U.S. CHEMICAL SAFETY BOARD
+ + + + +
NDK CRYSTAL

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2013

+ + + + +

U.S. CHEMICAL SAFETY BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
RAFAEL MOURE-ERASO, Ph.D., Chairperson,
U.S. Chemical Safety Board
MARK GRIFFON, Member, U.S. Chemical Safety
Board
BETH J. ROSENBERG Sc.D. M.P.H. Member

BETH J. ROSENBERG, Sc.D., M.P.H., Member, U.S. Chemical Safety Board

STAFF PRESENT:

DANIEL M. HOROWITZ, Ph.D., Managing Director RICHARD C. LOEB, General Counsel HILLARY COHEN, Communications Manager

AMY McCORMICK, Contracting Officer
SANDY GILMOUR, Communications Staff
JOHNNIE A. BANKS, CFEI, Team Lead,
Washington, DC, Office of Investigations
LUCY SCIALLO-TYLER, Investigator

This transcript produced from audio provided by the U.S. Chemical Safety Board.

The CBS is an independent non-

22

regulatory federal agency that investigates
major chemical accidents at fixed facilities.
The investigations examine all aspects of
chemical accidents including physical causes
related to equipment failures, and most
importantly, the root cause of the system
failures that allowed these accidents to
occur.

The CSB also makes recommendations on the adequacy of current health and safety and environmental regulations, industrial standards, and safety management systems.

Ultimately, we issue safety recommendations which are designed to prevent similar accidents in the future.

The purpose of this public meeting today is for the CSB team to present to the board their final report into the investigation or one of our own ***6:54:15 investigations of the December 7, 2009, and that refers to the pressure vessel rupture at NDK Crystal Industries located in Belvidere,

Illinois, and for the board to vote on the final report and recommendations today.

At this time, please allow me to, since we're a safety agency, to go over the mornings - I'm sorry, to go to the morning's agenda. You probably at the entrance saw a copy of the agenda. It looks like this.

So, first, we're going to hear the opening statements from the chairperson, myself, and from the board members. Then, second, we are going to hear the report, the formal report of the investigation team. That includes the video that we produced on this accident.

Then, we are going to - following the team presentation, we will be - the board will be given an opportunity to ask questions on technical specifics or anything to the board that has presented the report, that the team has presented the report to the board.

Thereafter, we will have a public comment period of matters related to the NDK

investigations, and also, the board will vote on the report and recommendations from the - the recommendations of the staff of NDK. That will be the last part of the report - the last part of the agenda.

This is where, I was saying before, this is where a safety organization, we have to point to useful safety information about this room. Let me indicate to you what are the exits that we have of the room if there were going - something to happen here, there, this is the exit in the back, and there is an emergency exit back here if somebody need it - or two exits, yes. We get very close to this second one here, okay?

I also would like to ask you to please mute your cell phones so that we are - the proceedings are not disturbed. And I'm going to proceed with my opening statement.

On December 7, 2009, a 50-foot tall pressure vessel violently ruptured at the NDK Crystal plant in Belvidere, Illinois. The

1 violent rupture generated many projectiles.

One such projectile, a seven-foot, 100 pound steel beam, traveled 650 feet in the air, striking and killing Ronald Greenfield, a member of the public who was a truck driver at the nearby Tollway Oasis.

At this time, we want to give thought to the fact that an innocent member of the public, going about his business and taking a break at the Oasis gas station on the tollway was killed. I am invited you to observe a moment of silence in honor of his memory.

[MOMENT OF SILENCE]

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank

you. Let us remember that people's safety is

paramount. That is why we, at the CSB, do

what we do.

Under the federal Clean Air Act amendments, which established the Chemical Safety Board, we are required to investigate any chemical accident that causes the death of

a member of the public. The final draft report that we will hear today is the result of a multi-year effort to conduct that investigation.

Today, the CSB investigators will describe all the factors that led to the catastrophic incident, as well as the proposed recommendations for ensuring greater safety in the future. I thank them for their efforts.

This is a small team, and this has been one of many challenging projects that we have been juggling, including, most recently, the explosion of the West Fertilizer in Texas, in West, Texas. That has some similar issues that this NDK investigation.

Here are some of the most important findings of this investigation at NDK: first, you are going to find through the report of the staff that there were specific warnings that were made to the company by its insurer admonishing the company of the danger to the public from a pressure vessel rupture.

You also will find that internal corrosion inspections that were recommended were never performed on the vessel that ruptured, or any of the other vessels, and that the pressure vessels did not meet code requirements that were granted an exemption to function.

But at every level, the risk of a catastrophic vessel failure was overlooked, and public safety literally and figuratively fell through the cracks.

As I drove from the airport yesterday to attend this meeting, I took careful note of the remnants of the NDK facility that is visible for everybody that goes on Route 90 east or west.

I was very much struck by NDK's proximity to the nearby Belvidere Oasis, a rest stop that is frequented by trucks and all kinds of travelers at every day - at every hours of the day and night.

This public meeting is our chance

to understand what happened on the day of this fatal accident, and how to prevent similar events from occurring in the future.

If anyone in the audience wishes to comment publicly after the investigation's presentations, I will ask you to please sign up on the yellow sheet in the check-in area, and I will call your name at the appropriate time. As a matter of fact, the managing director, Dr. Daniel Horowitz, will facilitate the discussion, the public discussion.

I will first call the people - he will first call the people that have signed up, and open the floor to anyone that wishes to speak on the issues related to this investigation. Please note that we will have to limit public comments to three to five minutes each.

And I will like to continue by recognizing my fellow board members if they have an opening statements, so I will ask Dr. Rosenberg if she has an opening statement?

MEMBER ROSENBERG: Thank you. Let me begin by expressing my condolences to the family and friends of Ronald Greenfield. My heart goes out to them and to the employees and owners of NDK who survived this traumatic event.

We, at the CSB, aim to learn from industrial accidents. While the process used at NDK is unique in the U.S., there are recurring themes that this event highlights that are far from unique. Sadly, they are all too common.

First, the practice of citing hazardous facilities near people is a problem. Whether the hazardous facility was intentionally cited near a populated area or a town grew up around it, as in the fertilizer plant in West, Texas, these unsafe facilities should be away from places like homes, school, or in this case, a rest stop on a tollway.

I believe we investigated at least eight incidents where zoning is an issue. We

need to use zoning laws to protect people from hazardous facilities. Smart zoning would have saved this truck driver's life. But zoning doesn't protect the people who work inside the hazardous facilities, which brings me to the second recurring theme, unheeded warnings.

There is often an indication that something is wrong before the big explosion happens, but warnings are ignored. In this case, our excellent investigators found that in 2007, a consultant to the insurance company said that the vessels were unsafe, and the insurance company disavowed covering any damage or injuries resulting from operating these vessels.

Who decided to ignore this warning and gamble with peoples' lives? What incentives or pressures was he under, or she under, to choose to ignore this warning? And further, should you be allowed to operate a facility that an insurance company has deemed too unsafe to cover?

I look forward to hearing the details of the investigation, but I wanted to bring up these issues of citing warnings and using insurance companies as financially interested inspectors, because I think they provide intervention points or levers to prevent such tragedies from occurring. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
you, Member Rosenberg. Now, I'll ask Mr. Mark
Griffon is he has any statement?

MEMBER GRIFFON: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I would also like to offer my

condolences to the family and friends of Mr.

Greenfield. The type of incident that

occurred at NDK Crystal, Inc., is one of the

primary reasons that the Chemical Safety Board

was established. Accidents at high hazard

facilities or processes such as the one at NDK

not only pose a risk to workers, but also the

environment and the community.

This case tragically resulted in

the death of one individual. This incident also resulted in other injuries and extensive damage to nearby businesses where some 40 employees were working. This raises very serious public safety questions.

This is certainly not the first time the CSB has investigated incidents that had off-site consequences. In November of 2006, a powerful explosion at the CAI/Arnel facility in Danvers, Massachusetts, resulted in the damage of scores of homes and businesses.

In October of 2006, there was an incident in the EQ Hazardous Waste Plant in Apex, North Carolina, where an explosion and fire resulted in the evacuation of approximately 16,000 residents. In November of 2009, an explosion at Silver Eagle Refinery in Woods Cross, Utah, damaged nearby homes.

More recently, in March 2011, an incident at Carbide Industries in Louisville, Kentucky, resulted in a confusing order to the

local community to shelter in place. In

August 2012, a fire at the Chevron Refinery in

Richmond, California, resulted in

approximately 15,000 people going to local

hospitals.

And finally, as the Chairman mentioned, in April of this year, a huge explosion at the West Fertilizer Facility in West, Texas, killed 15 people, injured hundreds of people, and damaged homes and schools in the nearby community.

All these incidents have one thing in common, they don't stop at the fence line. These incidents impact and involve the entire community. The fix for these types of incidents is not simply a technical fix, better pressure vessels, stronger piping, but rather it's a systems question. What systems are in place to assure there are minimal risks to the communities near these high hazard facilities?

Safe facility citing is the most

important issue, in my opinion, in this NDK case study, but it's certainly not an issue unique to the NDK incident. The West Fertilizer incident made the issue clear to the nation.

I fully expect to see the issue of safe citing studied more comprehensively in the West Fertilizer investigation that are our agency is currently working on.

Finally, I want to say that I'm very glad that we're having a public meeting to discuss the incident of the NDK Crystal site. The work the investigative team put into the report is tremendous, and it's very important that we share our findings and recommendations with the public in a public meeting such as this.

Having said that, I must note that the report comes to us, the board, almost four years after the incident occurred. This is way too long. This lengthy time to complete a case study, which certainly is not the fault

of the investigation team, points to a failure in planning and needs to be addressed.

I've asked numerous times for a comprehensive work plan dealing with the backlog of our investigations, and for public meetings to discuss the status of open investigations, but to no avail.

The board members and stakeholders need to be updated on the status of investigations, the direction of investigations, the priorities, the planned products, and the timelines for completion.

We, as the board, are accountable to the public, and we need to do better and have more timely reports. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
you, Mr. Griffon. At this time, I would like
to introduce Johnnie Banks and Lucy Tyler, the
CSB investigative team that produced this
report. Ms. Tyler was not able to travel
today being with us, and she's joining us
through video in the screen that you can see.

Mr. Banks, Mr. Johnnie Banks, is the investigations team lead. His team is located in Washington, D.C., and he led the investigation of NDK Crystal. Mr. Banks has worked for 22 years at the Chevron Texaco Corporation Refinery in Richmond, California, prior to joining the CSB.

Mr. Banks is a graduate of the University of California Berkeley, and is a certified fire and explosion investigator.

Ms. Tyler is a licensed, certified safety professional, and has participated in several CSB investigations, and supported the development of significant recommendations for combustible dust regulations. She holds a Bachelor's of Science degree in industrial health and safety from the Pennsylvania State University.

I would like then to ask Mr.

Johnnie Banks to take over the microphone and continue the meeting.

MR. BANKS: Thank you. Chairman

Moure-Eraso, Board Member Rosenberg, Board

Member Griffon, Mr. Loeb, ladies and

gentlemen, good morning. We're prepared to

present the findings from the investigation of

a fatal pressure vessel rupture that occurred

at the NDK Crystal facility in Belvidere,

Illinois.

This incident occurred on December 7, 2009, and resulted in one public fatality, and there was also one public injury, and significant property damage to NDK and a neighboring company.

Before I start, I'd like to introduce the team. I'm Johnnie Banks, and I am the investigator in charge of this investigation. And joining me from Washington, D.C. is Lucy Tyler, who was not able to travel with us to Belvidere today, but played a vital part in the development and bringing of this report to a conclusion.

I'd like to also go over the agenda for the presentation. The agenda for

today's meeting will include a presentation by the investigation team, which will include an animation of the incident, and a summary of the team's key findings.

This will be followed by a reading of proposed recommendations. The board will then have an opportunity to ask questions of the investigation team, and we will then hold a public comment period. Finally, the board will vote on the investigation team's report, and draft recommendations.

The CSB deployed to the NDK

facility two days after the incident. The

investigation team interviewed witnesses,

collected company documentation, and

participated in metallurgical examinations and

testing of failed vessel fragment.

As a result of the investigation, the CSB identified several issues that contributed to the incident at various stages of the design and operation of the vessels, as well as deficiencies in vessel oversight and

1 inspections.

I'll now summarize the key issues identified. First, there was improper material selection, and excessive wall thickness contributed to the vessel failure mechanism, stress corrosion cracking. In addition, the lack of a regular vessel inspection program by the company and state fire marshal allowed the conditions resulting in the vessel rupture to go undetected.

Finally, investigation findings

from a previous incident involving vessel lids

did not prompt the company to take proper

actions to ensure vessels were safe for

operation. These key issues will be discussed

in further detail in today's presentation.

The company overview, Nihon Dempa
Kogyo, known as NDK, was founded in Japan in
1948. The company produces synthetic crystal
quartz used for oscillators and ultrasonic
transducers typically found in cell phones and
other electronic devices. Over time, NDK

expanded its production and marketing
globally.

The Belvidere facility is the only NDK Crystal production facility in the United States. The plant was built in 2002, adjacent to Interstate 90, about 75 miles northwest of Chicago. The NDK Crystal facility shares a property with NDK America, the sales and marketing portion of the company, and is located in a light industrially zoned area.

Here is a photo of the NDK

facility two days after the explosion. This

was taken across the interstate. The fivestory plant housed eight pressure vessels.

Nearly all of the building panels on the
exterior walls were blown out as a result of
the explosion.

Here we have an overhead photo of the NDK facility and the surrounding area, with points of interest labeled, including Interstate 90, the neighboring company, and the I-90 toll rest stop where the fatal injury 1 occurred.

At this time, I will turn the proceeding over to Ms. Tyler, who will start with the process discussed of the crystal growing process at NDK. Ms. Tyler?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Good morning.

NDK operated eight pressure vessels, called autoclaves, to synthesize the quartz crystals. The purpose of the crystals - the purpose of the vessels, I'm sorry, was to simulate natural geologic crystal growth through high pressures and temperatures. The vessels were forged from a solid bar of alloy steel and heat treated. There is one point of entry at the top of the vessels.

Here is a cross-section drawing of the NDK crystal growing vessels. The vessels stood 50 feet tall, with an inside diameter of just over two feet. They weighed 140,000 pounds.

The walls of the vessels were eight inches thick in the center cylindrical

sections, and then were much thicker at the top and the base, measuring over 18 inches thick at the top, and 16 inches thick at the bottom.

The process at NDK began with raw mined quartz, or lasca, as depicted in the photo to the right. These baskets were lowered into the bottom of the vessels.

Next, operators added a sodium hydroxide and water solution, and then hung sea crystals in racks at the top of the vessel. The sea crystals were thin, pure quartz, with the desired grain structure, upon which the larger synthetic crystals would grow.

Operators sealed the vessels, and external heaters raised the inside temperature to 700 degrees Fahrenheit. The boiling contents increased the internal pressure to 29,000 pounds per square inch. Over 100 to 150 days, the vessels remained at this temperature and pressure while the synthetic

1 crystals grew on the seed racks.

Here is a photo of the finished product. Mr. Banks will now hold up a sample of the synthetic crystal. Once removed from the process, the formed crystals were inspected at NDK and sent to Japan for further processing.

Now we will move on to a video
that depicts the violent explosion of the
vessel and its impact on the surrounding
community. This animation is an excerpt from
a longer safety video about the NDK
investigation, and reiterates some of the
process information I just presented, in
addition to the incident animation.

The full length video titled,
"Falling Through the Cracks," will be
available on the Chemical Safety Board's
website www.csb.gov after today's public
meeting.

[VIDEO BEGINS]

NARRATOR: NDK Crystal operated a

synthetic quartz crystal manufacturing
facility in an industrial area adjacent to
Interstate 90 in Belvidere, Illinois. NDK
produces large crystals used for a variety of
products, particularly electronic devices.

The facility housed eight massive cylindrical pressure vessels, with eight inch thick steel walls, standing 50 feet tall.

Inside the vessels, raw mined quartz or silica was used with a corrosive sodium hydroxide solution at extremely high pressures and temperatures.

The vessels were kept sealed for up to 150 days to allow the growth of large, single crystals of quartz. The silica and sodium hydroxide react with iron in the walls of the steel vessel forming a layer of sodium iron silicate, or acmite.

The company believed this acmite coating would protect the vessels from the corrosive effects of the chemicals inside.

Over the years, NDK was warned that corrosion

might be compromising the walls of the pressure vessels, yet the company continued to operate these vessels without performing recommended inspections.

On December 7, 2009, pressure vessel number two was 120 days into a routine 150-day crystal growing cycle when suddenly, at about 2:30 p.m., it violently ruptured.

Large pieces of structural steel were thrown from the building.

One piece was blown 650 feet toward a gas station on the Illinois tollway. Tragically, the building fragment struck and killed a driver who was walking back to his truck.

A large piece of the pressure vessel tore through an exterior wall of the NDK facility, skipped across a neighboring parking lot, and struck the wall of an adjacent automotive supply company where nearly 70 people were working. One was injured.

1		
L	_	

[VIDEO ENDS]

MR. BANKS: Go ahead, Lucy.

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: To summarize, the incident at NDK resulted in one public fatality, a truck driver who was struck by the flying building fragments, and an injury to an employee working at the neighboring business.

There was also severe property damage to the NDK Crystal production facility, the adjoining NDK office area, the neighboring automotive supply company, and several nearby parked automobiles.

Now I will discuss the findings of the metallurgical testing and examinations that were conducted as part of our investigation. To investigate the immediate cause of the vessel failure, the CSB first had to determine if the failure was due to a process upset during the crystal run or a problem with the pressure vessel itself.

The investigation team reviewed control system data for the vessel two

production run and found no evidence of a temperature or pressure excedent that would have caused the vessel to rupture. Also, the vessel's rupture discs did not activate, which suggests that the vessel suddenly failed during the run, possibly due to a problem with the vessel construction.

As a result, the CSB entered a joint metallurgical testing agreement with other agencies and interested parties to physically examine the failed steel vessel in an attempt to characterize what caused the wall to suddenly give way and result in a violent explosion.

Here is a photo of the 8,600 pound vessel two fragment recovered from the damage at the neighboring facility. It's about eight feet long and four feet wide. This piece was used for all the metallurgical testing conducted as part of the investigation.

If you recall the cross section vessel from the earlier drawing and the video,

this piece came from the bottom portion of the cylinder just near the base where the wall thickness starts to make the transition between eight to 16 inches thick.

The CSB found strong evidence of cracking near the inner diameter of the vessel fragment. These cracks led to large flaws, one of which resulted in the catastrophic failure in December 2009. Stress corrosion cracking, or SCC, was the likely failure mechanism that caused the cracks.

Stress corrosion cracking is caused by the combination of applied stresses such as the high operating pressure inside the vessel, and a corrosion environment. The sodium hydroxide and water solution, generally known to damage some steels, was degrading the vessel wall over time, and likely resulted in the development of the stress corrosion cracks.

Here is a micrograph of a crack found on the fracture surface of the 8,600

pound steel fragment from vessel two. The CSB had independent metallurgical experts from NIST, or the National Institute of Standards and Technology, review the testing data.

According to NIST, the branching of these cracks is a telltale sign of stress corrosion cracking.

A mineral called acmite, or sodium iron silicate, is naturally formed during the crystal growing process, and rapidly coats the inner walls of the vessels. Presence of acmite has been well documented in crystal growing operations since the 1950s.

The purpose of the acmite is to improve product quality by preventing impurities in the vessel walls from contaminating the product quality of the synthetic crystals. The acmite also forms a barrier between the caustic environment and the inner surface of the vessels.

NDK relied on this acmite layer to protect the inner walls from corrosion by the

caustic sodium hydroxide. High strength, low alloy steels, such as the material chosen for the NDK vessels, are inherently susceptible to stress corrosion cracking, especially under these conditions. Metallurgical testing suggests the acmite was not protecting the vessel, resulting in environmental damage to the inner wall.

Testing also revealed that chemical elements such as titanium and aluminum, known impurities found in the lasca, and sulfur and chloride were present on the inner surfaces of the cracks. Therefore, the process fluid was able to penetrate the surface cracks on the vessel.

This indicates the cracks were preexisting, and the acmite layer may have been removed by unintended surface scratching or mechanical abrasions during the loading and unloading of the vessels, allowing the inner surface of the vessels to come in contact with the degrading environment inside.

The 2009 rupture was not the first indication that there were problems with stress corrosion cracking in the vessel. In 2007, another vessel experienced a high pressure leak of hot caustics from the vessel lid operation. No one was injured, but there was significant damage on the 5th floor of the NDK production building.

Metallurgical testing showed evidence of stress corrosion cracking as the mechanism of failure that contributed to the 2007 leak, suggesting the acmite layer was not protecting the inner surface of the vessel lid from the caustic solution.

As a result of the 2007 lid failure investigation, metallurgical consultants and the insurance company recommended that NDK not place the vessels back into service as four of the eight vessel lids showed evidence of cracking.

They recommended thorough inspections of all the vessels based on

recognized industry practices, and warned that a catastrophic failure may be imminent in a letter directed to NDK.

The consulting company warned NDK in their August 2007 letter that a catastrophic rupture could occur as exhibited in the first excerpt from that letter, which I will now quote. "Unfortunately, far more catastrophic scenarios are possible.

If a crack were to grow to a critical size in which the stress intensity of the crack tip exceeded the failure toughness of the high strength steel used in the vessels, a catastrophic rupture could occur."

The letter went on to specifically list the scenario in which a member of a public, or anyone who might happen to be at the Belvidere Oasis, could be at risk for serious injury or death.

"We are bringing this letter to your attention because as professional engineers registered in the state of Illinois,

we have an obligation to protect the welfare of the public.

In this case, the public would include the employees of NDK Crystal

Incorporated, any visitors in or around the NDK facility, and anyone who might happen to be at the Belvidere Oasis on the northwest toll road, if one of these two vessels were to fail catastrophically." A strikingly similar scenario occurred in December of 2009.

The incident in 2007 was the first indication of an issue with the vessels, either the protective capability of the acmite layer was compromised, or another general damage mechanism was occurring in the vessel material.

But despite these warnings, NDK repaired the lids and placed the remaining vessels back into service without identifying the origin of the stress corrosion cracking, or thoroughly examining the impact this was having on the interior of the vessels.

NDK had no regular inspection program for the interior of the vessels, and no certified inspectors ever entered vessel number two throughout its service life.

Documentation revealed NDK was planning on replacing the vessel, but had not done so by the December 2009 incident.

Now I will discuss the regulations and agencies that govern NDK and its pressure vessel operation. The table shown here represents different levels of oversight, federal, state and local, that regulated worker and public safety as it applied to the NDK facility.

OSHA, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, and the EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, provide federal oversight. Both OSHA and EPA conducted inspections of the NDK facility after the December 2009 incident and issued citations.

There were no specific regulatory

standards or programs that regulate the process at NDK such as the OSHA process safety management program or the EPA risk management program. OSHA cited NDK mostly for general industry safety violations.

Within the state of Illinois, the Office of the State Fire Marshal boiler and pressure vessel safety division regulates all the pressure vessels in the state. The vessels at NDK were subject to the requirements of the division.

And at the local level, the City of Belvidere Building and Zoning Department established zoning and permitting for the construction and operation of the NDK Crystal facility in 2001 and 2002.

Within the state of Illinois, the Division of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety, which is part of the Office of the State Fire Marshal, regulates the construction, installation, inspection, operation, and repair of all boiler and pressure vessels in

1 the state.

The Illinois Pressure Vessel
Safety Act establishes the rules for the
division, and adopts the requirements set
forth in the American Society of Mechanical
Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and
the National Board Inspection Code.

Shortly after the first three vessels at NDK were manufactured, the vessel fabricator could not certify that the vessels met the requirements of the ASME code.

Specifically, the material was not meeting the toughness properties required by ASME for that type of material.

NDK petitioned the state of
Illinois for an exemption that would allow the
operation of those vessels without an ASME
code certified stamp. The division
coordinated an independent review of the
vessel design specifications and granted
permission for the operation of the vessels
under the rules of the state Pressure Vessel

1 Safety Act.

In reviewing the design and operation specifications for the NDK vessels as part of the approval process, the division of pressure vessel safety did not identify the NDK vessel contents, specifically the sodium hydroxide solution, as corrosive to the steel materials of construction.

They classified the vessel as noncorrosive. And as a result of this
classification, the vessels were not subject
to internal inspections normally required for
vessels with corrosive contents under the
state Boiler Pressure Vessel Safety Act.

In addition, the vessel

manufacturer recommended annual inspections to

the state as part of the petition process.

However, the state did not conduct internal

inspections, nor did they have a process to

ensure NDK was performing the recommended

internal inspections on the three vessels that

did not meet the ASME code required

1 properties.

When the state did conduct vessel inspections in 2003, 2006 and 2009, they inspected only external and accessible surfaces of the vessels.

Now I'll move on to the city of
Belvidere. The land NDK occupies, originally
undeveloped agricultural land, between
Interstate 90 and Route 20 in Belvidere, was
zoned in 1996 as light industrial, office, and
research space for economic development.

In 2001, NDK petitioned the city of Belvidere, the Planning and Zoning Commission, and the Building Department, for permission to build the NDK facility, and permission was granted. The 1994 Belvidere zoning code was the governing document at the time the facility was zoned, and established what types of industry were permitted in light versus heavy industrial zones.

In reviewing the 1994 Belvidere zoning code, the CSB determined that the type

of production at the NDK facility, that includes the operation of eight heavy pressure vessels at extremely high temperatures and pressures, is more closely aligned with the uses permitted only in heavy industrial zones.

According to the code, heavy industrial zones would be grouped in a zone separate from residential and commercial activities, such as the highly populated Tollway Oasis across the interstate from NDK.

Light industrial uses include
manufacturing and production processes such as
automotive repair shops, lumber yards, office
buildings, and warehouses. This would lessen
the potential to have impact on the
surrounding areas.

Heavy industrial uses listed in the code include the storage and manufacturing of products such as coal, coke, and tar, fertilizers, metals, rubber and paint manufacturing, and oil and petroleum refining.

The city of Belvidere did not

identify NDK as a risk to public safety in 2001, and no off-site consequence analysis was conducted to consider the impact of a vessel rupture on the nearby interstate and tollway rest stop.

Furthermore, the documentation NDK submitted to the city did not include details of the pressure vessel process, which may have led to a more in depth review of the potential risks.

The current issue of industrial zoning and land use planning remains a focal point for current and future CSB investigations, as exhibited by the NDK investigation, and more prominently in the recent West Fertilizer ammonium nitrate storage facility explosion in West, Texas, where 14 people were killed.

There may be an opportunity to improve zoning codes and ensure industrial facilities are zoned properly, and commercial and residential areas are not built up around

industrial facilities that have the potential for significant off-site consequences.

Now we will move on to the industry codes and standards that apply to the pressure vessels used at NDK. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, or ASME, is a professional organization that develops standards for industry.

The boiler and pressure vessel code provides the requirements for the design, fabrication, and inspection of boilers and pressure vessels, and is generally accepted as the code that governs the rules for these components.

The ASME boiler and pressure

vessel code was adopted by the state of

Illinois in 1976. Section 2, Part A, of the

boiler and pressure vessel code includes

material specifications for pressure retaining

components.

It covers the requirements that govern the material selection and construction

of forged and heat treated high strength steel vessels like the ones used at NDK. The code has a recommended wall thickness limitation for blind end vessels, or vessels with one open end, and that limit is seven inches thick.

However, the NDK vessels were designed with an eight inch thick wall in the center portion, and the top and the bottom of the vessels got as thick as 18 inches.

Evidence from the 2007 and 2009 incident metallurgical examinations suggest that the excessive wall thickness at NDK resulted in improper manufacturing, and contributed to the metallurgical damage mechanism that led to those failures.

Now, one would assume that a thicker steel would mean a stronger steel, or a steel that is less susceptible to damage or fracture, but there is an important safety reason that these limitations exist in some applications.

Vessels that are too thick may not achieve the optimal properties during the heating and cooling processes that take place during manufacture, for these limits ensure proper heat treatment for heavy walled vessels, and reduce the susceptibility for the types of damage mechanisms identified in our metallurgical examination.

Section 2 of the boiler and pressure vessel code lacked strict limitations for pressure vessel wall thicknesses for the types of steel used at NDK. The seven inch thickness limit is a typical maximum section size for that type of forged material, and it's not a required property like some of the other requirements that exist for ASME code compliance.

And the code does not include additional guidance for the heat treatment of vessels with larger wall thicknesses or wall thickness variations. However, the ASME has made some changes to the boiler and pressure

vessel code that impact the future construction and operation of vessels similar to NDK's.

In a subsequent issuance of the boiler and pressure vessel code since the NDK incident, the ASME revised Section 8, Division 3, the code for high pressure vessels, to allow the appropriate enforcing authorities, such as the state, to prohibit the use of alloy steel used at NDK with aqueous contents like the sodium hydroxide and water solution, because the material is more susceptible to environment stress corrosion cracking when in contact with those environments.

As a result, another type of alloy steel with properties less susceptible to stress corrosion cracking would have to be used in the future for this type of process.

Now I will list the key findings identified in the CSB investigation of the NDK Crystal incident. Key finding one: stress corrosion cracking likely caused the

catastrophic failure of the high pressure crystal production vessel at NDK Crystal Incorporated, fatally injuring a member of the public 650 feet away at a highway rest stop.

Key finding two: NDK relied upon the in-process formation of an acmite coating inside the production vessel to protect the low alloy, high strength steel from caustic sodium hydroxide use in the process. However, NDK did not verify the integrity or the effectiveness of this coating, and the caustic chemicals promoted stress corrosion cracking that weakened the vessel.

Number three: The board of boiler and pressure vessel safety did not conduct internal inspections of the NDK vessels as required under state regulations for pressure vessels subject to internal corrosion.

Instead, the state says it relied on the company to perform internal inspections, but did not verify whether these were actually occurring.

The state conducted three certificate inspections of the vessel that failed in 2003, 2006, and 2009, less than three months prior to the incident, but these inspections focused only on accessible external surfaces.

Key finding four: In 2007, NDK

learned that stress corrosion cracking was

occurring in four of the eight pressure vessel

lids at the facility. A consultant to NDK's

insurance company warned NDK of serious

reservations about returning the vessels to

service after this discovery, and specifically

cited the possible danger to members of the

public at the nearby rest stop in case of a

catastrophic vessel failure.

Key finding number five: Despite the insurance company warning, NDK did not perform recommended non-destructive examinations of all the vessels prior to returning the vessels to service.

Key finding six: NDK did not

perform annual internal inspections as recommended by the vessel designer when the vessels were initially constructed.

Experiment the manufacturing process.

Key finding seven: Temper

embrittlement, or some other form of heat

treatment embrittlement, cannot be ruled out

as a contributing factor in addition to the

stress corrosion cracking. The vessels

exceeded the ASME wall thickness

recommendations for closed end forging, which

may have resulted in improper heat treatment

during the manufacturing process.

And key finding eight: The ASME boiler and pressure vessel code does not have specific wall thickness limitations for pressure containing components.

And now Mr. Banks will return to read the investigation team's proposed safety recommendations. Thank you.

MR. BANKS: Thank you, Ms. Tyler.

It dawned on me when I was asked to hold up

the finished product that I was behind this

podium, and some of you may not have been able to see it, so this is what it looks like.

This is the finished product.

I'll now give you an overview of the recommendations process. It's our agency's primary tool to improve industrial safety practices and programs, and these recommendations can be issued to not only the entity where the incident occurred, but to federal and state regulatory improvements, industry and company. It addresses industry and company practices, and to trade associations, and standards and outreach.

The recommendations directly address incident findings and causes. It focuses on management systems to improve and prevent recurrence. We have a recommendations department that is tasked with following these recommendations through to closure, and they monitor and issue updates that can be tracked on our website, www.csb.gov/recommendations.

The first of the recommendations for this incident are directed to the American Society of Mechanical Engineers or ASME. It reads, "Revise the ASME boiler and pressure vessel code to include specific material thickness limitations for the design of pressure containing components to ensure heat treatment and avoid environmentally induced damage mechanisms.

Clarify required vessel wall thickness limitations for SA-723 steel in the following code sections: A: ASME, BP, VC, Section 2, Part A, Material Requirements, and B: ASME, BP, VC, Section 8, Division 3, Article MKM-400, Material Design Data."

The next recommendation is directed to the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors, or NBBI, and we urge them to communicate the findings of this case study to pressure vessel inspectors in all 50 states.

At a minimum, send the information

such as an article summarizing the investigation to each member who is a pressure vessel inspector, and to post a direct link to the NDK case study on the National Board's website.

Recommendation number three is to the Office of the Illinois State Fire Marshal Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety Division, and it urges them to prohibit the use of existing pressure vessels at the NDK facility for crystal growing operations.

Also, to the Illinois State Fire
Marshal Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety
Division, we recommended that they develop and
implement state requirements and procedures to
ensure pressure vessel approval process
accurately, identifies vessels that may be
subject to corrosion or similar deterioration
mechanisms, and ensure regular inspections in
accordance with the state requirements.

To NDK Crystal Incorporated, we recommend that they, for the design and

operation of any new NDK Crystal facility
using a hydrothermal or equivalent crystal
growing process, that it ensures that the
facility uses a process that is rigorously that rigorously demonstrates to be inherently
safer than the existing process. For example,
they're using lower temperature and pressures,
and less corrosive conditions.

Also to NDK Crystal, we urge them to implement a program to ensure the ongoing integrity of any coating used on the new process vessels. Employ an expert, for example a coating expert, certified by NACE or the National Association of Corrosion Engineers, to design the program.

Also to NDK Crystal, we urge them to implement an annual inspection and corrective action program to ensure vessels remain resistant to environmentally induced damage mechanisms based on the inspection guidelines set forth in the American Petroleum Institute or API standard 510 pressure vessel

1 inspection code.

And finally, to NDK Crystal, we ask them to provide a copy of this study to the city of Belvidere building and zoning department, and the Illinois Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety Division.

The concludes my portion of the presentation. I'll now turn the proceedings back over to the Chair and the Board. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
you very much, Mr. Banks. Now I'll continue
with the agenda. I'm going to ask the board
members if they have any questions for Ms.
Tyler or Mr. Banks. So, we'll start with
Board Member Griffon.

MEMBER GRIFFON: Sorry, I got to put the mic on. This one probably is more directed to Lucy, but I'll - either one of you. In the findings, you talk about stress corrosion cracking was the likely cause, but in the report you also indicate that temper

1 embrittlement can't be ruled out.

Can you tell me what effect
determining that immediate cause, if any, had
on your ultimate recommendations, and how you
narrowed it down to SCC, stress corrosion
cracking, being the more likely cause?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Sure, though our expert metallurgists concluded that stress corrosion cracking was the likely failure mechanism, they also found evidence of temper embrittlement as a failure mode.

And temper embrittlement, though
it really wasn't explained in the presentation
this morning, there is more detail in our
report, but it's a property that is inherently
present in alloy steels of this type that are
heat treated and quenched as part of the
manufacturing process.

And our metallurgists concluded that temper embrittlement may have been acting in addition to the stress corrosion cracking, and this is based on some of the testing that

they did. And just looking at some of the mechanical properties of the vessel, they only found evidence of it, but weren't able to say that it was the most likely scenario.

I think that the stress corrosion cracking was a little bit more evident in some of the tests that they performed. And another part of that is the fact that the vessel fragment itself, the 8,600 pound piece, was the only piece that they examined.

They didn't look at the thicker regions of the vessel. And the one thing that we learned as part of our investigation is that the manufacturer - I'm sorry, actually I think the vessel fabricator, was having problems with quench cracks during the fabrication of the first - the initial first two vessels, which were eventually discarded.

And that, in conjunction with some of the findings from the vessel lid failure, we concluded that due to the excessive thickness of the vessel, the thicker regions

may not have achieved the appropriate grain structure or the properties during the heating and quenching process, and it may have increased the susceptibility of the vessel to crack under those very stressful, internal stress process conditions.

MEMBER GRIFFON: Thank you. And the second part was assuming you can't rule out the one, how important would that be to determine which one, in terms of your ultimate recommendations? In other words, was it necessary, or would it have likely resulted in similar recommendations that you have here?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: I think that, at least for the recommendations that we made to ASME, we kind of wanted to address both aspects of that. So, they had previously made changes to the code to prohibit the use of that type of steel or those types of environments, so that kind of rules out the whole stress corrosion cracking issue.

But, part of our recommendation to

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Well, I can say that I know that as part of the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety Act, there are some responsibilities for the insurance agencies as far as notification. Would you like me to expand on that?

MEMBER ROSENBERG: I'm just wondering, is there a way we can use either insurance coverage or the permitting process to guarantee more safe operation of these vessels?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Well, what I can tell you is one thing that we learned by, you know, reading the Boiler and Pressure

Vessel Safety Act, at least in the state of

Illinois, that the insurance agencies that insure facilities that have boiler and pressure vessels do have responsibility as to notify the chief inspector if they are involved in an auditor inspection of a

facility and notice a risk, and actually suspend their coverage of the risk.

So, that's one way that I know based on, you know, the state rules, that the inspector would be involved with the permitting, if you will, of the pressure vessels.

MEMBER ROSENBERG: Okay, thank you.

add one and then I'll come back you, Mark.

Who knew in NDK about the warning from the insurance company, and when were they informed of the warning? And also, why do you think, and I'm asking either of you, the company ignored these warnings of the insurance company?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Okay, I can answer that, Johnnie, but feel free to weigh in whenever. Based on the documentation the CSB reviewed, only NDK, and the insurer, and the consultants that did the work and issued

the initial letter, knew of the warning at that time.

And to answer the second part of your question, why did they ignore the warnings from the company? Well, from what we learned, that NDK did not feel that the failure scenario listed in the consultant's letter would produce the same outcome as stated in the warning.

NDK was planning to purchase new vessels. However, they had not done so by the time of the fatal accident. But we also learned that this was not the only recommendation from the NDK insurance company that NDK received and remained unfulfilled at the time of the incident.

It was noted by the insurance company that their safety program was somewhat informal, lacking formalized job training, standard operating procedures, and an injury and incident notification and investigation program.

So, this is kind of part of a trend there, you know, a consistent trend in their performance with, you know, their approach to internal safety.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank

you. I have another question. The - was the

zoning as, you know, the classification of the

NDK production as a light industry, have an

impact on the accident that happened? I mean,

if they would have been classified as a heavy

industry, do you think that would have an

effect on the zoning and this could have been

prevented?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: It's kind of hard to say just because the way in which the vessel exploded and the distance that the fragment traveled was such a random event.

But, I think that the facility may have been located in a different place because the heavy industrial zoning portion is in another area. It could have been located farther away from any sort of commercial activity.

1	I'm not quite sure if it would
2	have been further away from the interstate.
3	But it was noted that, you know, when we
4	looked at the initial zoning and permitting
5	for that facility, that it was put into light
6	industrial, and we didn't feel that the type
7	of process there really classified as light
8	industrial. It was more heavy industrial.
9	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank
10	you.
11	MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: And was there
12	a second part of your question?
13	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: No, I
14	mean, it was right. I think you answered it.
15	(Laughter)
16	MEMBER GRIFFON: Lucy, I'll give
17	you the second part of the question. Did the
18	team consider making recommendations for
19	changing that code, in other words, how they
20	classified light or heavy or -
21	MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Yeah, we
22	looked at the 1994 Belvidere zoning code, and

of course the code has changed considerably over the years. And the current version of the code does have more strict requirements for heavy and light industrial zones. There's performance standards for heavy industrial zones.

So, there's definitely a little bit more oversight with regard to public safety listed in the code now versus 1994. However, I'm not quite sure, you know, if someone similar to NDK were to come to construct and operate a facility, you know, how the city of Belvidere would approach that zoning. But I will say this, the code has changed somewhat.

MEMBER GRIFFON: Okay, and I have a - going in a slightly different direction with this question. But, did - in the course of the investigation, did you guys - do you have any number - I'm just curious, like the numbers of these types of pressure vessels, and I don't even know how they're necessarily

1 classified.

But pressure vessels that operate at these high pressures in Illinois or in the United States, I mean, do we have any sense of the breadth of this hazard?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: I can say that as far as, you know, the number of heavy pressure vessels like, you know, the temperature or the pressure that NDK was operating at, I don't have a number on that.

MEMBER GRIFFON: Okay, all right.

And the only other thing was I know -

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: I mean, I can also add that, you know, that we do know that there's another process similar to NDK that does operate in the country, but their process and the pressures that they use, though they're pretty high, are not quite as high as NDK's.

MEMBER GRIFFON: All right. And then just my last question, I know, it was mentioned, and I realize that this operation

isn't covered under process safety management regulations, but did the team look at whether these type of pressure vessels should be included in a process safety management type of regulation, or have similar components as the process safety management rules such as process hazard analysis, those kind of components?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Yeah, the team examined this, and basically it came down to the fact that the, you know, what puts processes under or to be covered under the PSM standard is based on the chemical quantity or, you know, the capacity -

MEMBER GRIFFON: Right.

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: - of the vessels to have a certain amount of a highly hazardous chemical or a flammable material.

And these vessels did not contain enough, you know, enough of a hazardous quantity of chemicals or hazardous materials. They would not be covered.

so, I think that, you know, it is worth examining that, you know, there are some aspects of the PSM program that might have been somewhat beneficial with regard to the operation and inspection of these vessels, such as process hazard analysis or a robust mechanical integrity program that would have encompassed some of the recognized and generally accepted engineering practices that govern the inspection of pressure vessels such as the API codes.

member GRIFFON: And I raise it realizing the threshold quantities wouldn't have been met in this case, and I think that might be a limitation of our process safety management rule, but also we've learned recently it, you know, even in the UK they have similar restrictions on their high hazard facility regulations. So, it's just something I thought is interesting maybe to consider out of this case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Thank

1 you. I have one last one, Lucy.

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Could you sort of expand a little of what is the safer process that could be used to produce this silica crystals? What is the difference between the safer process and this process?

I mean, can you give some details?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Sure, I mean, we've briefly researched other crystal growing operations in the United States. We've found only one other company that makes synthetic crystals similar to NDK's, and they're located in Ohio. We learned that the company uses vessels that are smaller and thinner, and they run at lower temperatures and pressures than the ones used at NDK.

And though we did not do an in depth analysis of the overall risk associated with this process, it appears that it may be an alternative to the NDK process which requires such a high amount of pressure and

temperature inside the vessels which
ultimately can pose a risk to worker and
public safety.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Do you remember the numbers? I'm curious about the difference between the pressures and temperatures from NDK and this Ohio process. What was the difference in numbers? The question again, I wonder if you remember the numbers, I mean, how much lower was the pressure and how much lower was the temperature?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: I'm sorry, I don't quite have that information available, but - I would have to check back for you.

It's in our report.

CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Okay,
yeah, thank you. I'm just curious. Thank you
very much. So, there is not any more
questions from the board, okay. So, I would
like to call the CSB managing director, Dr.
Daniel Horowitz, to ask him to facilitate the

public comment if you would to. I understand
you have a list. So, Dr. Horowitz?

DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, Mr.

4 Chairman. If you've signed up, that's great.

5 If you haven't signed up, it's not too late.

You're certainly welcome to comment as well.

7 It's a - okay. It is a -

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SPEAKER: Daniel, you might want to pull the mic over.

DR. HOROWITZ: Okay. It is a small group, so you're all welcome to comment if you wish. First commenter is Crispin Hales of Hales and Gooch, Limited, and I believe representing Traveler's Insurance. Dr. Hales, could you step up to the microphone? And could you please spell your name for the transcript? Thank you.

DR. HALES: Yes, it's Crispin

Hales, C-R-I-S-P-I-N H-A-L-E-S. And really,

this is a personal thing that I've been

involved in many investigations, and I really

appreciate what the CSB has done on this

particular investigation and the way it's been presented to us today, and the detail in which you've gone into this particular failure.

It's absolutely crucial within the U.S. that we take note of these type of accidents. And again, very sad that a person had to lose their life in order for this to be looked at in this kind of detail that we're looking at it today. Thank you.

DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, Dr.

Hales. And next is John Morawetz of the

International Chemical Workers Union. John,

could you spell your name, please?

MR. MORAWETZ: John J-O-H-N

Morawetz M-O-R-A-W-E-T-Z. A few questions

similar to the board asking questions. One

is, is it in the report, I'm not sure, I

didn't see it, were there workers at the

facility in a control room when this incident

took place?

DR. HOROWITZ: I think we can answer that. Lucy, were you able to hear, or

1 Johnnie, were you able to hear that?

MR. BANKS: Yes, there were.

3 There were workers at the site.

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: Yes, yes,
there - I think there may have been, and I
believe it's in the report, I think there may
have been about five or six workers in the NDK
Crystal facility present, and then over in the
NDK America, the adjoining office area, I
don't remember the exact number, but there was
a pretty high number of office workers that
were there that day.

MR. MORAWETZ: And how far was that facility, was the office area from the vessel that erupted?

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: It was in the same building, if you will, it was just an adjoining building. So, I mean, I probably couldn't give you an estimate on the number of feet, but, you know, I would say like less than 100 feet away.

MR. MORAWETZ: And was it in a

sort of protected environment, explosive proof, or anything like that better constructed? Basically, were these workers at risk, and more importantly, is this in the report? I haven't had a chance to read the detail in the report yet.

MS. SCIALLO-TYLER: I can say that the - that there was - that there were no injuries. There was some pretty significant damage to that office area as a result of the rupture. I mean, there was a lot of broken glass. There was a lot of disturbed furniture, walls, loss of power. So, the office area that does connect itself, you know, the NDK America office area was severely damaged by the vessel rupture.

MR. MORAWETZ: And more
importantly, is that covered in the report?

DR. HOROWITZ: Not specifically in
terms of the office area, John, but it does
talk in general that this facility and the
other facilities were not prepared for this

sort of catastrophic scenario. Clearly I
think that comes across through the whole
investigation.

MR. MORAWETZ: There's a particular personal reason why I would raise that.

DR. HOROWITZ: Yeah, go ahead.

Why don't you - if you can, give us your

comment - give us your questions. At the end,

we'll try to -

MR. MORAWETZ: Okay, well, in particular, in September 2012, we lost two members in Memphis, Tennessee, where a vessel erupted. They were in the control room. They were not protected and they both died. So, it's a particularly important issue for us. And I would urge the board to put that in the report, in the case study. I know it's not a full report.

Otherwise, you know, in particular, it's interesting that the truck driver is called the public, although I

Page 74

understand it's not a member of the work force at this facility, I assume he was a driver, he was at work.

And in particular, I've talked to
Lamont Byrd, the head of the Teamsters Union
Health and Safety Department, and they have a
concern about truck drivers who go in and out
of facilities, as well as the whole issue of
siting, that clearly this facility was so
close to any area, gas pumps, and all the rest
of it, that vividly you show in the video is
included, and its exit at work.

If I can just transition with time being short, and I believe this comment is going to be submitted to you. I have a comment I'd like to read into the record on behalf of the steel workers.

"On behalf of the" - and I got this, this morning. "On behalf of the 850,000 United Steel Worker Members, we appreciate the opportunity to provide a comment for the record even though we were unable to attend 1 this public meeting in person.

The USW has had no direct involvement with the NDK Crystal incident.

However, we certainly are very interested in some issues the incident raises. This incident involved an off-site facility, off-site injuries, and damage to off-site property.

The CSB is currently investigating several incidents involving off-site consequences that the USW is directly involved, including the recent incident at the Chevron facility in Richmond, California, where approximately 15,000 people from the surrounding community went to the hospital after the crude unit fire.

The USW is very concerned about incidents directly affecting the workers, but also we understand that high hazard facilities also pose a risk to the community and the environment.

The USW is looking forward to the

CSB's findings and recommendations related to safety siting and reducing the risk to the communities in which our members work.

We also wish to submit into the record" - and I assume they'll it to the board - "our continued and repeated concern pertaining to time limits to the CSB investigation reports. The investigation being discussed today is nearly four years old.

The Tesoro investigation is almost four years old, and is not the only long delayed CSB report. At a CSB public meeting in January of 2012, the USW asked for the CSB to hold a public meeting to discuss the problems associated with the backlog of CSB reports.

On November 8, Mike Wright of the USW submitted a letter to the CSB board members requesting clarifications on committments made by CSB board chair, Moure-Eraso, at the USW's 2013 health safety and

environment conference in Pittsburgh. I request that letter to be included in the record of this meeting.

The letter asked for clarification on the completion dates, and focused on several open investigations which involved USW representative workers, including the April 2010 Tesoro investigation, the 2009 Citgo investigation, the 2010 Horsehead zinc investigation, and the apparent commitment by the board chair to initiate a study on all U.S. oil refineries that use hydrofluoric acid.

We again urge the CSB to hold a public meeting in Washington, D.C., to review and discuss the backlog of investigative reports, providing an opportunity to update interested stakeholders on these important issues."

And let me also say that the

Teamsters wrote to me saying that the

Teamsters are also concerned about safety in

Page 78

these facilities. Our members both in trucking and rail operations deliver materials to manufacturing facilities, and they have pressurized vessels on site.

Let me just add that I think the point that they raise about CSB meetings are important. I think the board should discuss and have a plan, and goals, and time lines on the back log. I think we appreciate this report being completed, being one of the older reports, and we're pleased that's happening.

Also, in terms of goals on the general cases that are outstanding, clearly one big one that's not mentioned by the steel workers is Deepwater Horizon. As I think everybody in the room is painfully aware, it takes a lot of staff time and resources, and I would urge that that could be wrapped up. I hope there are some goals, certainly before the fourth anniversary of that disaster, that that can be addressed or put to bed and behind you.

And lastly, I would hope that I'm not sure, and it maybe a question for you,
Daniel, is whether usually are preliminary
findings or PowerPoints that are distributed
before the meeting? That would be helpful for
those of us to attend the meeting to make
informed comments at the meeting and get more
out of it.

Similarly, it's nice having a video feed I would hope for some of us. I know for some interested parties, that it would be helpful to have a phone-in line where people can comment. Thank you very much.

DR. HOROWITZ: Thank you, John.

And in answer to your earlier point, we're

also concerned about the issue of control room

siting. We've made a number of

recommendations actually from other cases.

Johnnie Banks was involved with the Carbide Industries investigation that we completed actually earlier this year. Two workers there were actually killed in a

1 control room that was not safety sited.

Also, our STEREOGENICS? ***8:15:56 cases. DELEC? was a case that the prior chairman had dropped, but the other three cases, there are reports on our website, and so, you can see those recommendations. And we certainly share your concern about that issue. And thank you for traveling here for the meeting.

Next is Dr. William J. O'Donnell with O'Donnell Consulting Engineers. Dr.
O'Donnell? And I understand you're the chair of the ASME subcommittee involved in writing standards for this particular area.

DR. O'DONNELL: Yeah, that's right. I am chairman of the subgroup on fatigue strength for the last 45 years. And I can say that this vessel did not meet our requirements, but the requirements may not have been as explicit as they appear to us to be, in particular in the area of stress corrosion cracking, which is the problem here.

Page 81

This vessel didn't meet safety margins for stress corrosion cracking. In fact, the safety margins were very close to one. And I'm not allowed, by the way, to speak for the code. The code has 1,000 volunteers, and they don't like one person speaking for them.

So, I'm speaking as a person who is published in this field, and who is recognized sort of for these fatigue cracking, stress corrosion cracking, crack growth, metallurgy, those areas. I spent the last 50 years of my life in those areas.

And I want to say that I think that this investigation was extremely well conducted. I couldn't believe that all of these metallurgical issues got pulled in by the team. They went to other parts of the government to get this expertise. They got it.

They got very good reports from them. They converted it into this draft

report, which usually when you get a report from a metallurgist, nobody can read it but the metallurgist, and they have converted this into common sense that everybody can understand what the hell happened.

I think it's extremely well balanced, the report. It doesn't surprise me that it took four years. It's taken us four years. We're trying to tie up any loose ends that still may be in the code ***8:18:29.

And codes and standards are usually written by engineers for use by engineers, and are sometimes not the best.

So, I really was quite impressed with the report, and ***8:18:53.

And they were hoping the acmite layer was going to be okay, but they didn't do any testing of it, and that, in my mind, does not meet code. ***8:19:18.

So, I really am complimenting the investigative committee going out and getting all the different expertise they needed and

putting them together in the right way. It was really a remarkable achievement.

And I really only have one - being an engineer, of course, I mean, we get very meticulous, and there was - the one thing I would like to see changed in the recommendations was the one that was put up here, the R5 that was up on the board.

And the R5 said that they want NDK
Crystal to ensure that the facility uses a
process that is rigorously demonstrated to be
inherently safer than the existing process.
That ain't good enough. Being safer than zero
is not, you know. From an enginnering point
of view, that's not what you want to do.

I think if you change that to say,

"demonstrated to meet the safety margins of

the ASME code for stress corrosion cracking,"

you know, that basically in the ASME code is

a factor of three against bursting of pressure

vessels.

And you can do a rigorous stress

corrosion cracking analysis, and you can get
the damn safety margins, and those safety
margins should meet code safety margins. So,
that's really my only, you know, in all of
this great work that was done here, that's the
only thing I would change in the
recommendations. I think it was extremely
well done.

We heard a lot of stories from different people in the beginning of this thing, why this thing occurred that were technically sound. The board did not bite on any of those. Some of those were brought to my committees. We said, "No, the physical evidence doesn't support what you're claiming."

And I was very much afraid that they - that some people who were claiming these things would convince the board that they were true. So, one of the reasons I'm here was to make sure that doesn't happen.

(Laughter)

	Page 86
1	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: So, I
2	ask for general council to please proceed with
3	the vote.
4	SPEAKER: The question is on the
5	floor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will take
6	the roll. Member Rosenberg?
7	MEMBER ROSENBERG: Aye.
8	SPEAKER: Member Griffon?
9	MEMBER GRIFFON: Aye.
10	SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman?
11	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO: Aye.
12	SPEAKER: There you have it.
13	(Laughter)
14	CHAIRPERSON MOURE-ERASO:
15	Excellent. So, I guess the matters of this
16	meeting have been all covered, and I declare
17	this meeting finished.
18	(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned
19	at 12:01 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	

A able 16:20 18:18 31:14 49:1 55:3 70:22 71:1 abrasions 31:19 absolutely 70:4	26:20 adjoining 27:10 71:9,18 adjourned 86:18	animation 19:3 24:11,15 anniversary 78:20	80:13 83:18,19 aspects 3:3 56:17	barrier 30:19
31:14 49:1 55:3 70:22 71:1 abrasions 31:19	71:9,18	anniversary 78·20	_	
70:22 71:1 abrasions 31:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		57:5 66:3	base 23:2 29:2
abrasions 31:19		annual 38:16 48:1	associated 67:19	based 32:22 52:20
	Administration	52:17	76:16 85:17	54:22 59:4,20
absolutely 70.4	35:16	answer 59:19 60:3	Association 52:14	65:13
222242 J 12.12	admonishing 7:21	70:22 79:15	associations 49:13	basically 65:10
accepted 42:12	adopted 42:16	answered 62:14	assume 43:17 74:2	72:3 83:19
66:9	adopts 37:4	Apex 13:15	76:5	baskets 23:7
accessible 39:4	afraid 84:17	API 52:22 66:11	assuming 56:8	beam 6:3
47:5	agencies 28:10 35:9	apparent 77:10	assure 14:19	bed 78:21
accident 4:14 6:22	58:6,18	appear 80:20	attempt 28:12	began 23:5
9:2 60:12 61:9	agency 3:1 4:4 15:9	appears 67:20	attempt 28.12 attend 8:13 74:22	beginning 84:10
accidents 3:2,4,7	35:17	applications 43:22	79:6	BEGINS 24:21
3:15 10:8 12:18	agency's 49:6	applied 29:13	attention 33:21	behalf 74:17,18,19
70:6	agenda 4:6,7 5:5	35:13	AUDIBLE 85:22	believe 10:21 69:13
accountable 16:13	18:22,22 53:13	apply 42:4	audience 9:4	71:6 74:14 81:16
accurately 51:17	agreement 28:9	appry 42:4 appreciate 69:22	audience 9:4 audio 1:25	believed 25:19
achieve 44:2	0		auditor 58:22	
achieved 56:1	agricultural 39:8 ahead 27:2 73:7	74:20 78:9 85:6	August 14:2 33:5	Belvidere 3:22 5:22
achievement 83:2		approach 61:4	8	8:18 18:6,18 21:3
acid 77:13	aim 10:7	63:13	authorities 45:8	25:3 33:18 34:7
acmite 25:18,19	ain't 83:13	appropriate 9:8	autoclaves 22:8	36:13 39:7,9,13
30:8,12,14,18,21	air 6:4,19	45:8 56:1	automobiles 27:12	39:16,21 40:22
31:6,17 32:12	airport 8:12	approval 38:4	automotive 26:20	53:4 62:22 63:13
34:13 46:6 82:16	aligned 40:4	51:16	27:11 40:13	85:14
Act 6:19 37:3 38:1	allow 4:3 25:14	approve 85:13	avail 16:7	beneficial 66:4
38:14 58:5,17	37:16 45:8	approximately	available 24:18	Berkeley 17:9
acting 54:20	allowed 3:7 11:20	13:17 14:4 75:14	68:14	best 82:13
action 52:18	20:9 81:4	April 14:7 77:7	avoid 50:8	Beth 1:15 2:8
actions 20:14	allowing 31:20	aqueous 45:10	aware 78:16	better 14:17 16:14
activate 28:4	alloy 22:13 31:2	area 9:7 10:16	Aye 86:7,9,11	72:2
activities 40:9	45:10,15 46:8	21:10,19 25:2	a.m 2:2	big 11:8 78:14
activity 61:22	54:16	27:10 61:20 71:9	B	bit 55:6 63:8
add 59:11 64:14	alternate 57:8	71:14 72:10,14,15		bite 84:12
78:5	alternative 67:21	72:20 74:10 80:14	B 50:14	blind 43:4
added 23:9	aluminum 31:11	80:21	Bachelor's 17:16	blown 21:16 26:11
addition 20:7 24:15	amendments 6:20	areas 40:16 41:22	back 5:12,13 26:14	board 1:1,12,13,14
38:15 48:7 54:21	America 21:8 71:9	81:12,13	32:19 34:19 53:9	1:15,25 2:5,7,7
additional 44:19	72:15	article 50:15 51:1	59:11 68:15 78:9	3:18 4:1,10,16,19
address 49:15	American 37:5	asked 16:3 48:21	backlog 16:5 76:16	4:20 5:1 6:21
56:16	42:5 50:2 52:21	76:14 77:4	77:16	9:20 12:17 15:19
addressed 16:2	ammonium 41:16	asking 59:15 70:16	balanced 82:7	16:8,13 18:1,1
78:21	amount 65:17	ASME 37:11,13,17	Banks 1:21 16:18	19:6,9 37:7 46:14
addresses 49:11	67:22	38:22 42:6,15	17:1,1,4,8,20,22	50:17 53:9,13,16
57:1,5	Amy 1:20 2:19	44:16,21 45:6	18:14 24:3 27:2	68:20 70:16 73:17
adequacy 3:10	analysis 41:2 65:7	48:9,13 50:3,4,12	48:17,20 53:12,15	76:5,19,21 77:11
adjacent 21:5 25:2	66:6 67:19 84:1	50:14 56:16 57:1	71:2 79:19	78:7 83:8 84:12
•				

84:19 85:11,13	careful 8:14	61:5 62:9,13	64:1	committees 84:14
Board's 24:18 51:4	Carolina 13:15	66:22 67:3 68:4	Clean 6:19	committments
boiler 36:7,18,22	case 10:20 11:10	68:17 85:3,10,20	clear 15:4	76:21
37:6 38:14 42:9	12:22 15:2,22	86:1,11,14	clearly 73:1 74:9	common 10:12
42:15,18 44:9,22	34:3 47:15 50:20	challenging 7:11	78:13	14:13 82:4
45:5 46:14 48:14	51:4 66:14,21	chance 8:22 72:5	close 5:15 74:10	communicate
50:4,17 51:8,13	73:18 80:3 85:15	change 83:16 84:6	81:3	50:19
53:5 58:4,16,19	cases 78:13 79:18	changed 63:1,15	closed 48:10	communications
boilers 42:11	80:3,5	83:6	closely 40:4	1:19,21 2:18,21
boiling 23:18	catastrophic 7:7	changes 44:22	closure 49:19	communities 14:20
bottom 23:4,8 29:1	8:9 29:8 33:2,6,9	56:18	coal 40:19	76:3
43:9	33:14 46:1 47:16	changing 62:19	coating 25:20 46:6	community 12:21
BP 50:12,14	73:1	characterize 28:12	46:11 52:11,13	14:1,11,15 24:11
branching 30:5	catastrophically	charge 18:15	coats 30:10	75:15,20
breadth 64:5	34:9	check 68:15	code 8:5 37:6,7,11	companies 12:4
break 6:10	cause 3:6 27:17	check-in 9:7	37:18 38:22 39:17	company 7:20,21
briefly 67:10	53:21 54:3,6	chemical 1:1,12,13	39:22 40:6,18	11:11,13,21 18:12
bring 12:3	caused 28:3,12	1:14,15,25 2:5 3:2	42:10,13,16,18	19:15 20:8,13,17
bringing 18:20	29:11,13 45:22	3:4 6:20,22 12:17	43:2 44:10,16,18	20:19 21:9,21
33:20	causes 3:4 6:22	24:18 31:10 65:13	45:1,5,7 48:14	25:19 26:2,20
brings 11:5	49:15	65:18 70:12	50:5,12 53:1	27:11 32:17 33:4
broken 72:11	caustic 30:19 31:1	chemicals 25:21	56:18 62:19,22	46:20 47:11,18
brought 84:13	32:14 46:8,11	46:12 65:21	63:1,3,9,14 81:5,5	49:11,12 59:13,15
build 39:15	caustics 32:5	Chevron 14:2 17:5	82:10,19 83:18,19	59:17 60:5,14,18
building 21:15	CBS 2:22	75:13	84:3	67:12,14
26:10,13 27:6	cell 5:17 20:21	Chicago 21:7	codes 41:20 42:4	complete 15:21
32:8 36:13 39:14	center 22:22 43:9	chief 58:21	66:11 82:11	completed 78:10
53:4 71:17,18	certain 65:17	chloride 31:12	Cohen 1:19 2:18	79:21
buildings 40:14	certainly 13:6 15:2	choose 11:19	coke 40:19	completion 16:12
built 21:5 41:22	15:22 69:6 75:4	chosen 31:2	collected 19:15	77:5
bursting 83:20	78:19 80:7	citations 35:21	combination 29:13	compliance 44:17
business 6:9 27:7	certificate 47:2	cited 10:16 36:4	combustible 17:15	complimenting
businesses 13:3,12	certification 57:21	47:14	come 31:21 59:11	82:20
Byrd 74:5	certified 17:10,11	Citgo 77:8	63:11	components 42:14
	35:3 37:18 52:13	citing 10:13 12:3	comes 15:19 73:2	42:20 48:16 50:7
C	certify 37:10	14:22 15:7	comment 4:22 9:5	65:5,8
C 1:19 2:1	CFEI 1:21	city 36:12 39:6,12	19:9 69:1,6,11	comprehensive
CAI/Arnel 13:9	chair 53:9 76:21	40:22 41:7 53:4	73:9 74:14,16,21	16:4
California 14:3	77:11 80:12	63:13	79:13 85:8	comprehensively
17:6,9 75:13	chairman 12:13	claiming 84:16,18	commenter 69:12	15:7
call 9:8,12,13 68:21	14:6 17:22 69:4	clarification 77:4	comments 9:17	compromised
called 22:7 30:8	80:4,16 85:9 86:5	clarifications 76:20	79:7	34:14
73:22	86:10	Clarify 50:10	commercial 40:8	compromising 26:1
capability 34:13	chairperson 1:13	classification 38:11	41:21 61:22	concern 74:7 76:6
capacity 65:14	2:3,6 4:9 6:15	61:7	Commission 39:14	80:7
Carbide 13:21	12:9 16:16 53:11	classified 38:9	commitment 77:10	concerned 75:17
79:20	57:9,12 59:10	61:10 62:7,20	committee 82:21	77:22 79:16
	,			
	l .	l .	I	ı

concluded 54:8,19	contents 23:19 38:6	cracking 20:6 29:6	current 3:10 41:11	definitely 63:7
55:21	38:13 45:10	29:10,12 30:7	41:13 63:2	degrading 29:17
concludes 53:7	continue 9:19	31:4 32:3,10,20	currently 15:9 75:9	31:22
conclusion 18:20	17:21 53:12	34:20 45:13,17,22	cycle 26:7	degree 17:16
conditions 20:9	continued 26:2	46:12 47:8 48:8	cycle 20.7 cylinder 29:2	degrees 23:18
31:5 52:8 56:6	76:6	53:21 54:6,9,21	cylindrical 22:22	delayed 76:13
condolences 10:2	Contracting 1:20	55:6 56:21 80:22	25:7	DELEC 80:3
12:14	contributed 19:20	81:2,10,11 83:18	C-R-I-S-P-I-N	deliver 78:2
conduct 7:3 38:18	20:5 32:11 43:15	84:1	69:19	demonstrated
39:2 46:15	57:2	cracks 8:11 24:17	07.17	83:11,17
conducted 27:15	contributing 48:7	29:7,11,20 30:6	D	demonstrates 52:5
28:20 35:19 41:3	control 27:22 70:19	31:13,15,16 55:16	\mathbf{D} 2:1	Dempa 20:17
47:1 81:16	73:14 79:16 80:1	Crispin 69:12,18	damage 11:14 13:3	department 2:19
conference 77:1	converted 81:22	critical 33:11	13:11 18:11 27:9	36:13 39:14 49:18
confusing 13:22	82:3	cross 13:19 28:21	28:16 29:17 31:7	53:5 74:6
conjunction 55:19	convince 84:19	cross-section 22:16	32:7 34:15 43:15	depicted 23:6
connect 72:14	cooling 44:3	crucial 70:4	43:19 44:7 50:9	depicts 24:9
connected 57:13	coordinated 37:19	crude 75:16	52:20 72:10 75:7	deployed 19:12
consequence 41:2	copy 4:7 53:3	crystal 1:3 3:22	damaged 13:19	depth 41:9 67:19
consequences 13:8	Corporation 17:6	5:22 12:16 15:12	14:10 72:16	describe 7:6
42:2 75:11	corrective 52:18	17:4 18:6 20:19	damn 84:2	design 19:21 37:20
consider 41:3	corrosion 8:2 20:6	21:4,7 22:4,11,17	danger 7:21 47:14	38:2 42:10 50:6
62:18 66:20	25:22 29:9,12,15	24:4,22 25:1 26:7	Daniel 1:18 2:16,16	50:15 51:22 52:15
considerably 63:1	29:19 30:6,22	27:9,19 30:10,12	9:10 68:22 69:8	designed 3:14 43:8
consideration	31:4 32:3,10	34:4 36:15 45:21	79:3	designer 48:2
85:12	34:20 45:13,17,22	46:2,2 51:11,21	Danvers 13:10	desired 23:13
consistent 61:2	46:12,18 47:8	52:1,2,9,16 53:2	data 27:22 30:4	despite 34:17 47:17
construct 63:12	48:8 51:18 52:14	67:10 71:8 75:3	50:15	detail 20:16 54:14
constructed 48:3	53:21 54:5,9,21	83:10 85:14	dates 77:5	70:2,8 72:6
72:3	55:5 56:21 80:22	crystals 22:8,9	dawned 48:21	details 12:2 41:7
construction 28:7	81:2,11 83:18	23:11,12,14 24:1	day 8:20,21 9:1	67:8
36:15,20 38:8	84:1	24:5 25:4,15	71:12	deterioration 51:18
42:22 45:2 57:20	corrosive 25:10,21	30:18 67:6,13	days 19:13 21:12	determine 27:18
consultant 11:11	38:7,10,13 52:8	CSB 2:5,11,13 3:9	23:21 25:14 26:6	56:10
47:10	council 2:11 86:2	3:17 6:17 7:5	DC 1:22	determined 39:22
consultants 32:17	Counsel 1:19	10:7 13:7 16:19	dealing 16:4	determining 54:3
59:22	country 64:16	17:7,13 19:12,19	death 6:22 13:1	develop 51:14
consultant's 60:7	course 63:1,18 83:4	27:17 28:8 29:5	33:19	development 17:14
consulting 33:4	cover 11:22	30:1 39:22 41:13	December 3:20	18:19 29:19 39:11
80:11	coverage 57:14	45:20 59:21 68:21	5:20 18:8 26:5	develops 42:7
contact 31:21	58:11 59:2	69:22 75:9 76:7	29:9 34:10 35:7	devices 20:22 25:5
45:14	covered 65:1,12,22	76:13,13,14,16,19	35:20	diameter 22:18
contain 65:19	72:18 86:16	76:21 77:14 78:6	decided 11:16	29:6
containing 48:16	covering 11:13	85:16	declare 86:16	died 73:15
50:7	covers 42:21	CSB's 76:1	deemed 11:21	difference 67:6
contaminating	crack 29:21 33:10	curious 63:20 68:5	Deepwater 78:15	68:6,8
30:17	33:12 56:5 81:11	68:18	deficiencies 19:22	different 35:11

61:19 63:17 82:22	27:5 73:22 74:2	37:6 42:6 50:3	exact 71:10	extensive 13:2
84:10	drivers 74:7	52:15 80:11 82:12	exactly 58:1	exterior 21:16
direct 51:3 75:2	driver's 11:3	82:13	exactly 38.1 examination 44:8	26:17
directed 33:3 50:2	dropped 80:4	enginnering 83:14	examinations	external 23:17 39:4
50:17 53:19	drove 8:12	ensure 20:14 38:20	19:16 27:14 43:12	47:6
direction 16:10	due 27:18 28:6	41:20 44:4 50:7	47:20	extremely 25:11
63:17	55:21	51:16,19 52:10,18	examine 3:3 28:11	40:3 81:15 82:6
directly 49:14	dust 17:15	83:10	examined 55:10	84:7
75:11,18	D.C 17:3 18:17	ensures 52:3	65:10	04.7
director 1:18 2:17	77:15	ensuring 7:8	examining 34:21	F
9:10 68:21	77.13	entered 28:8 35:3	66:2	fabrication 42:11
disaster 78:20		entire 14:14	example 52:6,13	55:17
disavowed 11:13	E 2:1,1	entity 49:9	excedent 28:2	fabricator 37:10
discarded 55:18	Eagle 13:18	entry 49.9 entrance 4:6	exceeded 33:12	55:15
discovery 47:13	earlier 28:22 79:15	entry 22:14	48:9	facilitate 9:10
discs 28:4	79:21	entry 22.14 environment 12:21	excellent 11:10	68:22
discuss 15:12 16:6	east 8:16	29:15 30:19 31:22	86:15	facilitated 2:14
27:13 35:8 76:15	economic 39:11	45:13 72:1 75:21	excerpt 24:11 33:7	facilities 3:2 10:14
77:16 78:7	effect 54:2 61:12	77:1	excerpt 24:11 55:7 excessive 20:4	10:18 11:2,5
discussed 20:15	effectiveness 46:11	environmental	43:13 55:21	12:19 14:21 41:21
22:4 76:9	effects 25:21	3:11 31:7 35:17	exemption 8:6	42:1 58:19 72:22
discussion 9:11,11	effort 7:3	environmentally	37:16	74:8 75:19 78:1,3
85:21,21	efforts 2:13 7:9	50:8 52:19	exhibited 33:6	facility 8:15 10:15
distance 61:16	eight 10:22 21:14	environments	41:14	11:21 13:10 14:8
distributed 79:4	22:7,22 25:6,7	45:14 56:20	exist 43:21 44:16	14:22 18:6 19:13
disturbed 5:18	28:17 29:4 32:19	EPA 35:16,18 36:3	existing 51:10 52:6	21:3,4,7,12,19
72:12	40:2 43:8 47:9	EQ 13:14	83:12	25:2,6 26:18 27:9
division 36:8,11,18	48:13	equipment 3:5	exit 5:12,13 74:12	28:17 34:6 35:14
37:4,18 38:4 45:6	either 34:13 53:19	equivalent 52:2	exits 5:10,14	35:19 36:16 39:15
50:14 51:8,14	58:10 59:15	Eraso 76:22	expand 58:8 67:4	39:18 40:1 41:17
53:6	electronic 20:22	erupted 71:15	expanded 21:1	47:10 51:10 52:1
document 39:17	25:5	73:14	expect 15:6	52:4 57:20 59:1
documentation	elements 31:10	especially 31:4	experienced 32:4	61:18 62:5 63:12
19:15 35:5 41:6	embrittlement 48:5	established 6:20	expert 52:12,13	66:19 70:19 71:8
59:20	48:6 54:1,11,12	12:18 36:14 39:18	54:8 57:3	71:14 72:21 74:2
documented 30:12	54:20 57:3	establishes 37:3	expertise 81:19	74:9 75:6,13
Dr 2:8,16 9:10,21	emergency 5:13	estimate 71:19	82:22	83:10
68:21 69:2,3,10	Employ 52:12	evacuation 13:16	experts 30:2	fact 6:8 9:9 55:8
69:14,18 70:10,10	employee 27:7	event 10:6,10 61:17	explained 54:13	65:11 81:3
70:21 72:19 73:7	employees 10:4	events 9:3	explicit 80:20	factor 48:7 83:20
79:14 80:10,11,15	13:4 34:4	eventually 55:18	exploded 61:16	factors 7:6
85:1,5,5,11	encompassed 66:8	everybody 8:15	explosion 7:13 11:8	Fahrenheit 23:18
draft 7:1 19:11	ends 27:1 82:9	78:16 82:4	13:9,15,18 14:8	fail 34:9
81:22	enforcing 45:8	evidence 28:1 29:5	17:10 21:12,17	failed 19:17 28:5
drawing 22:16	engineer 83:4	32:10,20 43:11	24:9 28:14 41:17	28:11 47:3
28:22	engineering 66:9	54:10 55:3 84:15	explosive 72:1	failure 8:9 16:1
driver 6:5 26:14	engineers 33:22	evident 55:6	expressing 10:2	20:5 27:17,18
GIIVOI 0.3 20.1T	6	Crimont JJ.U	Capi Cooms 10.2	, - 0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

29:9,10 32:11,16	49:3 86:17	fourth 78:20	going 4:8,11,15	happened 9:1 61:9
33:2,12 46:1	fire 13:16 14:2	fracture 29:22	5:11,19 6:9 7:18	82:5
47:16 54:9,11	17:10 20:9 36:7	43:20	14:4 53:13 63:17	happening 78:11
55:20 60:7 70:3	36:19 51:7,12	fragment 19:17	74:15 82:17,21	happens 11:9
failures 3:5,7 43:16	75:16	26:13 28:16 29:7	Gooch 69:13	hard 61:15
Falling 24:17	first 4:8 7:18 9:12	30:1 55:9 61:17	good 18:3 22:6	hazard 12:18 14:20
family 10:3 12:14	9:13 10:13 13:6	fragments 27:6	81:21 83:13	64:5 65:7 66:6,18
far 10:11 33:8 58:7	20:3 27:17 32:1	free 59:19	govern 35:9 42:22	75:19
64:7 71:13	33:7 34:11 37:8	frequented 8:19	66:10	hazardous 10:14
farther 61:21	50:1 55:17,17	friends 10:3 12:14	governing 39:17	10:15 11:2,5
fatal 9:2 18:5 21:22	69:12	full 24:16 73:19	government 81:19	13:14 65:18,20,21
60:12	five 9:17 21:13	fully 15:6	governs 42:13	head 74:5
fatality 18:9 27:5	47:17 71:7	function 8:7	graduate 17:8	health 3:10 17:17
fatally 46:3	fix 14:15,16	furniture 72:13	grain 23:13 56:1	35:16 74:6 76:22
fatigue 80:17 81:10	fixed 3:2	further 11:20	granted 8:6 37:20	hear 4:8,11 7:2
fault 15:22	flammable 65:18	20:16 24:6 62:2	39:16	70:22 71:1 85:18
federal 3:1 6:19	flaws 29:7	Furthermore 41:6	great 69:4 84:5	heard 84:9
35:12,18 49:10	floor 9:14 32:7 86:5	future 3:15 7:9 9:3	greater 7:8	hearing 12:1
feed 79:10	fluid 31:14	41:13 45:1,18	Greenfield 6:4 10:3	heart 10:4
feel 59:19 60:6 62:6	flying 27:6		12:15	heat 22:14 43:1
feet 6:3 22:18,19	focal 41:12	G	grew 10:17 24:1	44:5,19 48:5,11
25:8 26:11 28:18	focused 47:5 77:5	G 2:1	Griffon 1:14 2:9	50:7 54:17
28:18 46:4 71:20	focuses 49:16	gamble 11:17	12:11,12 16:17	heaters 23:17
71:21	followed 19:5	gas 6:10 26:12	18:2 53:16,17	heating 44:3 56:2
fell 8:11	following 4:15	74:10	56:7 57:6 62:16	heavy 39:20 40:2,5
fellow 9:20	49:18 50:12	general 1:19 2:11	63:16 64:11,20	40:6,17 44:5
fence 14:13	force 74:1	34:14 36:4 72:21	65:15 66:12 86:8	61:10,19 62:8,20
fertilizer 7:13	forged 22:13 43:1	78:13 86:2	86:9	63:4,5 64:7
10:17 14:8 15:4,8	44:14	generally 29:16	group 69:11	hell 82:5
41:16	forging 48:10	42:12 66:9	grouped 40:7	helpful 79:5,12
fertilizers 40:20	form 48:5	generated 6:1	grow 23:15 33:10	high 12:18 14:20
field 81:9	formal 4:12	gentlemen 18:3	growing 22:5,17	22:11 25:11 29:14
figuratively 8:10	formalized 60:19	geologic 22:11	26:7 30:10,13	31:1 32:4 33:13
final 3:18 4:2 7:1	formation 46:6	getting 82:21	51:11 52:3 67:10	40:3 43:1 45:7
finally 14:6 15:10	formed 24:5 30:9	Gilmour 1:21 2:20	growth 22:11 25:14	46:1,8 64:3,18,18
19:9 20:11 53:2	forming 25:17	give 6:7 28:13 49:4	81:11	66:18 67:22 71:11
financially 12:4	forms 30:18	62:16 67:8 71:19	guarantee 58:12	75:19 85:14
find 7:18 8:1	forth 37:5 52:21	73:8,9	guess 86:15	highlights 10:10
finding 45:21 46:5	forward 12:1 75:22	given 4:17	guidance 44:19	highly 40:9 65:17
47:7,17,22 48:4	found 11:10 20:21	glad 15:11	guidelines 52:21	highway 46:4
48:13	28:1 29:5,22	glass 72:12	guys 63:19	Hillary 1:19 2:18
findings 7:17 15:15	31:11 54:10 55:3	globally 21:2	тт	hold 19:8 24:3
18:4 19:4 20:11	67:11	go 4:4,5 18:21	H H CO 12 12 14	48:21 76:15 77:14
27:13 45:19 49:15	founded 20:18	20:10 27:2 73:7	Hales 69:12,13,14	holds 17:15
50:19 53:20 55:20	four 15:19 28:18	74:7	69:18,19 70:11	homes 10:19 13:11
76:1 79:4	32:19 47:7,9 76:9	goals 78:8,12,19	happen 5:11 33:17	13:19 14:10
finished 24:2 48:22	76:12 82:8,8	goes 8:16 10:4	34:6 84:21	honor 6:12

hope 78:19 79:1,10	34:21 40:15 41:3	46:3 51:21 85:14	29:14 31:22 46:7	21:21 25:3 39:9
hoping 82:16	45:1 61:9	increased 23:19	68:1	40:10 41:4 62:2
Horizon 78:15	implement 51:15	56:4	inspected 24:6 39:4	intervention 12:6
Horowitz 1:18 2:16	52:10,17	independent 2:22	inspection 20:8	interviewed 19:14
9:10 68:22 69:2,3	important 7:17	30:2 37:19	35:1 36:21 37:7	introduce 16:18
69:10 70:10,21	15:1,15 43:20	indicate 5:9 53:22	42:11 52:17,20	18:14
72:19 73:7 79:14	56:9 73:16 77:18	indicates 31:16	53:1 58:22 66:5	investigate 6:21
85:5,11	78:7	indication 11:7	66:10	27:16
Horsehead 77:9	importantly 3:6	32:2 34:12	inspections 8:2	investigated 10:21
hospital 75:15	72:4,18	individual 13:1	20:1 26:4 32:22	13:7
hospitals 14:5	impressed 82:14	induced 50:8 52:19	35:19 38:12,16,19	investigates 3:1
hot 32:5	improper 20:3	industrial 3:11	38:21 39:3 46:16	investigating 75:9
hours 8:21	43:14 48:11	10:8 17:16 25:2	46:20 47:2,5 48:1	investigation 3:19
housed 21:14 25:6	improve 30:15	39:10,20 40:5,7	51:19	4:12 7:4,15,17
huge 14:7	41:20 49:6,16	40:11,17 41:11,20	inspector 51:3	9:16 12:2 15:8
hundreds 14:10	improvements	42:1 49:6 61:20	58:21 59:5	16:1 17:4 18:4,16
hung 23:10	49:10	62:6,8,8 63:4,5	inspectors 12:5	19:2,8,10,14,18
hydrofluoric 77:12	impurities 30:16	industrially 21:10	35:3 50:18,20	20:11 24:13 27:16
hydrothermal 52:2	31:11	Industries 3:22	installation 36:21	27:21 28:20 32:16
hydroxide 23:10	incentives 11:18	13:21 79:20	Institute 30:3	41:15 45:20 48:18
25:10,16 29:16	inch 23:20 25:7	industry 33:1 36:5	52:22	51:2 55:13 60:21
31:1 38:7 45:11	43:8 44:12	39:19 42:4,8	insurance 11:11,13	63:19 70:1 73:3
46:9	inches 22:22 23:2,3	49:11,11 61:8,11	11:21 12:4 32:17	76:8,8,11 77:8,9
H-A-L-E-S 69:19	29:4 43:5,10	informal 60:19	47:11,18 57:14	77:10 79:20 81:15
	incident 7:7 12:15	information 5:8	58:6,11,18 59:13	85:16
I	13:1,14,21 15:3,4	24:14 50:22 68:14	59:16 60:14,17	investigations 1:22
identified 19:19	15:12,20 18:8	informed 59:13	69:14	3:3,20 5:1 16:5,7
20:3 44:7 45:20	19:3,13,20 20:12	79:7	insure 58:19	16:10,11 17:2,13
85:15	24:15 27:4 34:11	inherently 31:3	insurer 7:21 59:21	41:14 69:21 77:6
identifies 51:17	35:7,20 43:12	52:5 54:15 83:12	integrity 46:10	investigation's 9:5
identify 2:16 38:5	45:6,21 47:4 49:9	initial 55:17 60:1	52:11 66:7	investigative 15:13
41:1	49:15 50:2 60:16	62:4	intensity 33:11	16:19 77:16 82:21
identifying 34:19	60:21 70:19 75:3	initially 48:3	intentionally 10:16	
ignore 11:16,19	75:5,6,12	initiate 77:11	interest 21:20	17:10 18:15
60:4	incidents 10:22	injured 14:9 26:22	interested 12:5	investigators 7:5
ignored 11:9 59:16	13:7 14:12,14,16	32:6	28:10 75:4 77:18	11:10
Illinois 4:1 5:22	75:10,18	injuries 11:14 13:2	79:11	invited 6:11
18:7 25:3 26:12	include 19:1,2 34:4	72:9 75:7	interesting 66:20	involve 14:14
33:22 36:6,17	40:11,18 41:7	injuring 46:3	73:21	involved 58:22
37:2,16 42:17	44:18 50:5	injury 18:10 21:22	interior 34:22 35:2	59:5 69:21 75:6
51:7,12 53:5	included 65:4	27:6 33:19 60:20	internal 8:1 23:19	75:12 77:6 79:19
58:18 64:3 85:14	74:12 77:2	inner 29:6 30:11,20	38:12,18,21 46:16	80:13
85:17	includes 4:13 40:2	30:22 31:8,13,20	46:18,20 48:1	involvement 75:3
immediate 27:16	42:18	32:13	56:5 61:4	involving 20:12
54:3	including 3:4 7:12	innocent 6:8	International	75:10
imminent 33:2	21:20 75:12 77:7	inside 11:4 22:18	70:12	in-process 46:6
impact 14:14 24:10	Incorporated 34:5	23:17 25:9,21	interstate 21:6,13	iron 25:16,18 30:9
_				3 3,10 00.9
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

issuance 45:4 65:11,14,20 66:1 **lid** 32:6,13,15 **lose** 70:7 45:12 50:5,13,15 66:2.17 71:20 loss 72:13 **issue** 3:13 10:22 55:20 65:18 15:1,2,4,6 34:12 72:15 73:18,20 lids 20:12 32:20 **lost** 73:12 materials 38:8 **lot** 26:19 72:11,12 65:21 78:2 41:11 49:20 56:21 79:11 83:14.19 34:18 47:10 84:4 **life** 11:3 35:4 70:7 78:17 84:9 57:1 73:16 74:8 matter 9:9 known 20:18 29:17 Louisville 13:21 79:16 80:7 81:13 matters 4:22 86:15 **issued** 35:20 49:8 31:11 **light** 21:10 39:10 low 31:1 46:8 **maximum** 44:13 Kogyo 20:18 lower 52:7 67:16 59:22 39:19 40:11 61:8 **McCORMICK** issues 7:14 9:15 62:5.7.20 63:4 68:10.11 1:20 2:19 L 12:3 19:19 20:2 **limit** 9:17 43:5 lowered 23:8 mean 43:18 61:9 **labeled** 21:20 20:15 75:5 77:19 44:13 Lucv 1:22 16:18 62:14 64:4.13 lack 20:7 limitation 43:3 67:8.9 68:10 81:17 18:17 27:2 53:19 lacked 44:10 62:16 67:1 70:22 I-90 21:22 66:15 71:18 72:11 83:4 **lacking** 60:19 limitations 43:21 **lumber** 40:13 measuring 23:2 J ladies 18:2 44:10 48:15 50:6 mechanical 31:19 \mathbf{M} **J** 1:15 80:10 **Lamont** 74:5 50:11 37:5 42:6 50:3 **M** 1:18 **January** 76:14 land 39:7,8 41:12 **Limited** 69:13 55:2 66:7 large 25:4,14 26:9 **Japan** 20:18 24:6 major 3:2 **limits** 44:4 76:7 mechanism 20:6 **iob** 60:19 26:16 29:7 **making** 62:18 line 14:13 79:12 29:11 32:11 34:15 **John** 70:11,12,14 **larger** 23:14 44:20 management 3:12 **lines** 78:8 43:16 54:10 lasca 23:6 31:11 36:3,3 49:16 65:1 72:20 79:14 link 51:3 mechanisms 44:7 **Johnnie** 1:21 16:18 **lastly** 79:1 65:4,6 66:16 **list** 33:16 45:19 50:9 51:19 52:20 17:1.20 18:14 late 69:5 Manager 1:19 69:2 meet 8:5 38:22 59:19 71:1 79:19 Laughter 62:15 managing 1:18 **listed** 40:17 60:7 80:18 81:1 82:19 2:17 9:9 68:21 **joining** 2:10 16:21 84:22 86:13 63:9 83:17 84:3 17:7 18:16 laws 11:1 manufacture 44:4 literally 8:10 meeting 1:5 2:4,14 **ioint** 28:9 laver 25:17 30:21 manufactured 37:9 **little** 55:6 63:7 67:4 3:16 8:13,22 juggling 7:12 31:17 32:12 34:14 manufacturer **lives** 11:17 15:11,17 17:21 **J-O-H-N** 70:14 82:17 38:16 55:14 **loading** 31:19 19:1 24:20 37:12 **lead** 1:21 17:2 manufacturing **local** 14:1,4 35:12 75:1 76:13,15 K leak 32:5,12 25:1 40:12,18,21 77:3,15 79:5,6,7 36:12 Kentucky 13:22 **learn** 10:7 43:14 48:12 54:18 **located** 3:22 17:3 80:9 86:16,17,18 **kept** 25:13 **learned** 47:8 55:13 78:3 21:10 61:19,21 **meetings** 16:6 78:6 **key** 19:4 20:2,15 58:15 60:6.13 **March** 13:20 member 1:14,15 67:13 45:19,21 46:5 66:16 67:14 margins 81:2,3 Loeb 1:19 2:11 6:5.8 7:1 10:1 47:7,17,22 48:4 led 7:6 17:3 29:7 83:17 84:2.3.3 18:2 12:10,12 18:1,2 48:13 41:9 43:16 Mark 1:14 2:8 log 78:9 33:16 46:3 51:2 killed 6:11 14:9 **length** 24:16 12:10 59:11 long 15:21 28:18 53:16,17 56:7 26:14 41:18 79:22 lengthy 15:21 marketing 21:1,9 76:12 57:6,10,11,17 killing 6:4 **lessen** 40:14 marshal 20:9 36:7 **longer** 24:12 58:1,9 59:8 62:16 **kind** 56:16,20 57:4 **letter** 33:3,5,7,15 36:20 51:7.13 look 12:1 55:11 63:16 64:11,20 61:1,14 65:7 70:8 Massachusetts 33:20 60:1,8 65:15 66:12 74:1 65:2 kinds 8:20 76:19 77:2,4 13:10 looked 62:4,22 70:8 85:19 86:6,7,8,9 knew 59:12 60:1 **level** 8:8 36:12 massive 25:6 **looking** 55:1 70:9 members 1:12 2:8 **know** 58:4,16 59:3 **levels** 35:11 **material** 20:4 31:2 75:22 4:10 9:20 16:8 59:4 61:2,3,7 62:3 levers 12:6 34:16 37:12,14 looks 4:7 49:2 47:14 53:14 73:13 63:10,12,22 64:7 licensed 17:11 42:19,22 44:14 **loose** 82:9 74:20 76:3,20 64:8,12,14,14,21

78:1
memory 6:13
Memphis 73:13
mentioned 14:7
64:22 78:14
met 37:11 66:14
metallurgical
19:16 27:14 28:9
28:19 30:2 31:5
32:9,16 43:12,15
44:8 81:17
metallurgist 82:2,3
metallurgists 54:8
54:19 57:4
metallurgy 81:12
metalurgy 81:12 metals 40:20
meticulous 83:5
mic 53:18 69:9
micrograph 29:21
microphone 17:20
69:15
Mike 76:18
miles 21:6
mind 82:18
mined 23:6 25:9
mineral 30:8
minimal 14:19
minimum 50:22
minutes 9:18
MKM-400 50:15
mode 54:11
moment 6:12,14
monitor 49:20
months 47:4
Morawetz 70:11,14
70:15 71:13,22
72:17 73:4,11
morning 18:3 22:6
54:14 74:19
mornings 4:5
morning's 4:5
motion 85:13
Moure 76:21
Moure-Eraso 1:13
2:3,6 6:15 12:9
16:16 18:1 53:11
57:9,12 59:10

61:5 62:9,13
66:22 67:3 68:4
68:17 85:3,10,20
86:1,11,14
move 24:8 39:6
42:3 85:11,13
multi-year 7:3
mute 5:17
M-O-R-A-W-E
70:15
M.P.H 1:15

N N2:1NACE 52:13 name 9:8 69:16 70:13 **NARRATOR** 24:22 narrowed 54:5 nation 15:5 **National** 30:3 37:7 50:17 51:4 52:14 natural 22:11 naturally 30:9 **NBBI** 50:18 **NDK** 1:3 3:22 4:22 5:3,22 7:15,18 8:14 10:5,9 12:16 12:19 15:1,3,12 17:4 18:6,11 19:12 20:18,22 21:4,7,8,11,19 22:5,7,17 23:5 24:6,12,22 25:3 25:22 26:18 27:4 27:9,10 30:21 31:3 32:8,18 33:3 33:4 34:4,6,17 35:1,5,9,14,19 36:2,4,10,15 37:9 37:15 38:3,6,20 39:7,12,15 40:1 40:10 41:1,6,14 42:5 43:2.7.13 44:12 45:5,10,20

46:2,5,10,16 47:7

47:11,18,22 51:4
51:10,21 52:1,9
52:16 53:2 59:12
59:21 60:6,10,14
60:15 61:8 63:11
64:9,15 67:17,21
68:7 71:7,9 72:15
75:3 83:9 85:13
NDK's 8:17 45:3
47:10 64:19 67:13
near 10:14,16
14:20 29:2,6
nearby 6:6 8:18
13:3,19 14:11
27:11 41:4 47:15
nearly 21:15 26:21
76:9
necessarily 63:22
necessary 56:12
need 5:14 11:1 16:9
16:14
needed 82:22
needs 16:2
neighboring 18:12
21:21 26:18 27:7
27:10 28:17
never 8:3
new 52:1,11 60:10
nice 79:9
night 8:21
Nihon 20:17
NIST 30:3,5
nitrate 41:16
non 2:22 38:9
non-destructive
47:19
normally 38:12
North 13:15
northwest 21:6
34:7
note 8:14 9:16
15:18 70:5
noted 57:3 60:17
62:3
notice 59:1

notify 58:21 **November** 1:8 13:8 13:17 76:18 **number** 2:12 26:6 35:4 46:14 47:17 51:6 63:20 64:7 64:10 71:10,11,19 79:17 85:16 **numbers** 63:21 68:5,8,10 numerous 16:3 0 02:1Oasis 6:6,10 8:18 33:18 34:7 40:10 **obligation** 34:1 observe 6:12 **Occupational** 35:15 occupies 39:7 occur 3:8 33:6,14 occurred 12:16 15:20 18:5,8 22:1 34:10 49:9 84:11 **occurring** 9:3 12:7 34:15 46:22 47:9 **October** 13:13 offer 12:13

office 1:22 27:10 36:7,19 39:10 40:13 51:7 71:9 71:11,14 72:10,14 72:15.20 Officer 1:20 **off-site** 13:8 41:2 42:2 75:6,7,10 **Ohio** 67:14 68:7 oil 40:21 77:12 okay 5:15 59:8,18 63:16 64:11 67:2 68:17,20 69:7,10 73:11 82:17 **old** 76:10,12 **older** 78:10 **Once** 24:4 ones 43:2 67:17

ongoing 52:10 **open** 9:14 16:6 43:5 77:6 **opening** 4:9 5:19 9:21.22 **operate** 11:20 26:3 57:17 58:2 63:12 64:2,16 operated 22:7 24:22 operating 11:14 29:14 60:20 64:10 operation 19:21 20:15 32:6 35:10 36:15,21 37:17,21 38:3 40:2 45:2 52:1 58:12 64:22 66:5 operations 30:13 51:11 67:11 78:2 **operators** 23:9,16 opinion 15:1 opportunity 4:17 19:7 41:19 74:21 77:17 **optimal** 44:2 order 13:22 70:7 organization 5:7 42:7 **origin** 34:20 originally 39:7 oscillators 20:20 **OSHA** 35:15,18 36:2.4 outcome 60:8 outreach 49:13 outstanding 78:13 **overall** 67:19 overhead 21:18 overlooked 8:9 oversight 19:22 35:11,18 63:8 overview 20:17 49:4 **owners** 10:5 **O'Donnell** 80:10

80:11,12,15 85:1

notification 58:7

60:21

85:6	40:5	21:20	45:7 46:1,15,17	64:15,16 65:1,4,6
	permitting 36:14	populated 10:16	47:9 48:14,16	65:7 66:6,15 67:5
P	57:13,15 58:11	40:9	50:4,7,18,20 51:2	67:7,7,20,21 68:7
P 2:1	59:6 62:4	portion 21:9 29:1	51:8,10,13,16	83:11,12
painfully 78:16	person 70:6 75:1	43:9 53:7 61:20	52:22 53:6 58:5	processes 12:19
paint 40:20	81:6,8	pose 12:20 68:2	58:16,20 59:6	40:12 44:3 65:12
panels 21:15	personal 69:20	75:20	63:21 64:2,8,9	processing 24:7
paramount 6:17	73:5	possible 33:9 47:14	65:3 66:10 67:22	produce 60:8 67:5
parked 27:12	pertaining 76:7	possibly 28:6	68:11 83:20 85:15	produced 1:25 4:13
parking 26:19	petition 38:17	post 51:3	pressures 11:18	16:19
part 2:20 5:4,5	petitioned 37:15	potential 40:15	22:12 25:11 40:4	produces 20:19
18:19 27:15 28:20	39:12	41:9 42:1	52:7 64:3,17	25:4
36:19 38:4,17	petroleum 40:21	pound 6:3 28:15	67:16 68:6	product 24:3 30:15
42:17 50:13 54:17	52:21	30:1 55:9	pressurized 78:4	30:17 48:22 49:3
55:8,13 56:8,22	phones 5:17 20:21	pounds 22:20	pretty 64:18 71:11	85:12
58:4 60:3 61:1	phone-in 79:12	23:20	72:9	production 21:1,4
62:12,17	photo 21:11,18	power 72:13	prevent 3:14 9:2	27:9 28:1 32:8
participated 17:12	23:7 24:2 28:15	powerful 13:9	12:7 49:17	40:1,12 46:2,7
19:16	physical 3:4 84:14	PowerPoints 79:4	prevented 61:13	61:8
particular 70:1,3	physically 28:11	practice 10:13	preventing 30:15	products 16:12
73:5,12,21 74:4	Ph.D 1:13,18	practices 33:1 49:7	previous 20:12	25:5 40:19 85:17
80:14,21	piece 26:11,16	49:12 66:9	previously 56:17	professional 17:12
particularly 25:5	28:18 29:1 55:9	preexisting 31:17	primary 12:17 49:6	33:21 42:7
73:16	55:10	preliminary 79:3	prior 17:7 47:4,20	program 20:8 35:2
parties 28:10 79:11	pieces 26:9	prepared 18:3	80:3	36:3,4 52:10,15
parts 81:18	piping 14:17	72:22	priorities 16:11	52:18 60:18,22
penetrate 31:14	Pittsburgh 77:1	Presence 30:11	probably 2:15 4:6	66:3,7
Pennsylvania	place 14:1,19 32:18	present 1:12,17	53:18 71:18	programs 36:1
17:17	44:3 61:19 70:20	3:17 18:4 31:12	problem 10:14	49:7
people 9:12,13	placed 34:18	54:16 71:8	27:20 28:6 80:22	prohibit 45:9 51:9
10:14 11:1,4 14:4	places 10:19	presentation 4:16	problems 32:2	56:18
14:9,10 26:21	plan 16:4 78:8	18:22 19:1 20:16	55:16 76:16	projectile 6:2
41:18 75:14 79:13	planned 16:11	53:8 54:13	procedures 51:15	projectiles 6:1
84:10,18	planning 16:2 35:5	presentations 9:6	60:20	projects 7:11
peoples 11:17	39:13 41:12 60:10	presented 4:19,20	proceed 5:19 86:2	prominently 41:15
people's 6:16	plant 5:22 10:18	24:14 70:2	proceeding 22:3	promoted 46:12
perform 46:20	13:14 21:5,14	pressure 3:21 5:21	proceedings 5:18	prompt 20:13
47:19 48:1	played 18:19	7:22 8:5 14:17	53:8	proof 72:2
performance 61:3	please 4:3 5:17 9:6	18:5 21:14 22:7	process 10:8 22:4,5	proper 20:13 44:5
63:5	9:16 69:16 70:13	23:19,22 25:7	23:5 24:5,14	properly 41:21
performed 8:3 55:7	86:2	26:2,5,16 27:20	27:19 30:10 31:14	properties 37:13
performing 26:3	pleased 78:11	28:2 29:14 32:5	36:2,2 38:4,17,19	39:1 44:2 45:16
38:20	podium 49:1	35:9 36:8,9,18,22	41:8 45:18 46:9	55:2 56:2
period 4:22 19:9	point 5:8 22:14	37:2,6,22 38:5,14	48:12 49:5 51:16	property 18:11
permission 37:21	41:13 78:6 79:15	40:2 41:8 42:5,9	52:3,4,6,12 54:18	21:8 27:8 44:15
39:15,16	83:14	42:12,15,18,19	56:3,6 57:13,16	54:15 75:8
permitted 39:19	points 12:6 16:1	44:10,11,22 45:5	57:22 58:11 62:7	proposed 7:7 19:6
				_
	-		-	•

48:18	quantity 65:13,20	recommend 51:22	relied 30:21 46:5	41:22
protect 11:1,4	quartz 20:20 22:8	recommendation	46:19	residents 13:17
25:20 30:22 34:1	23:6,13 25:1,9,15	50:16 51:6 56:22	remain 52:19	resistant 52:19
46:7		57:4 60:14	remained 23:21	resources 78:17
	quench 55:16	recommendations	60:15	RESPONSE 85:22
protected 72:1 73:15	quenched 54:17 quenching 56:3	3:9,13 4:2 5:2,3	remaining 34:18	
protecting 31:6	question 14:18	7:8 15:16 17:14	remains 41:12	responsibilities 58:6
32:13	60:4 61:6 62:12	19:6,11 48:10,19	remarkable 83:2	
Protection 35:17	62:17 63:18 64:21	49:5,8,14,17,19	remember 6:16	responsibility 58:20
protective 34:13	68:9 79:2 86:4	50:1 54:4 56:11	68:5,9 71:10	rest 8:19 10:20
protective 34.13 provide 12:6 35:17	questions 4:17 13:5	56:13,15 62:18	remnants 8:14	21:22 41:5 46:4
53:3 74:21	19:7 53:14 68:20	76:1 79:18 80:6	removed 24:4	47:15 74:10
provided 1:25	70:15,16 73:9	83:7 84:7	31:18	restrictions 66:18
provides 42:10	quite 62:1 63:10	recommended 8:2	repair 36:22 40:13	result 7:2 19:18
provides 42.10 providing 77:17	64:18 68:14 82:14	26:4 32:18,21	repair 30.22 40.13	21:16 28:8,13
providing //.1/ proximity 8:18	quote 33:8	38:16,20 43:3	repeated 76:6	32:15 38:10 45:15
PSM 65:12 66:3	quote 33.8	47:19 48:2 51:14	replacing 35:6	72:10
public 1:5 2:4 3:16	R	record 74:16,22	report 3:18 4:2,11	resulted 12:22 13:2
4:21 6:5,9 7:1,22	$\mathbf{R} 2:1$	76:5 77:3	4:12,19,20 5:2,4	13:10,16,22 14:3
8:10,22 9:11,17	racks 23:11 24:1	recovered 28:16	7:2,19 15:14,19	18:9 27:4 29:8,18
13:5 15:11,16,16	Rafael 1:13 2:6	recurrence 49:17	16:20 18:20 19:10	43:14 48:11 56:12
16:5,14 18:9,10	rail 78:2		53:22 54:15 68:16	resulting 11:14
19:9 24:19 27:4	raise 66:12 73:5	recurring 10:10 11:6	70:17 71:6 72:5,6	20:9 31:7
	78:6	reduce 44:6	72:18 73:18,19	
33:17 34:2,3 35:13 41:1 46:4	raised 23:17		76:13 78:10 82:1	retaining 42:19 return 48:17
47:15 63:8 68:3	raises 13:4 75:5	reducing 76:2 refers 3:21		
69:1 73:22 75:1	random 61:17	refineries 77:12	82:1,7,15	returning 47:12,21 revealed 31:9 35:5
76:13,15 77:15	rapidly 30:10		reports 16:15 76:8 76:17 77:17 78:11	review 30:4 37:19
publicly 9:5	raw 23:5 25:9	Refinery 13:18 14:2 17:6	80:5 81:21	41:9 77:15
published 81:9	react 25:16	refining 40:21	representative 77:7	reviewed 27:21
pull 69:9	read 48:18 72:5	regard 63:8 66:4	_	59:21
pulled 81:17	74:16 82:2	regions 55:12,22	representing 69:14 represents 35:11	reviewing 38:2
puned 81.17 pumps 74:10	reading 19:5 58:16	registered 33:22	request 77:2	39:21
puritps 74.10 purchase 60:10	reads 50:4	regular 20:7 35:1	requesting 76:20	Revise 50:4
pure 23:12	realize 64:22	51:19	required 6:21	revised 45:6
purpose 3:16 22:9	realizing 66:13	regulate 36:1	37:13 38:12,22	Richard 1:19 2:11
22:9 30:14	really 54:13 62:7	regulated 35:12	44:15 46:17 50:10	Richmond 14:3
put 15:13 53:18	69:19,21 82:14,20	regulates 36:8,20	requirements 8:6	17:6 75:13
62:5 73:17 78:21	83:2,3 84:4	regulation 65:5	36:11 37:4,11	right 2:12 23:7
83:7	reason 43:21 73:5	regulations 3:11	42:10,21 44:16	57:6 62:14 64:11
puts 65:11	reasons 12:17	17:15 35:8 46:17	50:13 51:15,20	64:20 65:15 80:16
putting 83:1	84:20	65:2 66:19	63:3 80:19,19	83:1
p.m 26:8 86:19	recall 28:21	regulatory 3:1	requires 67:22	rigorous 83:22
p.iii 20.6 60.17	received 60:15	35:22 49:10	research 39:11	rigorously 52:4,5
Q	recognized 33:1	reiterates 24:13	researched 67:10	83:11
quality 30:15,17	66:8 81:10	related 3:5 4:22	reservations 47:12	risk 8:8 12:20
quantities 66:13	recognizing 9:20	9:15 76:1	residential 40:8	33:18 36:3 41:1
	-	7.13 /0.1	i conciliai TV.0	33.10 30.3 41.1
	l		I	

50 1 2 67 10 60 2	17 17 24 12 10	44 0 12 45 6	G:1. 70.0	W 60 16 70 12
59:1,2 67:19 68:2	17:17 24:12,18	44:9,13 45:6	Similarly 79:9	spell 69:16 70:13
72:4 75:20 76:2	35:13,15 36:2,5,8	50:13,14	simply 14:16	spent 81:12
risks 14:19 41:10	36:18 37:3 38:1,5	sections 23:1 50:12 see 15:6 16:22 49:2	simulate 22:10	square 23:20
road 34:8 robust 66:6	38:14 41:1 43:20		single 25:15	staff 1:17,21 2:13
	46:15 48:18 49:7	70:18 80:6 83:6 seed 24:1	site 15:13 71:3 75:7 78:4	2:21 5:3 7:19 78:17
roll 86:6 Ronald 6:4 10:3	51:8,13 53:6 58:5 58:17 60:18 61:4	seed 24:1 selection 20:4	sited 80:1	
room 5:9,10 70:19	63:9 65:1,4,6	42:22	siting 74:9 76:2	stages 19:20 stakeholders 16:8
73:14 78:16 79:16	66:15 68:3 74:6	send 50:22	79:17	77:18
80:1	76:2,22 77:22	sense 64:4 82:4	six 47:22 71:7	stamp 37:18
root 3:6	80:1 81:1,3 83:17	sent 24:6	size 33:11 44:14	stamp 37.18
Rosenberg 1:15 2:8	84:2,2,3	separate 40:8	skipped 26:18	60:20 65:13
9:22 10:1 12:10	sales 21:8	September 73:12	slightly 63:17	standards 3:12
18:1 57:10,11,17	sample 24:3	serious 13:5 33:19	small 7:10 69:11	30:3 36:1 42:4,8
58:1,9 59:8 85:19	Sandy 1:21 2:20	47:11	smaller 67:15	49:13 63:5 80:14
86:6,7	saved 11:3	service 32:19 34:19	Smart 11:2	82:11
Route 8:16 39:9	saw 4:6	35:4 47:13,21	Society 37:5 42:6	standing 25:8
routine 26:6	saying 5:6 77:21	set 37:4 52:21	50:3	start 18:13 22:3
rubber 40:20	says 46:19	seven 43:5 44:12	sodium 23:9 25:10	53:15
rule 56:8 66:16	SA-723 50:11	48:4	25:16,17 29:16	starts 29:3
ruled 48:6 54:1	SCC 29:10 54:5	seven-foot 6:2	30:8 31:1 38:6	state 17:17 20:8
rules 37:3,22 42:13	scenario 33:16	severe 27:8	45:11 46:9	33:22 35:12 36:6
56:20 59:4 65:6	34:10 55:4 60:7	severely 72:15	solid 22:13	36:7,9,17,19 37:1
run 27:19 28:1,6	73:1	share 15:15 80:7	solution 23:10	37:15,22 38:14,17
67:16	scenarios 33:9	shares 21:7	25:11 29:16 32:14	38:18 39:2 42:16
rupture 3:21 6:1	school 10:19	sheet 9:7	38:7 45:11	45:9 46:17,19
7:22 18:5 20:10	schools 14:11	shelter 14:1	somebody 5:13	47:1 49:10 51:7
28:3,4 32:1 33:6	SCIALLO-TYL	shops 40:13	somewhat 60:18	51:12,15,20 58:17
33:14 41:4 72:11	1:22 22:6 27:3	short 74:14	63:15 66:4	59:4
72:16 85:15	54:7 56:14 57:15	Shortly 37:8	sorry 4:5 22:10	stated 60:9
ruptured 5:21 8:4	57:19 58:3,14	show 74:11	53:17 55:14 68:13	statement 5:19
26:8	59:18 61:14 62:11	showed 32:9,20	sort 61:22 67:4	9:22 12:11
R5 83:8,9	62:21 64:6,13	shown 35:10	72:1 73:1 81:10	statements 4:9 9:21
<u>S</u>	65:9,16 67:2,9	sign 9:6 30:6 85:7	sound 84:12	states 21:5 50:21
	68:13 71:4,16	signed 9:13 69:4,5	space 39:11	64:4 67:11
S 2:1 sad 70:6	72:7	significant 17:14	speak 9:15 81:5	station 6:10 26:12
Sadly 10:11	Science 17:16	18:11 32:7 42:2	SPEAKER 69:8	status 16:6,9
safe 14:22 15:7	scores 13:11	72:9	86:4,8,10,12	steel 6:3 22:13 25:8
20:14 58:12	scratching 31:18	silence 6:12,14	speaking 81:7,8	25:17 26:9 28:11
safer 52:6 67:5,7	screen 16:22	silica 25:9,15 67:6	specific 7:19 35:22	30:1 33:13 38:7
83:12,13	Sc.D 1:15	silicate 25:18 30:9 Silver 13:18	48:15 50:5	43:1,18,18,19
safety 1:1,12,13,14	sea 23:11,12 sealed 23:16 25:13	sinver 13:18 similar 3:14 7:14	specifically 33:15 37:12 38:6 47:13	44:12 45:10,16 46:8 50:11 56:19
1:15,25 2:5 3:10	second 4:11 5:15	9:2 34:9 45:2	72:19	74:17,20 78:14
3:12,13 4:4 5:7,8	11:6 56:8 60:3	51:18 56:13 63:11	specifications	steels 29:17 31:2
6:16,21 7:8 8:10	62:12,17 85:18,19	64:15 65:5 66:18	37:20 38:3 42:19	54:16
12:17 13:5 17:12	section 28:21 42:17	67:13 70:16	specifics 4:18	step 69:15
	500000 20.21 72.17	07.13 70.10	Specifics 7.10	5 p 07.13
	l	l	l	<u> </u>

STEREOGENICS	suddenly 26:7 28:5	tall 5:21 22:18 25:8	69:3,17 70:9,10	timely 16:15
80:2	28:13	tar 40:19	79:13,14 80:8	times 16:3
stood 22:18	suggest 43:12	tasked 49:18	85:1,3,5,10 86:5	tip 33:12
stop 8:19 10:20	suggesting 32:12	team 1:21 3:17	theme 11:6	titanium 31:10
14:13 21:22 41:5	suggests 28:5 31:6	4:12,16,20 7:10	themes 10:10	titled 24:16
46:4 47:15	sulfur 31:12	15:13 16:1,19	thick 22:22 23:3,3	today 2:7 3:17 4:2
storage 40:18	summarize 20:2	17:2,2 18:14 19:2	25:8 29:4 43:6,8	7:2,5 16:21 18:18
41:17	27:3	19:8,14 27:21	43:10 44:1	70:2,9 76:9
stories 84:9	summarizing 51:1	57:13 62:18 65:2	thicker 23:1 43:18	today's 19:1 20:16
story 21:14	summary 19:3	65:9 81:18	55:11,22	24:19
strength 31:1 33:13	supply 26:20 27:11	Teamsters 74:5	thickness 20:5 29:3	toll 21:22 34:8
43:1 46:8 80:17	support 84:15	77:21,22	43:3,13 44:13,21	tollway 6:6,11
stress 20:6 29:9,12	supported 17:13	team's 19:4,10	48:9,15 50:6,11	10:20 26:12 40:10
29:19 30:6 31:4	sure 54:7 62:1	48:18	55:22 57:1	41:4
32:3,10 33:11	63:10 67:9 70:17	technical 4:18	thicknesses 44:11	tool 49:6
34:20 45:13,17,21	79:2 84:21	14:16	44:20	top 22:15 23:2,3,11
46:12 47:8 48:8	surface 29:22	technically 84:12	thin 23:12	43:9
53:20 54:5,8,21	30:20 31:15,18,21	Technology 30:4	thing 14:12 55:12	tore 26:17
55:5 56:6,21	32:13	tell 54:2 58:15	58:15 64:12 69:20	toughness 33:12
80:21 81:2,11	surfaces 31:13 39:5	telltale 30:6	83:5 84:6,11,11	37:13
83:18,22	47:6	temper 48:4 53:22	things 84:19	town 10:17
stresses 29:13	surprise 82:7	54:10,12,20 57:2	think 12:5 55:5,15	tracked 49:20
stressful 56:5	surrounding 21:19	temperature 23:17	56:14 59:14 61:11	trade 49:12
strict 44:10 63:3	24:10 40:16 75:15	23:22 28:2 52:7	61:18 62:14 66:1	tragedies 12:7
striking 6:4	survived 10:5	64:9 68:1,12	66:14 70:21 71:5	tragically 12:22
strikingly 34:9	susceptibility 44:6	temperatures	71:6 73:2 78:5,7,9	26:13
strong 29:5	56:4	22:12 25:12 40:3	78:15 81:14 82:6	training 60:19
stronger 14:17	susceptible 31:3	67:16 68:7	83:16 84:7 85:8	transcript 1:25
43:18	43:19 45:12,16	Tennessee 73:13	thinner 67:15	69:17
struck 8:17 26:13	suspend 59:2	terms 56:10 72:20	thorough 32:21	transducers 20:21
26:19 27:5	synthesize 22:8	78:12	thoroughly 34:21	transition 29:3
structural 26:9	synthetic 20:19	Tesoro 76:11 77:8	thought 6:8 66:20	74:13
structure 23:13	23:14,22 24:4	testing 19:17 27:14	three 9:17 37:8	traumatic 10:5
56:2	25:1 30:18 67:12	28:9,19 30:4 31:5	38:21 46:14 47:1	travel 16:20 18:18
studied 15:7	system 3:6 27:22	31:9 32:9 54:22	47:4 51:6 80:4	traveled 6:3 61:17
study 15:2,22 50:20	systems 3:12 14:18	82:18	83:20	travelers 8:20
51:4 53:3 73:18	14:18 49:16	tests 55:7	threshold 66:13	Traveler's 69:14
77:11 85:15	Т	Texaco 17:5	thrown 26:9	traveling 80:8
subcommittee	T	Texas 7:13,14	THURSDAY 1:8	treated 22:14 43:1
80:13	table 35:10	10:18 14:9 41:17	tie 82:9	54:17
subgroup 80:16	take 17:20 20:13	thank 6:15 7:9 10:1	time 4:3 6:7 9:9	treatment 44:5,19
subject 36:10 38:11	44:3 70:5 86:5	12:7,9,12 16:15	13:7 15:21 16:17	48:6,11 50:8
46:18 51:18	taken 21:13 82:8	16:16 17:22 48:19	20:22 22:2 29:18	tremendous 15:14
submit 76:4	takes 78:17	48:20 53:9,11	39:18 60:2,12,16	trend 61:2,2
submitted 41:7	talk 53:20 72:21	56:7 57:6,11 59:8	74:13 76:7 78:8	truck 6:5 11:3
74:15 76:19	talked 74:4	61:5 62:9 66:21	78:17	26:15 27:5 73:21
subsequent 45:4	talking 57:21	66:22 68:18,18	timelines 16:12	74:7

trucking 78:2 University 17:9,18 violent 6:1 24:9 36:8,18 37:2,6,9 website 24:19 unloading 31:20 49:21 51:5 80:5 **trucks** 8:19 37:20,22 38:5,6,9 28:14 true 84:20 **unsafe** 10:18 11:12 38:14,15 39:2 **violently** 5:21 26:8 **weigh** 59:19 **try** 73:10 11:22 41:3,8 42:9,16,18 visible 8:15 weighed 22:19 **welcome** 2:4 69:6 **trying** 82:9 **update** 77:17 44:10,11 45:1,5 visitors 34:5 turn 22:2 53:8 updated 16:9 46:2,7,13,15 47:2 **vital** 18:19 69:11 **updates** 49:20 47:9,16 48:2,14 **vividly** 74:11 welfare 34:1 **two** 5:14 19:13 21:12 22:19 26:6 **upset** 27:19 50:5,10,18,20 volunteers 81:6 went 33:15 75:15 27:22 28:16 30:1 **urge** 50:19 52:9,16 51:3,8,13,16 **vote** 4:1 5:1 19:10 81:18 34:8 35:4 46:5 73:17 77:14 78:18 52:22 53:6 55:2,8 86:3 weren't 55:3 55:18 73:12 79:21 55:12.15.20.22 west 7:13.14 8:16 **urges** 51:9 W **two-fold** 57:5 use 11:1 41:12 45:9 56:4 57:21 58:5 10:18 14:8,9 15:3 walking 26:14 58:17 61:16 71:15 **Tyler** 16:18,20 46:9 51:9 56:18 15:8 41:16.17 wall 20:4 26:17,19 17:11 18:17 22:3 58:10 64:17 77:12 72:16 73:13 80:18 we'll 53:15 73:10 28:13 29:2,18 22:5 48:20 53:15 82:12 81:1 85:15 we're 4:4,8 15:11 31:8 43:3,8,13 18:3 70:8 78:11 type 12:15 37:14 useful 5:8 vessels 8:4,5 11:12 39:22 44:14 45:15 44:11,20,20 48:9 uses 40:5,11,17 11:15 14:17 19:21 79:15 82:9 48:15 50:10 57:1 45:18 54:16 56:19 52:4 67:14 83:10 20:14 21:14 22:7 **we've** 66:16 67:10 walled 44:5 67:11 79:17 62:6 65:3,4 70:5 usually 79:3 82:1 22:10,12,15,17,17 walls 21:16 22:21 types 14:15 39:19 22:21 23:8,16,21 wide 28:18 82:12 25:8,16 26:1 44:7.12 56:19 **USW** 75:2,11,17,22 25:7,9,13,20 26:2 **William** 80:10 30:11,16,22 72:13 76:14.19 77:6 willing 57:7 63:21 26:3 30:11.20 want 6:7 15:10 **typical** 44:13 USW's 76:22 31:3,20,21 32:18 wish 69:12 76:4 69:8 81:14 83:9 typically 20:21 **Utah** 13:19 32:22 33:14 34:8 wishes 9:4.14 83:15 **U.S** 1:1,12,13,14,15 34:12,19,22 35:2 witnesses 19:14 IJ wanted 12:2 56:16 1:25 2:5 10:9 36:9.10.22 37:9 **wonder** 68:9 **UK** 66:17 57:12 70:5 77:12 37:10,17,21 38:3 wondering 58:10 **ultimate** 54:4 56:10 warehouses 40:14 38:11,13,21 39:5 **Woods** 13:19 \mathbf{V} ultimately 3:13 warned 25:22 33:1 40:3 42:5.12 43:2 words 56:11 62:19 68:2 variations 44:21 33:4 47:11 43:4,4,7,10 44:1,6 work 11:4 15:13 ultrasonic 20:20 variety 25:4 **warning** 11:16,19 16:4 59:22 74:1.3 44:20 45:2.7 **unable** 74:22 various 19:20 47:18 59:12,14 46:16,18 47:12,20 74:12 76:3 84:5 understand 9:1 **VC** 50:12,14 60:1,9 47:21 48:3,8 worked 17:5 69:1 74:1 75:19 verify 46:10,21 warnings 7:20 11:6 51:10,17 52:12,18 worker 35:13 68:2 80:12 82:5 version 63:2 11:9 12:3 34:17 55:18 57:18 58:2 74:20 undetected 20:10 versus 39:20 63:9 59:16 60:5 58:13,20 59:7 workers 12:20 vessel 3:21 5:21 undeveloped 39:8 Washington 1:22 60:11 63:21 64:2 70:12,18 71:3,7 **Unfortunately 33:8** 17:3 18:17 77:15 7:22 8:3,9 18:5 64:8 65:3.17.19 71:11 72:3 74:17 unfulfilled 60:15 19:17,22 20:5,7 wasn't 54:13 66:5,10 67:15 75:18 77:7 78:15 unheeded 11:6 20:10,12 23:12 **Waste** 13:14 68:1 78:4 83:21 79:22 unintended 31:18 24:10 25:17 26:6 water 23:10 29:16 vessel's 28:4 **working** 13:4 15:9 **Union** 70:12 74:5 26:17 27:17,20,22 45:11 video 4:13 16:22 26:21 27:7 28:3,5,7,11,16,22 **unique** 10:9,11 way 15:21 28:13 24:8,12,16,21 worth 66:2 15:3 29:6,15,18 30:1 57:14 58:10 59:3 27:1 28:22 74:11 wouldn't 66:13 unit 75:16 61:15 70:1 81:4 30:16 31:7,15 79:10 85:17 wrapped 78:18 **United** 21:4 64:4 32:3,4,5,13,19 83:1 view 83:15 **Wright** 76:18 67:11 74:20 weakened 46:13 34:15 35:3,6,10 violations 36:5 writing 80:13

			1 490 10
written 82:12 wrong 11:8 wrote 77:21 www.csb.gov 24:19 www.csb.gov/rec 49:21 Y yards 40:13 yeah 58:1 62:21 65:9 68:18 73:7 80:15 year 14:7 79:21 years 15:20 17:5 25:22 63:2 76:9 76:12 80:17 81:13 82:8,9 yellow 9:7 yesterday 8:13 Z zero 83:13 zinc 77:9 zone 40:7 zoned 21:10 39:10 39:18 41:21 zones 39:20 40:5,7 63:4,6 zoning 10:22 11:1,2 11:3 36:13,14 39:13,17,22 41:12 41:20 53:4 61:7 61:12,20 62:4,22 63:14 1,000 81:5 10:30 2:2	16,000 13:17 18 23:2 43:10 1948 20:19 1950s 30:13 1976 42:17 1994 39:16,21 62:22 63:9 1996 39:10 2 2 42:17 44:9 50:13 2:30 26:8 20 39:9 2001 36:16 39:12 41:2 2002 21:5 36:16 2003 39:3 47:3 2006 13:9,13 39:3 47:3 2007 11:11 32:4,12 32:15 33:5 34:11 43:11 47:7 2009 3:20 5:20 13:18 18:9 26:5 29:9 32:1 34:10 35:7,20 39:3 43:11 47:3 77:8 2010 77:8,9 2010-04-IL 85:16 2011 13:20 2012 14:2 73:12 76:14 2013 1:8 76:22 22 17:5 29,000 23:20	6 6:54:15 3:19 650 6:3 26:11 46:4 7 7 3:20 5:20 18:9 26:5 70 26:21 700 23:18 75 21:6 8 8 45:6 50:14 76:18 8,600 28:15 29:22 55:9 8:15:56 80:2 8:18:29 82:10 8:18:53 82:15 8:19:18 82:19 850,000 74:19 9 90 8:16 21:6,21 25:3 39:9	rage 10
11:3 36:13,14 39:13,17,22 41:12 41:20 53:4 61:7 61:12,20 62:4,22 63:14 1,000 81:5	2011 13:20 2012 14:2 73:12 76:14 2013 1:8 76:22 22 17:5 29,000 23:20		