Memorandum

To: Chairperson and Board Members  

From: Christopher Warner, General Counsel

Cc: Charles Jeffress

Subject: Board Action Report – Notation Item 239

Date: April 9, 2003

On April 8, 2003, the Board approved Notation Item 239, thereby authorizing publication of the CSB’s Interim Final Data Quality Guidelines on the CSB website and a Notice of Availability of the CSB Guidelines in the Federal Register. Dr. Poje has suggested a number of minor editorial changes, which will be incorporated into the final version of the guidelines to be published on the CSB website for comment. Attached to this memorandum are the most significant of these changes, which concern the public description of the quality control provisions contained in the CSB’s current investigation protocol. Although this revised language is slightly less detailed than that contained in the protocol or the language used in the draft guidelines that were presented for the Board’s vote, it does not change the substance of the process that must be followed by investigators pursuant to the protocol or alter the substance of the description contained in the guidelines. Please let me know if you have any questions about these changes. Assuming there are no objections to the editorial changes, the Office of General Counsel will submit the notice to the Federal Register on Wednesday, April 16, 2003 and arrange for web publication of the guidelines immediately thereafter.

Voting Summary – Notation Item 239

Disposition: APPROVED

Disposition date: April 8, 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Approve</th>
<th>Disapprove</th>
<th>Calendar</th>
<th>Withhold</th>
<th>Not Participating Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairperson Merritt</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/4/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Poje</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/8/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Rosenthal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/8/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Taylor</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/9/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Bresland</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/8/2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
other federal agencies will subject information submitted to them for purposes of
public dissemination to adequate quality control measures. In drafting the material to
be disseminated, the Lead Office will review and verify the data submitted by these
agencies, as necessary and appropriate.

4. The Office of Investigations and Safety Programs will generally take the following
basic steps to assure the "objectivity" and "utility" of the information to be
disseminated in a final investigation report:

a. A thorough review of the accuracy and completeness of source data to be used
   in the preparation of the report;

b. Preparation of a draft report based on data collected in the course of the
   investigation, appropriate research, and consultations with all necessary offices
   within the CSB;

c. Clearance of the draft report with the company or other entities that are the
   subject of the investigation for trade secrets, confidential business information
   (CBI), and factual accuracy issues;

d. Following clearance for trade secrets and CBI as described above, the
   Investigator-in-Charge (IIC) will then seek review and comments on text the
   factual portions of the report with other appropriate parties, and revise the
   report to correct any factual error discovered during this vetting process.

e. Ordinarily, the IIC will provide the draft report or relevant portions of the
   report(without recommendations) for confidential review by (a) any federal,
   state, or local authorities that investigated the incident; and (b) other
   organizations the union(s), if any, representing workers with a significant
   involvement in the incident.

f. To the extent the draft report may address technical issues on which external
   expertise may assist the CSB in improving the quality of the report substantial
   in-house expertise does not exist (either among the Board Members or the
   investigation staff), the IIC should arrange for one or more written appropriate
   reviews by outside qualified experts that—If a technical issue is of limited
   scope, the IIC may arrange for a limited expert review of one or more specific
   sections of the draft report. Each review should focus on factual issues that are
   within the direct knowledge or expertise of the particular reviewer.

g. All outside reviewers' comments (apart from minor editorial suggestions)
   obtained in steps c. - f. will be made available to the Board Members prior to
   their consideration of the final report.