.

The MFG operators in the control room were -- had experienced and identified what was an unexpected temperature increase in the reactor system. They had a device that was

monitoring that temperature and the temperature was coming 1 2 up, it was getting higher than they expected it to be, and 3 shortly after that indication was moving, the reactor overpressurized and that was identified when of course the manway 4 5 gasket ruptured on the manway at the top -- and I'll show you a photo here in a second. It then activated the safety 6 7 relief device that was there to protect the reactor vessel from over-pressurizing beyond its safe limits. 8

9 MFG then observed a white cloud of vapor escaping 10 very vigorously out of the manway and it was also escaping down below out of the relief vent line. Their only choice at 11 12 this time -- they attempted to identify what they could do, but they had no choice but to evacuate immediately. 13 The 14 vapor cloud was coming towards them, toward the building. So 15 the six or seven individuals that were there had to evacuate, 16 they had no choice, and they evacuated to a safe area upwind 17 on Callahan Road essentially just outside their gate or thereabouts. 18

This picture shows the two items that were involved in the release itself. The first one is the manway gasket, that's a 20-inch diameter manway on top of the reactor. That gasket failed. That occurred first. Within a few seconds, maybe five to ten seconds, as MFG was evacuating the building, they heard the rupture disk fail. That failed at about 75 psi. The vapor vented through that line and it goes

down to the floor and it releases the vapor -- at this time,
 it was a vapor being released about 18 inches off the ground.

Within six minutes, MFG, up in a safe area, were on the phone with the 911 call center and they clearly reported to the 911 center that allyl alcohol was being released from their equipment at the Callahan site. They also clarified, when asked, whether there was a fire, and there was not, and they also clarified that there were no injuries at this point in time.

The 911 center immediately notified the city fire department and they were en route. While they were en route, the fire department requested an ambulance to stand by at Callahan Road due to what was noted as a hazmat spill, and an ambulance crew was dispatched to that area.

This map shows the relative location of MFG down here at the bottom on Callahan Road and we're about 14 minutes into the event, the police are now en route and we also have our first call from one of the residents on Sycamore Circle who reported a very bad chemical -- a bad sickening smell and burning eyes. That's the first report from a resident -- we're about 14 minutes into the event.

The red is an approximation of the vapor cloud based on the 911 calls where people are telling the center what they're observing and encountering.

25

The first station, as you will see, is up at the

top of this view and the 3.3 mile trip from the fire station to MFG was very quick and the fire truck reported that he encountered a vapor cloud, both observed what he thought was just fog from the lousy night it was, but when they drove through it, they smelled it and they immediately knew that they had something bigger on their hands than a hazmat spill.

7 They also directed the dispatcher to tell any other 8 responding units to come down from the west side of the 9 facility and not come down Callahan Road in any kind of 10 future response.

Sixteen minutes into the event, the incident command post is now established. This is the city fire department's incident command post and they're just southwest of the MFG facility essentially up on Callahan Road.

We have engine two notifying the dispatcher that allyl alcohol is being released, so this is another confirmation to the 911 call center that we have an allyl alcohol release, not a hazmat spill.

We also have a police officer reporting a very bad chemical fumes at the Franklin Place Apartments, which is on Lakeland Boulevard, about three-tenths of a mile from the facility, north-northwest.

Twenty minutes after the start, the first
department has now established a half mile evacuation zone.
This is based on the National Emergency Response Guidebook

1 which is the bible of every fire station in this country and Canada, and they looked up allyl alcohol, it said half mile 2 evacuation zone and it also called for what is called 3 encapsulating suits for emergency response. We also call 4 5 those Level A suits. And they are needed to protect anybody who has to enter a toxic chemical environment. You literally 6 are closed into a plastic bag and you have your own breathing 7 gear and that's what it called for. 8

9 We also have a report in the 911 records that a 10 police officer now asks the dispatcher if he needs -- or if 11 the policemen that are proceeding to evacuate the community -12 - need any kind of protective equipment. That question was 13 never answered but the policemen didn't have the equipment, 14 and we'll get into that a little bit later. So it was 15 somewhat of a rhetorical question.

The next item was another police officer reports his eyes are burning and he must leave the area, he has to quit trying to help the community to evacuate. He leaves the area so he can get fresh air and then he returns into this contaminated area. He felt his responsibility was to get the community to safety. That was number one on his list and he put himself in harm's way to do that.

Twenty-five minutes after the event -- after the release started -- the 911 call center tells the hospital to prepare for victims that are exposed to allyl alcohol. So we have good communication to the hospital that allyl alcohol is
 our biggest concern right now.

3 The first ambulance crew that was responding to a home on Sycamore Circle advised their dispatcher not to let 4 5 any other ambulance come in the area. Ambulance crews don't go into contaminated areas, they're not supposed to. 6 The 7 challenge is how do you alert them so that doesn't happen. That same crew, shortly thereafter, is overcome by the vapor 8 cloud and they're forced to leave the area without giving any 9 10 detailed aid to any of the residents in the area.

We're 30 minutes into the event. The police ask the first department to take over the evacuation and be sure to use breathing apparatus. The police can't handle it, they don't have the equipment, the fire department does, to go in for the evacuation.

At this point in time, that same ambulance crew that has left the scene is unable to actually go as far as they had intended. They actually had to pull off the road and ask for assistance from another ambulance crew.

We also have a police officer notifying the dispatcher that he no longer can stay in the area and he has to pull out from notifying the public.

We're 38 minutes into the event. The triage area -- the triage area is an area set up by the EMT and paramedics to evaluate potentially contaminated individuals to decide who goes to the hospital first and what do we do, can we treat somebody here locally. That triage area was set up at fire station two, three miles north of the facility, and they began evaluating exposed personnel and the 911 center also starts informing anybody that calls them, go to the triage area.

7 Also, the Red Cross set up a shelter at the church 8 across from the fire station down there on Abutment Road, 9 station two, and they started receiving displaced residents 10 who didn't feel they needed to go to the hospital, but they 11 needed a place to spend the night.

The fire department now instructs the police officers to leave the neighborhood and that the fire department has now taken over any remaining evacuation activities. The first department is wearing their breathing apparatus as they drive their fire trucks through to assist the community to evacuate.

18 This map shows the relative location of the triage 19 area down in the lower right hand corner, that was set up to 20 evaluate potentially contaminated or injured parties from It shows the Hamilton Medical Center, which is 21 this release. 2.2 the hospital, and where the hospital set up their own 23 decontamination station to handle personnel or individuals coming there. That's three miles from the triage area and 24 25 the triage area I showed you before is another three miles to where the incident occurred. We had about half a mile
 evacuation zone, so we're five miles from the exposure area
 before we do any decontamination.

An hour and a half after the start, the fire department has now reported to the 911 call center that all four ambulances are contaminated from allyl alcohol toxic chemicals. One ambulance actually continues transporting the personnel to the hospital back and forth from the triage. The other three remained at the triage area to act as a triage function.

It's also at this point in time that the fire department is requested -- they get a phone call from a resident, we're an hour and a quarter into this event and we still haven't evacuated the community. There's a resident there that needs help and the fire department had to go back in and help get that elderly resident out of the area.

Two hours after the start, the first department makes their final sweep of the neighborhoods to verify that everybody has been alerted to evacuate, and the ambulance transports the first three of nine injured policemen to the hospital. There were four more policemen that drove their squad cars to the hospital and that constitutes the 13 that we pointed out earlier in the slides that were treated.

Three hours into the event, the fire department reports we still have vapor cloud at the apartment complex.

The complicate matters, the winds have now shifted to the south and the fire department is forced to move their command post out of the area and they also are now forced to evacuate some of the businesses in the immediate area to the south and west of the facility.

We now jump to the nine hour point in the incident. 6 7 This is the first time that MFG personnel sample any air at the plant site and they were sampling for alcohol vapor and 8 none was detected. It is at this point in time, based on 9 10 that activity and the observations of the reactor that the fire department terminated the evacuation order and the fire 11 12 department stopped the defensive measures that they were 13 taking. They had been spraying water in a fan spray on the 14 reactor at the top as well as they were also concentrating 15 down where the vapor was being released at the bottom, 16 because that was a very effective, and the only method that 17 they could use to try to knock down this vapor cloud. That concluded about nine hours into the event. 18

Finally, 16.5 hours after we had our initial release, which is now 2:00 p.m. Tuesday afternoon, MFG accomplishes resealing the reactor and this ensures that there is no further vapor that can be released from this reactor from this incident. And the fire department at that point in time terminates the incident command post operations.

1	Before I release it to the Board, I just want to
2	point out that that time line was intended to identify the
3	very specific actions that were taken and some of the reasons
4	those actions were taken. The fire department was very
5	timely, the police department was on scene and they moved
6	into harm's way, they said we've got to help get the folks
7	out of the neighborhood. The hospital was actively
8	establishing what they're going to do to help the public as
9	this event moves on. That we will use as we go through the
10	preliminary findings later on in this presentation.
11	I will now turn it over to the Board.
12	CHAIRMAN MERRITT: At this time, are there Board
13	questions for the investigators?
14	Mr. Bresland.
15	MR. BRESLAND: Mr. Vorderbrueggen, you've been to
16	the MFG facility. What level of process control did they
17	have there that you would compare with other facilities doing
18	similar types of operations?
19	MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: The process controls
20	associated with this particular activity were very minimal.
21	They did have a very large chiller system attached to this
22	reactor. It was essentially an all on/all off, and it was on
23	during this event, to the best of everybody's knowledge,
24	until it was choked out by the vapor release. They had
25	temperature monitoring. Beyond that, there was not much else

1 in the way of controls on this system.

2 MR. BRESLAND: The ruptured disk that blew and 3 caused the release of the allyl alcohol, it's a safety device 4 that's supposed to blow when the pressure gets high. Was 5 that appropriately designed, do you think, for this 6 particular operation?

7 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: We have not obtained any 8 documents indicating what level of design that that ruptured 9 disk had received, and that is something that will be part of 10 our ongoing analysis of the results of our testing that Mark 11 will talk a little bit about later.

MR. BRESLAND: I know we are going to get into a later discussion of what -- chemically what happens, so I'll leave some questions for later.

I was curious about one thing. You said that four ambulances -- the four ambulances were contaminated. How did that happen and is that something that would be expected to happen in a situation like this?

MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: As I mentioned, the goal is never to bring your ambulance crews into harms way like this. Now unfortunately, sometimes that can't be controlled. And this is, I think, one of those events.

The ambulance crew were directed to a home based on physical symptoms from a individual or individuals in that home, and they had no way of knowing, it was very early in

1 the incident. So no, we don't want ambulance crews to be 2 contaminated, ideally we ask the fire department with 3 appropriate equipment to come in, get the people out of 4 harm's way and then be picked up.

5 The contamination of the four ambulances, the other part of your question, were really the result of people 6 coming from -- some of them were from the first ambulance 7 being in the vapor cloud, the first responding ambulance. 8 Other contamination occurred when the people that went to the 9 10 triage station complaining of symptoms because they had been 11 exposed, based on symptoms, they then were transported in 12 these ambulances without any decontamination activities occurring at triage. So the contamination was effectively 13 14 spread. Now only one of those ambulances was used to 15 transport individuals to the hospital as the evening moved 16 forward.

17 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Mr. Vorderbrueggen, a question I 18 have is why was the ambulance crews nor the police not 19 prepared for a toxic release. I know that the material allyl 20 alcohol was reported by MFG correctly, that it was being 21 released and the fire department and the hospital knew that 2.2 allyl alcohol was being released, and yet the toxicity of 23 allyl alcohol, including the reason that this equipment was contaminated and what-not, didn't seem to be communicated. 24 25 Can you explain what happened there?

MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Well, as you pointed out, MFG 1 2 clearly identified exactly what the principal expected toxic 3 was, and that was allyl alcohol. And the hospital, the police, the fire, they in very timely order had the 4 5 information available to them to tell them that it was toxic and its various characteristics through what we call the 6 7 Material Safety Data Sheet, MSDS is what it's called in industry, and other mechanisms. And we'll get into a little 8 bit of that later. For example, poison control center was 9 10 called, but I don't want to jump into that.

11 Again, things are happening so fast, that's part of 12 what happened. I think our investigating team is confident 13 that had the ambulance crews and the police clearly 14 understood what they were potentially putting themselves into when they drove into this zone, I think both the individual 15 16 as well as their supervisors would have said you can't go in 17 there, we have to bring the fire department in, which 18 ultimately happened. The fire department was brought in, but 19 unfortunately, it was after so many people were contaminated 20 and sickened by the vapor cloud.

21 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: How was this -- what were they 22 prepared for? Were they prepared for -- I know that you 23 indicated that allyl alcohol is flammable. I mean were they 24 not aware or not prepared for this material as a toxic as 25 well?

MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Would you bear with me and 1 2 wait until the second part of our presentation and we'll 3 address that very specifically. 4 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: All right. 5 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Mr. Visscher. 6 7 MR. VISSCHER: Just a question. The time line shows that the fire department was treating the release for 8 9 approximately nine hours? 10 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Yes. 11 MR. VISSCHER: Is it your understanding then that 12 the release was continuing that nine hours or it may have 13 ceased earlier and they were continuing to treat it? 14 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Unfortunately there's no hard 15 data that tells us how long the release occurred. The only 16 thing we know is within a minute or so when it started, 17 that's about 9:30 p.m., and that's somewhat based on 18 testimony from MFG employees and the 911 call from MFG, we 19 have that down to the second. The actual time it stopped, 20 that's all dependent on how long that reaction continued. That nine hour period is clearly how long the fire 21 2.2 department sprayed water, defensive measures on this reactor. 23 They could only rely on what they were seeing coming out of 24 the reactor for their decision process as to whether they'd 25 completed their actions. So it was reliance on that and the

MFG, some of the MFG testing that was done in the area, that
 they concluded it was safe to stop water spraying.

3 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: I have a question about why was 4 it not possible to go in and stop this release sooner from 5 the facility?

6 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: As I mentioned earlier, the 7 Emergency Response Guidebook makes it clear -- and this is 8 typical for a toxic type of release like this -- that the 9 only safe way to enter a toxic cloud is to have what we call 10 fully encapsulating; i.e., Level A, suits to protect the 11 individual from going -- while they go into this.

Had the fire department or MFG had such equipment, they could have gone into this toxic cloud and conducted more evaluations as to is there something we can do to stop this release sooner. Now we're not suggesting that there was a solution, we're just suggesting that that opportunity to determine a solution didn't exist at this incident.

18 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Are there any other questions?19 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Okay, then at this time, we'd like to introduce Mark Kaszniak, who will talk about the MFG product development phase.

MR. KASZNIAK: Thank you, Madam Chair.
 MFG intended to produce a tri-allyl cyanurate at
 this facility. Tri-allyl cyanurate is a chemical that is

used in the manufacture of plastics and rubber. One of the 1 most important applications of this material is in the 2 development of cable, particularly sheathing, which has a 3 high heat resistance, which is used in motor vehicles and 4 5 airplanes. The recipe that MFG used was based on an expired patent by American Cyanamid, which means it was in the public 6 7 domain and could be freely used by anyone. MFG made certain modifications to this patent in order to increase to product 8 yield of tri-allyl cyanurate. 9

Prior to their April 12 production run, MFG spent several months completing laboratory testing via their new recipe and also successfully made several small batches of approximately 30 gallons in their pilot plant.

14 At the time of the release, there were only three 15 components in the reactor. They were allyl alcohol, cyanuric 16 chloride and a catalyst. In this case, the allyl alcohol 17 reacts with the cyanuric chloride to produce the desired 18 product, tri-allyl cyanurate, plus hydrogen chloride. The 19 catalyst, which is used to promote the reaction, does not 20 participate in the reaction, nor is it consumed. It's merely there to aid the reaction. 21

CSB obtained samples of the raw materials that were used in the reaction at MFG and submitted them to an independent testing laboratory, and that laboratory tested those materials and found that all the materials used in this

1 reaction were within specification, and so there were no contaminated reaction materials that could have caused the 2 3 runaway reaction. Furthermore, the chemical laboratory also took the material and put them in the same proportions that 4 5 MFG used, but on a much smaller scale, put them in what is known as an adiabatic calorimeter, which is device which is 6 used to test reactives and determine the reactivity of 7 chemicals and the results of those tests showed that 8 excessive heat has to be continually removed from the 9 10 reactor, as well as the mixture acidity must be closely 11 controlled, in order to prevent this chemical mixture from 12 reacting uncontrollably.

13 This plot of temperature and pressure shows what 14 happened, what is believed to have happened the night of the 15 4/12 production run. If the reaction had gone as intended, 16 the reaction would have followed the dotted line, meaning 17 that pressure would have stayed relatively stable and pressure would have also remained low. However, about 60 18 19 minutes after the ingredients were mixed, the pressure rised 20 (sic) unexpectedly -- excuse me, the temperature rised (sic) unexpectedly. This caused both the reaction and the 21 2.2 temperature and pressure inside the kettle to both rise. As 23 the pressure rose, which was primarily the reaction of the 24 gases inside the kettle and the vaporization of the allyl 25 alcohol, the pressure came to a level where it blew the

rupture disk. At that point, the pressure began to subside
 with the reaction still going on.

3 What happened is after the manway gasket blew and the rupture disk activated, allyl alcohol vapor was released 4 5 into the community. It is also believed that hydrogen chloride gas was also released. Fortunately, both of these 6 chemicals are highly soluble in water, so the fire 7 department's actions of spraying water on this material was 8 9 an appropriate measure in an attempt to stop the toxic 10 chemical release.

11 CSB at this point does not believe any cyanuric 12 chloride was released, simply because the cyanuric chloride 13 was present in the reaction in a powder form and the amount 14 of temperature that it would have taken to raise the cyanuric 15 chloride from a powder to a gas would have exceeded the 16 reaction temperatures of this mixture.

17 The impact on the community from the toxic cloud 18 was that 123 people went by private vehicle to the hospital, 19 31 persons were transported by ambulance to the hospital. Of 20 these 154 people, six people were admitted overnight for 21 observation. One of those persons was an MFG employee, who had received first degree chemical burns to his feet when he 2.2 23 went back into the facility in an attempt to perform some activity there after the release occurred. Four more people 24 25 were admitted for observation for respiratory symptoms and

1 they were kept overnight for observation and released the 2 next day. One person, who was an elderly person, whose 3 primary caregiver was admitted due to respiratory concerns 4 was also admitted as a result of not having primary care 5 available.

After the 123 people had been decontaminated, they ended up going to the shelter at the church and remained there until the all clear was sounded the next morning at approximately 7:15 in the morning.

10 Now people's exposure to toxic chemicals varies, depending on a number of different factors. In this case, 11 12 the reported health effect from the MFG chemical release, as was determined by interviews with hospital personnel, 13 14 ambulance personnel, police, residents of the neighborhood 15 and from 911 -- review of the 911 calls, showed that a 16 majority of the people experienced watering and burning eyes. 17 Some people also experienced stinging and burning sensation in the nose and throat, some people experienced coughing. 18 19 There were some who also had headaches and dizziness and 20 there was a small number of people who experienced breathing difficulties. 21

When we compare these symptoms against the known health effects of allyl alcohol, we will find some striking similarities. The health effects are divided both into local and systemic effects. Local effects are those where the

1 primary point of effect is where the chemical first contacts 2 the body. In this case, the nose, the eyes, the throat. And in terms of allyl alcohol -- first of all, allyl alcohol is 3 the color of gas, so you can't see it, but it does have a 4 5 mustard like odor, so it does have a smell associated with It is also a very severe irritant to the eyes, nose and 6 it. 7 throat. This is confirmed by the number of reports from the community. 8

9 Systemic effects are those effects that happen when 10 the material is actually absorbed into the bloodstream. This 11 occurs after a prolonged exposure and as of this point we 12 have no indications that anybody experienced any systemic 13 effects from these materials because most people were treated 14 and released within one or two days of the incident.

But in the reported literature, allyl alcohol can result in a build-up of fluid in the lungs, which is known as an edema. It may also affect the liver and kidneys, but it is not a cancer-causing agent.

Similarly, hydrogen chloride has very similar
effects. Regarding local effects, it is also a colorless to
slightly yellow gas which produces a very pungent odor.
Another thing to be noted about hydrogen chloride is that it
reacts with the vapor in the atmosphere to produce a white,
dense cloud. This cloud was experienced -- was both noted by
the MFG personnel when they saw the release from the reactor,

and several residents mentioned that there was a white fog in
 the community when they evacuated.

Like allyl alcohol, it is also a severe irritant to the eyes, nose, throat and lungs. And it has very similar effects to that of allyl alcohol with the addition that it may produce a syndrome known as reactive airways dysfunctional syndrome, abbreviated as RAD, which is an asthma like condition, but in this case, one that is induced by chemicals.

The Georgia Department of Natural Resources evaluated the extent of the damage to the environment after the incident and determined that the water runoff killed fish, frogs and other aquatic life some seven miles downstream along the Stacey Branch and the Drowning Bear Creek. Also, the vapor cloud burned vegetation up to half a mile downwind from the facility.

17At this point, I'd like to turn it back over to18John to discuss the preliminary findings from the CSB.

MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Thank you, Mark.

19

In the next series of slides, I'm going to first discuss the preliminary findings based on our investigation data that we've collected so far. I'll address MFG, then I'll address the emergency responders and then I'll close with a brief summary of our actions to reach conclusion on this investigation.

On the MFG side, they did not review available 1 2 literature, detailed literature, on the reactive chemistry 3 associated with the making of tri-allyl cyanurate. There was literature reviewed. As Mark mentioned, it was literature 4 5 involving patents and other information, but there was other literature that was available that addressed the reactive 6 chemistry associated with this, that we have no evidence that 7 that was done at this point in time. 8

9 We also have found that MFG did no adequately 10 address the allyl alcohol toxic hazard. We do recognize that 11 MFG did work pretty hard or very hard in understanding the 12 flammable hazards associated with this 31,000 pound delivery 13 of this chemical. It has two characteristics -- flammable 14 and toxic. They did discuss -- there were some discussions 15 and some sharing of literature with the fire department 16 before the material was brought on site. MFG also worked 17 with the supplier of this chemical to identify how they internally could improve their fire defensive measures, and 18 19 they in fact bought equipment to be better prepared in the 20 hopefully unlikely event there was a fire.

The shortcoming though is they never addressed the toxic hazard beyond the depth of a release of possibly, you know, a very small quantity, a couple -- a couple of quarts, a gallon maybe, in the process of connecting and disconnecting hoses. And that was for their personnel.

1 Their personnel were prepared to handle allyl alcohol as long 2 as everything was normal. They were not prepared to handle a 3 toxic spill of any consequence -- or of any volume that could 4 approach what they had in their reactor or what they had in 5 that tank trailer.

MFG was also unaware of the EPA's risk management 6 7 This regulation has been in effect for about six regulation. or seven years now and allyl alcohol is a listed toxic 8 chemical in this regulation. The threshold quantity is 9 10 15,000 pounds. MFG received 31,000 pounds, so they were well above the threshold quantity. This regulation requires 11 12 preplanning and actions before receipt of these hazardous 13 chemicals and those elements that are specifically related to this event are the three that I have listed. A hazard 14 15 assessment that includes release scenarios, what is the 16 likely release that we might have, what is the worse case 17 release that we might have if something goes wrong while we handle this material. That is required by this regulation. 18 19 This regulation requires a prevention program -- how do we, 20 as a user of this hazardous -- in this case toxic -chemical, prevent an unanticipated release. Is our rupture 21 2.2 disk adequate, is the catch system on the end of the rupture 23 disk adequate. And then finally, it requires a very specific 24 emergency response program to address all the hazards, not 25 just fire hazard.

On the emergency response activities, as we pointed 1 out in the earlier slides, there was very timely fire and 2 3 police response to this incident, they were on scene very quickly. The fire department had a very quick and effective 4 5 assessment of what they were to do and the defensive actions to be taken. And they communicated this information very 6 effectively with the 911 call center. That information was 7 transferred over to the hospital and there's a very effective 8 9 chain of communication for some of those details as it went 10 forward.

Ambulance response. As I mentioned in the earlier slide, the ambulance was asked to respond, to standby at Callahan Road, and they were on the way almost as quickly as the fire department was on the way. The fire department was dispatched and they called for an ambulance crew just in case. They had not yet determined what the problem was.

17 The hospital mobilized for what is called a mass 18 casualty event. That's in their planning process and that 19 addresses any event that might expect to have more than 10 20 victims or 10 casualties that are brought to the hospital. So they're moving forward, in fact their scanner radio 21 traffic alerted them even before the 911 call center, that 2.2 23 there is a problem down on the south end of town. And they 24 immediately started mobilizing. They contacted the poison 25 control center, they started communicating with the poison

1 control center and as soon as they knew it was allyl alcohol 2 as the likely release agent, the poison control center was 3 providing information to the hospital on how to react and how to treat -- how to decontaminate and how to treat the 4 5 individuals that might have been exposed to this chemical. And in fact, they set up that decontamination station at the 6 7 hospital that some of you folks I'm sure experienced. And that methodology for decontamination was the right 8 methodology for this toxic chemical. 9

10 The difficulties that the emergency responders encountered also were significant. The fire department does 11 12 not have the specialized equipment that is needed to handle a 13 toxic release. I mentioned we need encapsulating suits, the 14 fire department needs encapsulating suits in order to go into 15 a toxic spill of this nature, and possibly get the situation 16 under control sooner. They did not have that. MFG did not 17 have encapsulating suits either to handle such a large release of the toxic allyl alcohol. 18

And furthermore, they did not -- the fire department did not have adequate devices for testing the air specifically for allyl alcohol or hydrogen chloride to know very early in the event that we have an allyl alcohol release. The fire departments carry oxygen monitors, they carry carbon monoxide monitors, flammable vapor monitors and hydrogen sulfite gas monitors. That's on virtually every

vehicle that a fire crew operates, because those are their principal exposure hazards or lack of exposure if it's lack of oxygen. They had those devices and they were using those devices. Unfortunately, they did not have devices that were sensitive to the chemicals that were being released.

Notifying the community. Door-to-door notification 6 7 was the only method available to the emergency responders to alert the residents -- many of you are here tonight -- the 8 evacuate. Some communities use a shelter-in-place concept 9 but those only work if the community knows what to do when 10 11 they're told to shelter in place. Do they close their doors, 12 windows and the like. You also need to identify how you get that message to those individuals. There is no callback, no 13 14 automatic dial out system here in Dalton. Some counties, some 15 cities, some communities have an automatic system that dials 16 all the phone numbers in the neighborhood and says -- and 17 gives specific instructions as to what to do if something 18 like this happens. And then finally, there's no siren 19 system, no permanent siren system.

So the police, who were the first to start the evacuation and then ultimately the fire department who had to come in and take over, their only methodology was drive through the neighborhood door-to-door. Some police went to doors and knocked, some police used their PA system to get the neighbors alerted. Unfortunately, that exposed those

responders to the toxic chemicals and that sickened the
 officers and as I said, 13 ended up in the hospital.

The limited evacuation details that they could provide their mechanism, through their PAs, didn't give much opportunity for them, especially as they're coughing and gagging, to give much instruction other than get out onto Lakeland and go north or south. And as information transpired, they were also able to start directing people to triage, as they learned themselves triage was available.

10 Also we found that the lifting of the evacuation 11 order was not well communicated to some of the residents. 12 Some people drove into the community late the next morning to 13 discover it was safe to return. And again, it's a problem. 14 How do you tell everybody they can come back.

And also the residents didn't know what to do with the food that they left on their tables, the food that's in their pantries. Is this contaminated, is this dangerous for our health. So these are all things in the planning of effective evacuations.

Ambulance crews were unaware they were entering a hazardous zone, we've talked about that a little bit. As a result, it sickened personnel and they were unable to perform their functions. We have EMTs, we have paramedics that are now unable to do the job that they're asked to do to protect the public, to help the public get rapid response and rapid
care, and they were unable to do that. And as we mentioned,
 their vehicles and equipment required extensive
 decontamination over the next few days to prepare them so
 that they could go back into normal service.

5 We also found that the air monitoring for the toxic 6 chemicals was inadequate. There was no air monitoring 7 performed outside of the MFG property, and certainly within 8 maybe 50 feet of the property, but certainly nothing over in 9 the communities. And in fact, on-site air monitoring, the 10 first air monitoring that was performed was nine hours into 11 the event, as we mentioned earlier.

Air monitoring was performed using the wrong equipment. The equipment was cable of detecting alcohols, not allyl alcohol specifically. The level of that detection was not necessarily correct. And finally, the air quality in the community was not verified safe by sampling before the evacuation order was lifted and individuals were allowed to re-enter the area.

On emergency planning, I'm going to touch on this very briefly. There are federal regulations in place under 40 CFR 300, listed there, that specify for local emergency planning committees. In the state of Georgia, there are nine local emergency planning committees. These committees include the businesses, the emergency responders, interested community leaders and that sort of thing. There is no specific LEPC in Whitfield County; however, within Whitfield County, the Whitfield County Emergency Management Agency has responsibility to implement what is known as the emergency operations plan. Within that operations plan, there are some key elements that are related to this incident and those are as listed here.

7 The emergency operation plan calls for maintaining 8 a facility hazardous material profile for all your businesses 9 in the area based on the types of toxic and hazardous 10 chemicals that they handle.

11 The plan requires an incident -- or it requires 12 that a plan be developed for incident response actions. How 13 do you notify the public, who has first response -- those 14 types of activities.

That emergency plan calls for development of evacuation procedures and finally it calls for ensuring resource availability. And what we mean by resources is both people and equipment.

The fire department, their hands were tied, they did not have the equipment they needed to effectively minimize this incident. They did the best job they could do with the equipment they had. The police did the best job they could do with the equipment they had, until they were overcome, they had no choice.

25

The operations plan does have provisions for this.

We are not at a point where we are making any conclusions as
 to the effectiveness of the operations plan and the other
 elements in this plan. This is part of our ongoing analysis.
 So I must stop at just flagging those points that are in the
 plan at this point in time.

So with that, let me briefly -- on two slides, I
promise -- I'm going to identify the remaining action items
of our investigation.

9 The investigation team is working to complete the 10 chemical testing and the air dispersion modeling to estimate 11 what extent that release actually was, and the materials that 12 were released.

We will then complete our incident analysis. That is a very scientific and analytical analysis that we conduct. We go through rigorous review internally. We bring in, in some cases, external experts to make sure that it is sound and our conclusions are solid.

Based on our analysis, we will determine what we call root and contributing causes that either led to the release that led to the severity of the release and also how emergency response actions were handled.

Based on that information, we will develop specific and measurable recommendations that will be directed to organizations, to possibly MFG and other local and state agencies to prevent this type of event from occurring here and anywhere else in the United States. That's our goal,
 that's our charter from Congress.

We'll prepare that final report and then we'll present that final report to the Board at a forum similar to this here in Dalton and that's going to occur sometime in the first half of 2005. As I said, it's a rigorous process. We can't get it done overnight unfortunately. So it will take us until the first half of 2005 to accomplish.

9 With that, I ask the Board if you have any10 questions about the preliminary findings.

11 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you, Mr. Vorderbrueggen. 12 At this time, I would ask Board members if you have 13 any questions for John on the emergency response and 14 findings.

MR. BRESLAND: Just to clarify for the audience, John -- and I don't know if you can answer this off the top of your head, and I apologize for asking you to without maybe knowing the answer.

How flammable is allyl alcohol compared to, for example, a more common chemical like gasoline?

21

MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: I'll defer to Mark.

22 MR. KASZNIAK: Allyl alcohol is not as flammable as 23 gasoline, but it is a flammable liquid. It has a flashpoint 24 below 100 degrees Fahrenheit, by definition. Gasoline has a 25 very low flashpoint, somewhere in the area of minus 26 degrees. Allyl alcohol is in the area of 70 to 75 degrees
 Fahrenheit.

MR. BRESLAND: Okay. It's also toxic and its toxicity -- I'll answer this question for you -- its toxicity is comparable to chlorine, for example, in terms of the standards that are used for comparing toxic materials. So it is a relatively toxic chemical in terms of its acute effect on people if they were exposed to fairly high concentrations of it.

I just wanted to make that point just for the audience, just to get a clarification of the sort of chemical that we're dealing with.

MR. KASZNIAK: John, if I may, the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health has established a 20 part per million immediately dangerous to life or health value for allyl alcohol. So that means when the concentration exceeds 20 parts per million, it is recommended that the area be evacuated and people get out from exposure.

MR. BRESLAND: I guess I'm perplexed by certain -or several aspects of this incident. Let me just go through a few of them.

Who supplied the allyl alcohol to MFG? MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: The isotainer was delivered -was supplied by Liondale Chemical out of the Houston area. MR. BRESLAND: We've talked here about the EPA's risk management program, which the company would have been
 required to comply with, actually before they brought the
 material on site. What did Liondale have to say about the
 risk management program in terms of educating MFG about this?

5 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: As I mentioned in the presentation, Liondale actually visited the MFG facility back 6 7 in January of this year. They spent a few hours on site they met with MFG personnel that were going to be dealing and 8 handling the chemical. They also visited the equipment that 9 10 was going to be used. Bur unfortunately, as I pointed out, they talked about the flammable hazard, that was the focus of 11 12 this review. And it was pretty comprehensive, it was a comprehensive review and MFG took actions to protect against 13 14 a fire.

15 What they missed, clearly missed, was addressing a 16 toxic hazard, when in fact a very voluminous document, about 17 50 pages or so, that is a publication by Liondale for allyl alcohol does flag this chemical, unfortunately about the last 18 19 page of the document, that it is regulated under the EPA 20 regulation, but unfortunately that was missed and not discussed during any of the discussions and negotiations for 21 2.2 delivery of the chemicals.

23 MR. BRESLAND: So they weren't in compliance with 24 this EPA regulation when they brought material on site? What 25 was the regulatory or the legal outcome of that

1 noncompliance?

2 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: In the state of Georgia, the 3 Georgia Department of Natural Resources has, if you will, day-to-day responsibility for federal EPA regulatory 4 5 activities and enforcement and in fact, Georgia Department of Natural Resources did issue what is called a consent order to 6 MFG as a result of that noncompliance to the regulation. But 7 as Carolyn -- as Chairman Merritt mentioned, compliance and 8 regulatory issues are not directly our responsibility. We 9 10 just noted it as an event.

MR. BRESLAND: I understand there was a monetary penalty as well?

MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: I do understand that, but I do 14 not know what that number was.

15 MR. BRESLAND: \$26,000.

16 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Okay.

MR. BRESLAND: At least that's the reported number. There are questions here that I'm not sure are really appropriate for this stage -- this particular meeting, which is an intermediate meeting and may be more appropriate when we get to the final meeting.

But just -- if I'm thinking about the way this process -- I don't mean the chemical process, but the whole management process, the management system here, should have worked that would have prevented this, I could have seen certainly a more detailed discussion between MFG and the fire department in terms of the hazards of this chemical, sitting down and talking about what the toxic hazards were if there was a release and what would the appropriate response be to that release, and then obviously is the fire department appropriately equipped to deal with such a release.

7 Another issue here is obviously the reaction itself 8 that took place, why did it get out of control, what sort of 9 studies did MFG do to determine how reactive was this 10 material, what was the potential for a reaction getting out 11 of control and if it did get out of control, what could be 12 done about it, what other layers of protection were there to 13 mitigate something that may have happened.

Those are more comments than questions. So I'llleave it at that for now.

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: One of the things that the 16 17 Chemical Safety Board does is to look at each of the incidents that we investigate and we try to identify how did 18 19 this happen, why did this happen and how do we help to 20 prevent this from happening again. One of the mechanisms that is used is to report the findings on each of these 21 2.2 investigations that we do publicly and to try to communicate 23 that information to others in industry in order that they might review their own information and their own processes 24 25 and see whether or not similar hazards might exist.

I guess I go back to my initial question to you, 1 and that is how was -- I mean did MFG have a Material Safety 2 Data Sheet for this material? Was information available on 3 its toxicity and were they relying on, you know, this system 4 5 that nothing would go wrong, in order to have what would be a toxic release. Obviously you told us the investigation has 6 7 shown that they prepared for what might be a fire but they did not prepare for a toxic release, which -- I mean, first 8 of all, did they know about the toxic nature of allyl 9 10 alcohol, and then there was no preparation for anything to go 11 wrong apparently. Is that correct?

12 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Let me clarify a couple of MFG did have the MSDS documentation for allyl alcohol 13 items. and the other chemicals that were involved in this incident. 14 15 And that information, MFG reports that they did share that 16 with the fire department when they planned to use allyl 17 alcohol. And of course, an MSDS, Material Safety Data Sheet, which is a fairly comprehensive document, does include the 18 19 toxic hazards, as Mark mentioned, the IDLH, the immediately 20 dangerous to life and health toxic quantity. That 21 information was known by MFG and again by anybody that reads 2.2 an MSDS.

But there's many chemicals that have very toxic hazards that are down around that level that don't even show up on the EPA's R&P regulation, as I mentioned in this

particular incident. Again, it just didn't rise to the level of concern. And we're not sure why and we can't speculate why. MFG did, we believe, work very hard to try to understand what they were dealing with and to try to be prepared for that based on the information that they had and based on their information that they assumed would be involved.

And I also want to make it clear, MFG did prepare 8 some level of toxic release consideration, but again, that 9 was focused on a very small release. What if my crew opens a 10 valve inadvertently or disconnects the temporary connection 11 12 to the reactor before the line is completely empty. So they 13 might have been prepared to handle a small quantity like 14 that, but once they had, you know, a few thousand gallons of 15 allyl alcohol in the reactor and once that rupture disk 16 opened, there was nothing left that they could do. They had 17 no protective measures to stop the incident once it got 18 rolling.

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Okay, thank you.

19

25

If there are no other questions, at this time we've asked a panel of emergency response organizations to join us and to talk about this event and their preparedness and their feelings with regard to what emergency responders need in Dalton in order to prepare for an emergency like this.

At this time, I would ask our panelists -- and I

will introduce them -- Lieutenant Jason Parker of the Dalton City Police; Mr. John Hitchens of Whitfield County EMS, Dr. William Pullen of the Hamilton Medical Center and Chief Carl Collins, the Whitfield County Fire Chief and EMA Director -to join us and to comment on their feelings with regard to emergency preparedness in the Dalton area. At this time, if you would join us, I would appreciate that.

8 VOICE: Madam Chairman, we spoke about Chief Gober 9 earlier, Lieutenant Parker is in the same situation. The 10 City did not get the preliminary findings being presented to 11 the Board today. We would be better prepared to discuss 12 those findings and the things that you've talked about once 13 we've had an opportunity to see your findings.

I'm sure this panel can appreciate the fact that, as Board member Mr. Bresland said, you want to know what the facts are before you start talking about them. So we will respectfully decline to participate.

18 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you very much.

At this time then I would like to open the floor to the public. We've asked you to sign in; however, if you did not sign in, you still are available to speak.

22I'm sorry -- what about Dr. Pullen and Chief23Collins. Is Dr. Pullen here?

24 (Inaudible comments from the audience.)
25 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Would you be willing to talk to

us about how you felt this response went and the emergency
 preparedness of the county? Thank you.

First of all, this is not an inquisition. 3 What we are trying to do is understand a little better about 4 5 emergency preparedness. While the Board investigates chemical accidents, we are interested and we often see in our 6 7 emergency response preparedness that, you know, in retrospect, people who look at that emergency response, you 8 know, feel that there were things that went well and then 9 10 things that could have been handled better. And part of what we want to do is understand from your perspective, you know, 11 12 what you think went well and things that you would feel could be improved in preparing for chemical or accidental releases 13 14 in the county. It just helps us to understand in our 15 investigation how we might focus some of our questions and 16 also our recommendations.

17 So I appreciate your willingness to speak to us. CHIEF COLLINS: We have an all-hazard emergency 18 19 operation plan in place for Whitfield County and all the 20 cities, have had for several years. All the emergency agencies and volunteer agencies such as Red Cross and DFACS 21 2.2 and the utilities, all are part of our planning committee and 23 have input into the plan. Everyone has copies of the plan 24 and know what their responsibilities are. This community 25 works real well together, all agencies. We back each other

up. I don't ever recall having called for resources and been
 denied.

I think that night, considering the equipment we had, what we had to work with, I felt like it followed the plan we had, everybody did their job the best they could do it.

My job as EMA Director is kind of a coordinator
between the different agencies to keep everyone communicating
and to keep the plan updated and input into it.

Mostly that night my job was calling in resources that City fire requested, such as I coordinated with Red Cross to set up the shelters, I coordinated with the hospital on numerous occasions getting them numbers that were coming in, setting up transportation between the hospital back to the shelter.

After the incident, over a period of three weeks 16 17 probably, all the different agencies involved, at one time or 18 another, met and I was fortunately invited to each one of 19 them's critique session. I've never been involved in an 20 incident yet that we didn't look back and say we could have done this better if we had known. Unfortunately, the next 21 2.2 time you have an incident, it's not always like the last one, 23 but you've got that experience. Each one of the agencies 24 critiqued, there were some things that we seen that we could 25 improve on.

Mostly -- outside of equipment needs and that's stuff that none of us at our level has control of, most of it was minor stuff. Decontamination, we seen we needed to work on having some extra scrubs or clothes or something when we got through deconning that many people. We had never deconned that many at one time. So just minor stuff but it has all been critiqued and notes made.

8 The thing about a hazardous material incident, when 9 you can walk away and nobody is seriously injured or dead, 10 you've got to call it a good incident, I think.

11 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: A question that I had is your 12 planning and your plan that is already in place, does that 13 address anything other than industrial accidents?

CHIEF COLLINS: It is an all hazard, it addresses tornadoes and flooding and severe winter weather and hazmat and the new plan even is terrorists now, with the change in the country.

18 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: And does it address the 19 possibility for responding to transportation accidents and 20 things like that?

21 CHIEF COLLINS: Certainly. And it has been 22 practiced yearly, usually twice a year. Anything from 23 hazardous material drills to transportation accidents 24 involving school bus loads of children and that type stuff. 25 I can't over-emphasize enough that all the agencies, emergency and volunteer, in our area are very serious about the plan and work really well together. And I think everybody does the best they can do with what they have to do with.

5 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Do you do any drills or things6 like that to prepare yourselves and practice for such events?

7 CHIEF COLLINS: Yes, ma'am. I've been involved 8 with the fire department for 27 years, over EMA since '93. 9 There has not been a year gone by that we haven't had a drill 10 involving all the agencies over various things. As I said 11 anything from school bus accidents where you have multi 12 victims to hazardous material incidents involving trains, 13 tractor-trailers.

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: How would you describe the level of chemical use or activity in the Dalton area, Whitfield County area, and the level of preparedness for events like this with regard to industrial operations?

CHIEF COLLINS: There's a right smart of hazardous 18 19 material used within Whitfield County. Due to some of the 20 changes in the carpet manufacturing, it's not as heavy probably now as it was three or four years ago. 21 We lack --2.2 and I'll start with my department as far as fire departments 23 -- we lack the equipment to enter some of those areas that 24 we're talking about. When it gets past turnout gear and 25 breathing apparatus, then we have to rely on awareness and

1 defensive actions and call in private people or have the 2 companies call in private contractors that can actually deal 3 with the stopping of the leak, and that's a financial, 4 monetary restraint.

5 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Okay. Do the other Board 6 members have questions?

7 I appreciate your willingness to answer those8 questions. Thank you.

9 MR. BRESLAND: Chief Collins, again, thank you for 10 coming this evening and offering your expertise in this area.

Let me just give you my perspective, or give you a little bit of my background in this because I think I understand some of the issues that you're dealing with. I used to manage a large chemical plant in Philadelphia, it was the largest plant of its kind in the world and we worked very closely with the Philadelphia Fire Department because we had a multitude of flammable materials in that plant.

In Philadelphia, there are several oil refineries, lots of chemical plants, and as a result, the Philadelphia Fire Department had a very sophisticated response system and hazmat system, as you would expect with all of the multitude of issues that they were dealing with. And we developed a very close working relationship with them that I still enjoy today.

25

So I see the issue that you have is here in a

1 county of 80,000 people or in a city of about 30,000 people, 2 you obviously are not going to have the resources that a 3 large city would have. But how do you deal with the issue of 4 you do have some potentially very hazardous chemicals in the 5 community -- how do you deal with the resource issue about getting the sorts of equipment that you need to respond to a 6 7 potential accident with that material when the resources in a relatively small community may be limited? 8

9 CHIEF COLLINS: Speaking for my department, we have 10 a resource list of private contractors from Atlanta to 11 Chattanooga that we keep available and call in when it gets 12 beyond what we can handle.

MR. BRESLAND: Well, I'm thinking of things like the sorts of equipment that you might actually want or the local fire department might actually want to have on hand to deal with an issue like this or a toxic release like this. You can't just ignore it, you have to face the reality of it.

CHIEF COLLINS: It hasn't been ignored. We filed 18 19 for a -- I guess you're familiar with the fire grant that 20 they've had the last couple of years. We turned in the paperwork for hazardous material on two different occasions 21 2.2 for that, because we knew we needed some equipment, but 23 unfortunately we weren't one of the chosen ones that got 24 that. We did it through the fire grant and we did one grant 25 proposal through Homeland Security. So it's not like we're

1 ignoring it.

7

8

2 MR. BRESLAND: Well, I didn't mean to imply that 3 you were ignoring it. Maybe it came out sounding the wrong 4 way.

5 So it is an issue that you recognize and you're 6 trying to deal with.

CHIEF COLLINS: Yes.

MR. BRESLAND: Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Mr. Visscher.

MR. VISSCHER: I also want to thank you forspeaking tonight and giving us your perspective.

As I listened to the description of the preliminary findings from our staff and what you've described in terms of the emergency response, it seems similar in the sense of being very well coordinated, which you were emphasizing and I think that's what we've also seen, is that amongst the agencies at least, it was very well coordinated.

I think the preliminary findings point out shortcomings -- potential shortcomings at least in equipment and kind of information and you've kind of agreed on the equipment that there may have been some things you'd like to have.

Did you also agree that maybe you didn't have all the information that you needed, particularly with regard to this incident? 1 CHIEF COLLINS: That particular incident being 2 directly the City's responsibility, I really don't think I 3 could comment on that. I'm not privy to exactly what 4 information they did or didn't have.

5 Georgia opted, when that ruling was passed on the 6 LEPCs, Georgia as a state opted for Georgia to be the LEPC 7 for the state and sometimes I feel like the information that 8 gets to Atlanta maybe doesn't necessarily get back to us 9 sometimes as it should.

10 MR. VISSCHER: Have you had other industrial hazmat 11 incidents similar to this or was this kind of a new -- you've 12 had other obviously emergencies where you've brought in the 13 plan and exercised the plan. Have there been similar --

CHIEF COLLINS: Yes, sir, we've had some chlorine leaks over the last several years back some hazmat spills. It's not -- this wasn't the first time out on the plan, no.

17 MR. VISSCHER: Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you.

19 Also, Is Mr. John Hitchens here?

20 (No response.)

21 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Or Dr. William Pullen.

Dr. Pullen -- thank you very much, Chief Collins, I
appreciate your willingness to speak to us. Thank you.

24Thank you, Dr. Pullen. Like I told Chief Collins,25this is not an inquisition. We're really interested in your

perspective of what happened that afternoon and your preparedness before and event and the things that you think went well as well as those things that you looked at later and maybe could have gone better if you'd had information or whatever thing that you needed in order to have had a better response. So we appreciate you speaking to us on that.

7 DR. PULLEN: Thank you for having me and having8 this hearing on such an important matter.

9 I guess, you know, everybody has hindsight and 10 looking back at things, but you have to kind of take the 11 perspective of what all agencies were working under at the 12 time.

You have EMS that got a call for a single person in 13 14 an apartment in respiratory distress and half a block down 15 the street the fire department is responding to a chemical 16 accident. So you have one thing here and one thing here and 17 you have an astute paramedic who calls and says don't send any more units. He'd had chemical training in the Army and 18 19 we fortunately had a bunch of good informed trained people 20 out there to kind of start the ball rolling.

And then again, you have the remember that Hamilton Medical Center is the only hospital to handle these emergencies. There are no other hospitals to share the load with, so to speak, as larger towns that have two or three hospitals or three or four hospitals.

So with that in mind, in talking with folks after 1 2 the accident when you take this thing, as John said -- if you take the incident and you put half a mile radius on there and 3 think about the people that were in that area, and I've heard 4 5 different numbers, but it's a lot of people, a lot more than the 154 we decontaminated. So the magnitude of the thing is 6 7 quite large when you start looking at the radius of what the potential was. 8

9 You've already heard the numbers, how many people 10 we decontaminated at the hospital. Looking at the numbers 11 and looking -- it's already been made about the -- what would 12 you call it -- the intensity or potential lethality of the 13 chemical and how it compared, there's certainly better 14 things, and there's a lot worse things out there. This is 15 somewhere between the extremes in there.

We -- there were a lot of individuals, and the 16 17 community was very lucky, I think, compare to what it could 18 have been or to a terrorist activity or that type thing, of 19 lethality of the chemical -- not that it was good. And if 20 you got affected, it was 100 percent, but looking at the 21 total number of people, we were extremely lucky. We were 2.2 lucky in that a lot of our fire, police, EMS and emergency 23 department personnel were not more seriously injured. Ι think we're all thankful for that. This could have been an 24 25 incident similar to our emergency responders on something

like what happened at the World Trade Center, but not an
 explosion thing. The mechanism was not the same but the
 outcome could have been the same.

A lot of the things that I think we did well -- and 4 5 this is a hospital, and the fire department EMS was -security was able to lock the hospital down. we were able to 6 manage access to the hospital. We had good inside-hospital 7 communications. We have the telephone system -- if it goes 8 down, we've got radios and that was available and working. 9 10 We did get early notification, as John already mentioned. 11 And all agencies that you've heard about were involved early. 12 The shelter was established early by the Red Cross for 13 victims and we were very fortunate that it happened at the 14 time of night, that second shift is still there and third 15 shift is coming. The floors sent personnel down to the 16 emergency department, nobody went home, we went into disaster 17 mode type thing and a lot of dedicated employees stayed. Not in number wise but shift wise, it almost doubled what we had 18 19 to keep taking care of the patients that we already had on 20 the floor.

The communication between the emergency department and the fire department, police, Red Cross, I think we good. We had worked on that in prior training sessions and stuff. Food services came in and made refreshments

25 available to patients and to personnel working. You know,

you've got to have your calories to keep going and your
 coffee and caffeine and things.

We were fortunate that third shift stocks the scrubs. I think Chief Collins mentioned that. Third shift stocks the scrubs, they know where every scrub is in the hospital. Second shift doesn't stock it and they were able to do things like that to bring the scrubs for the staff and for patients that needed decontamination.

9 Environmental services responded well. And the 10 switchboard was absolutely inundated with calls but they 11 stepped up their thing to try to handle, you know, people 12 calling about their family members and worried and they were 13 handling the calls just as fast as they could.

14

I think that was some of our strengths.

15 But I guess in looking back, we had EMS out on this 16 respiratory distress and we get a call from the police 17 department that there has been a chemical spill and that they 18 are bringing four or five people in for decontamination. But 19 as the magnitude of what four or five can go to and you have 20 to appreciate that we went from four or five or six to 154, And I guess one of our lessons is don't ever 21 boom. underestimate the magnitude of what's out there. So I'm 2.2 23 thankful our staff was able to rally up.

And it takes a long time to handle this. We had people that stayed for basically two shifts. It's not something that somebody can come in and get hosed off and dried off in a towel and a scrub suit and out the door. They have to be checked and certain things. Depending on physical findings, they may require an x-ray or pulsoximetry oxygen checks and it's not everything that's just a real quickie in and outee kind of thing. You have to evaluate comprehensively.

8 It takes a lot of equipment on the scene. It takes 9 the Type A suits out there. We realize that people are mobile and they get in their cars and they drive to the 10 11 hospital and while they had triage areas and stuff outside, 12 that didn't stop the people from driving around it or taking a different route and coming to the ER. And while they might 13 14 have had facilities out there to take care of that, people 15 drove around it and came straight to the ER and then we had 16 to handle the traffic there at the hospital.

17 We learned a lot of little things that are not life 18 threatening kind of things that can cause some of the most 19 problems. And some of that was like personal belongings 20 going into a plastic bag and then you didn't have any idea who had their belongings in the bag. Fortunately we had some 21 2.2 people that figured out if you call the cell phone number and 23 see which cell phone is ringing in the bag, then we can 24 identify who is there. But that's not a life threatening 25 problem, but it was a problem later on to handle to get

1 belongings back to everybody.

2 We learned that it can be very expensive to handle 3 things of this magnitude when you take that many employees coming in. Besides the scrubs that went out and laundry and 4 5 things that had to be done because the hospital has to run the next day, we've got gall bladders to do and C-sections 6 7 and you have to ramp back up and clean the laundry for those things. So we had to keep running and then at the same time, 8 while we're treating this chemical spill, we have to keep 9 10 treating the heart attacks and different things that keep coming in. I mean we see 120-140 people a day and we get 154 11 12 out of this and the things that we ordinarily treat keep 13 coming in too, so somebody has got to take care of the 14 incident and somebody has got to keep -- see the new people 15 that come in. Fortunately we had physicians that stayed over 16 on their shift, regular ER docs that stayed over. We had 17 some private guys that came in and worked, seeing patients. 18 We had one that stayed for hours and hours, a private doc, and canceled his office the next day and has become very 19 20 interested in this type stuff and gave a CE just last week. So he's kind of helped carrying the ball further. 21

As Chief Collins said, there's not a thing that we've been through that we haven't learned. We've handled -we've gone through the drills and moolas of school buses and getting 20 people in with broken arms and legs, but you just have to think the magnitude of this thing. And it's not just
 the size of a school bus, but the area it encompasses.

I guess that's the main thing we learned -- one of the main things -- is the magnitude, don't ever underestimate what could be out there. Train for it and try to get your equipment for it.

7 We've gotten several government grants in the area. 8 Whitfield EMS has got a new trailer coming, and I'm sorry I can't give you the government grant thing, but it allows us 9 like to give oxygen to 30 people. If we'd had that -- what 10 they described up here so clearly was the chemical irritates 11 12 the mucous membranes and your respiratory tract, nasal 13 passages, eyes, and it would allow us to administer oxygen to 14 30 people out there. A decontamination trailer is available 15 in Gordon County, will be in 20 minute available to us that 16 we didn't have then. So there's a lot of good positive 17 things that are happening, plus what we learned from this in 18 training that we'll be doing in the future. We've already had 19 one like a hazmat, weapons of mass destruction chemical class 20 that's been put on. We had 30 something people that made it to that and another one scheduled. You know, you train, 21 2.2 train, train and try to be prepared.

23 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you. Are there any 24 questions?

25

MR. BRESLAND: Just a couple of questions, Dr.

1 Pullen.

Do you recall how you were able to find out the chemical characteristics or the toxic characteristics or the medical characteristics of the chemical that was involved, allyl alcohol? Did you have easy access to determining what the medical treatment would be?

7 DR. PULLEN: We keep MSD sheets in the ER. We have 8 a notebook type thing with that in there. Poison control was 9 called, one of the private docs got on his computer before he 10 came in, in the electronic age, he came in with an armload of 11 printouts on it from home. And then we had people in the ER 12 on the computer working.

I think one thing, we had chemical names that were involved in the reaction but we're not chemists to know what all when they're mixed together, where we were on other things to worry about -- was it just the alcohol, was it just -- you know, whatever. And did it mix together and form something else in there that we didn't know. We knew some of the ingredients that were in.

I don't think our personnel felt like that the time of when we really knew what it was was maybe quite as quick as previously indicated. But that was all it was, that was all the chemical we were worried about.

24 MR. BRESLAND: This may not be a question for you 25 but I'm sure you probably know the answer to it.

The ambulances, the four ambulances or the 1 ambulance service here in the county and the city, is it full 2 3 time or part time or are they volunteers? DR. PULLEN: No, they are full time employees, yes. 4 5 MR. BRESLAND: Are they EMTs or paramedics? DR. PULLEN: Both. 6 7 MR. BRESLAND: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you. Are there any other 8 9 questions? 10 (No response.) CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you so much. I appreciate 11 12 very much, Dr. Pullen, your willingness to speak with us. 13 Thank you. Thank you. 14 DR. PULLEN: 15 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: At this time, we'd like to go to 16 public comment, and you've been very patient. I know the 17 hour is getting late. I remind you that Francisco Altimerano is available if you have a Spanish translation for your 18 19 question you would like to ask. 20 Also, the microphone that was just quickly removed apparently has a short and isn't working and so we're going 21 2.2 to ask you to please use the microphone at the podium if you 23 would, with your public comment. 24 I will -- oh, I would also ask you to please limit 25 your statements to three minutes because we have a number of

1 people who would like to have an opportunity to speak.

And also if you would, keep your comments focused on this incident and not on anything else that might not be relevant to this incident.

I'd like to call Savannah Hill.

5

6 MS. HILL: I'm concerned about the health 7 interests. My husband was -- six months prior to this was 8 healthy, he has cancer now. He was on the porch for about 15 9 minutes. We heard this whoosh and we went to the door, and 10 when we went to the door, it engulfed our house. I'm right 11 behind Franklin Place.

I want to know if it's related. He has never been 12 13 sick, worked -- just retired in June prior to that. This 14 June he has cancer, and terminal, he's in the hospital. He 15 had the breathing, we both did. I'm one of the ones that 16 called to find out what was going on. We were the first to 17 get out because the EMTs was coming, the police was coming as 18 we was leaving. We were there on the porch for 15 minutes, 19 five minutes in the house. The door, as soon as it opened, 20 it engulfed us.

He has tumor cancer, he has the thing that is kind of like fluid buildup, the nose running. He's been sick from that day to now.

And I just want to know -- my concern -- I have found out I have white spots on my lungs, don't know why. I've got a lot of health issues that I would like to be
 answered.

3

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you for your comments.

The Chemical Safety Board is not a medical agency 4 5 and we really are not able to answer the question that you've asked us. What we suggest and we recommend and we do this --6 7 we always hear similar concerns from the public when we have public meetings, about long-term health effects. We would ask 8 you to talk with your local doctor, your healthcare provider 9 10 and also the public health officials about the potential 11 impact of this chemical on you. That is what our 12 recommendations always are.

Shelia Powell. If you would state your name andspell it for us, please.

MS. POWELL: Okay, my name is Shelia Powell, that's
S-h-e-l-i-a, P-o-w-e-l-l.

I too have health concerns and I do understand you can't really address those, but these are just some of the medicines that my family is on now -- inhalers, medications for asthma, these have to be done through a breathing machine -- never had to do them before. I'm still doing them now, my son is doing them as well.

We have addressed this with our physician. We are told we don't know, we've looked it up, we can't tell you if that's what's causing it.

My entire family has had horrible headaches, I 1 actually had a son that has moved to Canada and he wrote a 2 3 letter, I'll read it really quickly: "My name is Chris S. Powell. I was involved 4 5 in the chemical spill in April 2004. Since that time I have suffered from severe recurring 6 7 headaches. I have also had recurring bouts of vertigo. I have always been slightly lactose 8 9 intolerant, but it was controllable by Lactaid. Ιt 10 is no longer controllable and dairy is no longer a 11 part of my diet. 12 "I worry about the long-term effects to my 13 liver and kidneys. Also, as my wife is now 14 pregnant, I worry about any defects to my unborn 15 child. 16 "I was also given Tylenol at the hospital 17 before the poison control center gave the directions not to give any acetaminophen. This is 18 19 a concern to me due to long-term effects also. 20 "Sincerely, Chris Powell." Another thing that really is a big concern of mine, 21 2.2 since we do have so many chemicals in this area, why is there 23 not a signal, an alarm, something. We had to -- now I'm not sure on the time line because I know that my daughter and I 24 25 got out and walked around the house and we were able to -- we

1 thought the house was on fire -- we were able to walk around,
2 then we got in the jeep, we drove up the road, we were able
3 to come back, we still had no response, anybody coming out.
4 So I'm not so sure that those time lines are exactly
5 accurate, because we were quite exposed.

I was actually one of the ones who did spend the 6 7 night in the hospital. The decontamination definitely needs to be addressed. I applaud you guys for what you did, but 8 the decontamination unit consisted of two sheets held by two 9 10 men and another man squirting you down with it felt like a fire hose full of cold water while you were naked in the 11 12 parking lot. That was extremely embarrassing, for one thing. 13 I was the first one decontaminated, so they said -- I was 14 vomiting, I had to have -- but anyway -- by the end, my 15 husband and my son were helping to hold the towels so that 16 other people could be decontaminated. The hospital did a 17 wonderful job, it was just overwhelmed.

And now we're faced with health issues that nobody 18 knows how to address. We're faced with -- there's still no 19 20 sirens, there's no warning system in place whatsoever and there are many chemicals being manufactured on our end of the 21 town. And that is a huge concern for me because I have 2.2 23 children who are at home, I have an unborn grandchild. And 24 it is a concern. I want to know that I will be able to get out safely without having to wait 30-45 minutes -- and I'm 25

1 not saying it's their fault, because this is not -- they
2 responded quickly. But I don't want to wait and take all
3 this in when there could be a siren that could get us out of
4 there.

5 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you very much. I6 appreciate your comments.

Next is Michael Powell.

7

8 MR. POWELL: My name is Michael Powell, I'm9 Shelia's husband.

I know that you don't have the answer to these questions, but it seems as though a lot can come out of this meeting. It was mentioned that the air quality was checked for this particular alcohol. Was it ever checked for the allyl alcohol, for the hydrochloric acid if it was -- we were never notified, you know, how many parts per million was in the air when we were exposed, at the plant or at our homes.

17 It was mentioned that the Level A suits weren't 18 available for the emergency people here in Dalton. I know 19 it's a financial issue, but could businesses not be involved, 20 the people that are producing the chemicals, could they not 21 be involved in helping purchase the equipment for our 22 emergency medical people so that we can be protected?

Are there any warning signals that are in place? It was mentioned about some kind of phone call to affected areas, a siren in place, some kind of notification that when 1 the siren goes off, that you turn to AM 950 and listen for 2 instructions, you turn your TV on to a specific TV station 3 and get a warning about you need to evacuate certain area to 4 a safe place.

5 Was MFG Company aware of -- when they produced this 6 chemical, this new chemical that I think it was said that 7 there was an expired patent on. Were they aware of all the 8 possibilities? Don't prepare for just what might happen with 9 a leaky valve or something of that nature, but be prepared 10 for the extreme, like what happened. They said they were 11 prepared for fire but they weren't prepared for the vapor.

In this preparation, did they sit down with the Dalton Fire Department, with other officials and say this is what we're going to produce, this is when we're going to produce it, we need someone available in case this does happen. Was that done? Apparently it wasn't.

There was a mention that there was a limit of 18 15,000 pounds and there was 31,000 pounds delivered. Who 19 regulates that? Why was 31,000 pounds allowed to be 20 delivered to MFG?

And as I mentioned earlier, we need some funding for chemical equipment, for emergency warning devices so that -- we didn't have any fatalities in this, but we're always producing -- the Dalton area is always producing new chemicals, you know. There needs to be a procedure in place 1 that when something new is being produced, that they sit down 2 and discuss the full range of things that could happen, and 3 not just with themselves, but with the fire department and 4 other emergency people.

Thank you.

5

6

25

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you.

7 If you would like, we can clarify one question that 8 you raised and certainly we are recording your questions and 9 will make sure that those are addressed in our final report.

10But I would ask Mr. Vorderbrueggen to address your11question with regard to the 15,000 pound limit.

12 MR. VORDERBRUEGGEN: Yeah, just a clarification. 13 The 15,000 pound number is the minimum quantity that kicks 14 the regulation in, the R&P regulation. Because they received 15 more than 15,000, that meant they had to implement that 16 regulatory requirement. They could have brought in 100,000 17 pounds, there's no upper limit, that's just the trigger point 18 for taking more steps. And we do not have any evidence that 19 they did.

20 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Catherine Touksen -- Jackson,
21 I'm sorry.

MS. JACKSON: I'm Catherine Jackson. I live in the
Wood Park Estates area plus I work at the Favorite Market
that is on Brickyard and Abutment Road.

And I think that 9:30 time is not correct. My

other employee, she had to leave, but the policeman -- let me first say that the first time that we knew something was going on, a lady from another carpet mill came in extremely red, crying and she used our phone at the Favorite Market, and called the police station, that's where she called.

6 Then maybe 15 minutes later, I know four police 7 officers came into our store, vomiting and just pitiful, we 8 had to give them towels and everything, and they told us they 9 did not know what was going on. They just said it was some 10 kind of chemical spill and they were pitiful, I really felt 11 sorry for them.

12 They started blocking off the road right there at 13 Brickyard where our store is and they told us to come out of 14 the store and shut off our gas pumps, and we did so.

At that point, everything was frantic. There were several police officers going on and then we started the smell, the smell started at that time. Now that was about 9:15. If anybody was in that area, that's when it started happening, about 9:15, when the smell started coming toward the store.

At that point -- my concern -- a big concern -- is notifying the residents. Me being a resident and also being an employee right there at that store, I mean my son was stuck at the house and I was not allowed to go in and get him.
But now I want to applaud the policemen and the 1 2 firemen, I want to do that right now. Because if it wasn't 3 for the firemen -- and my son has severe asthma -- and I had 4 to tell the policeman, you know, my son was stuck at the 5 house because they would not allow me to leave the store and go and get him. So the fireman -- which they had a big job 6 7 to do because there were so many people stuck in the Sycamore and Franklin Apartments and Wood Park area, and they had to 8 go in there and get him and they told me they were glad that 9 10 I told them that he did have asthma, so they immediately put 11 him on oxygen.

But the smell was unbearable and there are so many people that you couldn't get out and they wouldn't let people go into get them, which I understand, but my concern is we were not notified. There needs to be -- and I know that's been said by the Powells, but we need something, a siren or something that says get out or something.

And then we were never told -- I know I wasn't and I know several people, we were never told when we could go back -- we were never told when we could go back.

And my other question for you all, how were you all notified of what happened? May I ask that question? CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Yes, certainly. The Chemical Safety Board is attached to or gets a computer notification from 6000 different media sources that

1 identifies to us every 15 minutes events just like this one 2 that occur all over the country. And on nights and weekends, 3 actually one of our screeners, our incident screeners, Cheryl McKenzie is here with us this evening -- what they do is they 4 5 have a pager and they also check their computer on a regular basis to identify when we have had incidents. And then those 6 incidents are -- we have a screening process that identifies 7 the severity of the incident and then also we will get 8 together at the CSB and talk about any incident that has 9 10 occurred. And then through the Board as well as through the 11 management structure, we'll make phone calls to the region, 12 we'll often talk to the emergency responders, talk to the 13 company officials to find out the severity of this, because 14 sometimes all the news reports are not necessarily complete.

15 And then we make a decision as to whether or not we 16 will respond to that. And that's what happened in this 17 circumstance. We got information, we looked at it, we talked about this incident, we got some more information and 18 determined that because it was a reactive toxic release and a 19 20 large amount of the community was impacted and there was an 21 emergency response requirement as a result of this release and it was an R&P chemical, that this was one that we wanted 2.2 23 to investigate to see how did this happen, why did this 24 happen and how can we help other companies, as well as MFG 25 prevent this from happening again.

MS. JACKSON: Okay, and my final question is, okay,
 you said that this is just preliminary. When you get your
 final investigation, what happens with your investigation?

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Okay, that's a very good 4 5 question. The report will be written by the staff and it is presented to the Board. It is presented in a public forum 6 7 and the Board members, those of us who are sitting here to night, will actually vote on the report to accept it or not. 8 9 We also vote on the recommendations as to whether or not we 10 feel they're substantiated and are implementable recommendations to be made to affected organizations. 11 And we vote on those recommendations as well. 12

Then the entities to whom recommendations have been made are notified by the Board, and what those recommendations were, and then we track with those organizations the implementation and completion of those recommendations.

The report is finalized and is published on our website and it is available for download. If you don't have a computer, you can call or write us and we will send you a written copy of it. And it is available and distributed to the public. All that we do -- we are a public government agency -- is available to the public.

24 MS. JACKSON: Okay, when you say -- and forgive me, 25 I'm going to be blunt -- when you say you recommend --

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Yes.

1

2.2

2 MS. JACKSON: But do the entities have to take your 3 recommendations?

4 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Our recommendations are non-5 binding, but we have a very good record of having our 6 recommendations implemented. As a matter of fact, in most 7 instances, the companies who have these events have 8 implemented the recommendations long before they've even come 9 out in a final form.

10 Often too, public entities such as emergency 11 response organizations -- in many instances, they've already 12 reacted to and identified in their own reporting and their 13 own debriefing things that they need to do to correct.

14 In some instances, these recommendations are for 15 public policy change and those sometimes take a little 16 longer. But we have a very good record for recommending and 17 then getting those recommendations implemented. It's part of what the Board does, is to work with companies, work with 18 19 public entities, work with trade associations to get those 20 recommendations implemented to try to prevent these kinds of things from happening again. 21

MS. JACKSON: Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: You're welcome.
24 Linda White, is she here? Thank you.
25 MS. WHITE: Thank you very much for having this

forum. My name is Linda White and I live in the Lakeland
 Park Estates, which is next door to Woodland Park with
 Franklin Apartments in between.

Probably had it not been for our neighbor across 4 5 the street, my mother and I would still be in the house, because we heard the bullhorn, we never understood what was 6 7 being said by the police department. We're not faulting the police department, but we didn't understand what was being 8 said. Cater-corner across the street from us, they never 9 10 left their home. And as I understand, there were several who did not get evacuated. 11

12 One of the questions I have is who -- is there an 13 authority who approved MFG to manufacture this -- whatever 14 the chemical was in such a tightly populated residential 15 area? That would be one question.

I myself work in the school system. You talk about the triage area and those things, we had no idea. When we got in my vehicle, we were told get out. We circled around several times just to ask a police officer, where are we going, what are we supposed to be doing, what's wrong. And what we were told was I don't have time to talk, get out. I don't have time to talk, get out.

I understand that they were stressed, but when you are the resident, you're highly stressed. Where am I going? Where am I being evacuated to? So that's one of the things.

Getting back to the school system, I was not 1 decontaminated. My mother and I stayed in a hotel, we were 2 not decontaminated. Did we carry the contamination with us 3 to the hotel? When we did return to our home the next 4 5 morning and dressed to go to work, does that mean that we were still contaminated and I carried that contamination to 6 the school where I work? That's a very serious issue for me, 7 working in the school system. 8

9 What is MFG's responsibility to the residents for 10 their costs? I myself am a cancer survivor, my doctors to 11 this day, although they are monitoring me, do not know what 12 the long-term effects are going to be for me. Yes, you say 13 it's not a carcinogen, but what effect is that going to be on 14 myself and others?

Then my other question is what is the responsibility, city and county, to their residents who are paying taxes. We never heard in the paper or over the television, these are the results that we found out, this is what we should have done. I mean it was like it just disappeared off the face of the earth after a couple of days after the evacuation.

22 We feel that this was an egregious situation for 23 all of us.

24 Thank you very much.

25 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you very much for your

1 comments.

2

America Gruner.

MS. GRUNER: Hi, my name is America Gruner and I work for a program called Community Health Worker, and we were distributing flyers to the area that was affected last week and this week.

I'm going to try to explain myself. I think the 7 emergency responders are very coordinated, they're working 8 very well, but I think also all this information and the 9 10 comments of the residents are bringing to the surface that we 11 need to make some changes and to take this as an opportunity 12 to improve some services because the city of Dalton and 13 Whitfield County, we are facing many new challenges that we 14 didn't have before. Our area is changing rapidly because we 15 have new industries coming and we have many Hispanics coming 16 -- and I'm going to talk about that.

When we were knocking at the door, three out of four houses were Hispanic and according to what they're saying, they didn't know what was happening. When they were calling 911, nobody spoke Spanish. When the police were telling them what to do, they didn't understand.

Also, there is some information that some residents were trying to get into their homes and they couldn't. And those who were inside, they didn't know what was happening either.

One of the residents just left because she didn't understand what you were talking about because everything was in English. I know that it's not that easy to hire personnel, but I think we need to recognize that the population is growing and I know it's not a very popular idea. But I think we need to do it.

7 Also, I think we need like LEPCs, whatever it is, 8 or an equivalent to have someone or some agency or committee 9 or something to be accountable for these kind of incidents 10 and also if possible to make a list of chemicals that are 11 used in the industries and know exactly what they are and how 12 to respond if something happens.

Also, all these changes and all the suggestions and recommendations, who is going to implement them? Also, this is telling us that we need to plan what to do in cases like this and I think Dr. Pullen is right saying that this was very expensive, but if we have plans for the future, then we're going to save also in these kinds of events.

So I think we need more coordination with the industries and the emergency responders to know exactly what chemicals they are using and how to act on that.

22 That's all.

23 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you very much.24 Norberto Reyes.

Zi Norberto Reyes.

25 MR. REYES: First I would like to thank the Board

1 for conducting this meeting.

I live in the area for over 20 years and I've seen how well and how good of a job the city and county does, especially police and fire and hospital and the 911.

5 Hearing the incident, a lot of things goes I guess 6 through everybody's minds, especially the families in the 7 area, the evacuation, how are the people going to return to 8 their homes and all the things that the people talked about 9 here tonight.

And I think it's an eye opener, what happened on the incident, that is telling us how we can do better planning, how we can be better prepared for the future. And that takes not only the authorities, it takes us as people that live in this area.

Also with the high number of Latinos that live in this area, we need to hire someone bilingual in 911, at the hospital and the police department. And I know that they do a good job, but we need to start looking at that area because we have families here and we live here and this is our town and we need to be better prepared.

And that's my comment tonight. CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you very much. Guillermo Aroche. And if I didn't pronounce that right, would you correct us? MR. AROCHE: Use William, my name is Willie Aroche. I recently moved here about a year and a half ago from New
 York. I come from a big city and anything that has to do
 with the public is usually translated into more than one
 language.

5 I see a problem here -- first of all, I want to commend the emergency services, they did a great job, but I 6 7 see a problem that we don't communicate with them. As you can see in the room here, there's hardly any Spanish people, 8 and the ones that came, they feel like what am I doing here, 9 10 nobody is translating for us. We have translators here, I 11 could have translated, Mr. Reyes -- that's usually what 12 happens, we have to translate to a group, but it doesn't make 13 them feel comfortable. So a suggestion is that maybe in the 14 future if we do implement that call-back number, we can do it 15 in Spanish, because if you think about it, half the 16 population here is Mexican, Latinos. So we have to cater to 17 them whether we like it or not.

Other than that, everything was handled the best we could and we commend you guys on that. And if there's anything we can do to help, just let us know. We'll be happy to reach out to the Spanish community and hopefully at the next meeting we'll have half of this room filled with Spanish people. Half the people that suffered that incident were Spanish, but they're not here.

Thank you.

25

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you very much.

Is there anyone else who hasn't signed, who would like to speak?

1

Yes, ma'am. Come to this microphone, thank you. And it's only so that we can hear you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you. I'm sorry for not7 signing in, I didn't know.

8 My name is Alishia Martinez and I have lived in Dalton all my life and this was the scariest thing that I 9 10 have ever lived through. I'm a mother of four and my husband wasn't home and a police officer bangs on the door, tells me 11 12 to evacuate. He said go, he didn't say go where, just go. 13 And I understand it was crazy. You know, he didn't have any 14 breathing gear on, he was gasping for air. He told me to 15 gather my kids up, not to open my door until the minute I was 16 ready to leave.

The minute we opened our door, me and my four kids, started gasping for air, our eyes were watering. I just wish that the police officer could have said four words -- there's a chemical spill in the MFG plant -- because I thought our city was under attack, I thought it was a terrorist attack. I had four kids crying, what's wrong, mommy, what's happening.

24 We drove off, we're gasping for air in my van. 25 Kids wanted to roll windows down and I'm yelling no. You 1 know, we didn't know what to do. I'm thinking, how far do I 2 go, you know, what's safe. What if I drive and this is all 3 over -- you know, I thought the whole city was under attack, 4 I just didn't know where to go.

5 As I was leaving, I said a prayer for the officers because, you know, like I said, I commend them, they were 6 brave, knocking door to door, gasping for air. I just wish 7 that they could have said it was a chemical spill and it was 8 a plant and that way, you know, for those 10-15 minutes, 9 10 whatever it was, when you think your child is in danger or 11 when you think your child may die -- it's horrible and I 12 think if they had told me it was a chemical spill, I would 13 have know, just drive to the other side of town and you'll be 14 okay. 15 That's all I wanted to say. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you for your comments. 17 Is there anybody else? Yes, sir? MR. DIAL: My name is Mike Dial, I live on Leslie 18 19 Drive. 20 I just wondered, is there any members of the Dalton Mayor or City Council here? 21 2.2 (No response.) 23 MR. DIAL: Why? Maybe we all need to attend the 24 next meeting. Thank you.

iene meeering. maint you.

25

CHAIRMAN MERRITT: Thank you.

(Applause.)

1

2 CHAIRMAN MERRITT: If there's no other comments at 3 this point, I would just like to thank everyone for coming, 4 thank the emergency responders for coming and for Dr. Pullen 5 and for Chief Collins for coming.

6 It's a very important event that we hear from you.
7 We will go back and complete our investigation and work on
8 our recommendations.

9 What keeps coming to mind is an ounce of prevention 10 is worth a pound of cure. I think there are many lessons to 11 learn here and, you know, we get 800 notifications of events 12 of chemical releases a year at the CSB, and we choose which 13 ones we think are important learning events to come and 14 investigate so that we might, first of all, help prevent this 15 from happening again and to get a lesson and a message out to 16 other companies and other communities that they might learn 17 before they have to go through an event like this, because 18 everybody is impacted by this. The company that had this 19 event, the community, the emergency responders, the public 20 officials -- everybody is impacted by it.

The best we can do is to learn from it and to not waste this opportunity and have it happen again when we are not prepared. And so that's where we hope we can take this in the future with our recommendations, is to pinpoint those recommendations that can help to prevent this from happening again here, but also to help it -- prevent it from happening
 anywhere else.

We thank you very much. Please go on line, you're 3 welcome to look at our information at www.csb.gov. And 4 5 you'll see all about the agency and the other work that we б have done in other communities and we look forward, we'd like 7 to also thank and say that MFG has been very cooperative with us in this investigation and that's very important, and you 8 9 know, as have been the emergency responders and the police 10 and everybody that we've worked with. And we'd like to thank 11 everybody for that.

With that, I'd like to conclude this meeting and
thank you very much. Drive safely going home. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:22)

p.m.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24